

<http://www.democracydefined.org/>

The Home Page of the Democracy Defined Educational Campaign
for RESTORATION and UNIVERSAL ADOPTION of
CONSTITUTIONAL COMMON LAW TRIAL BY JURY.



Member's Card - *frontside*

Media and General Enquiries: campaign@democracydefined.org
(Standard English Spelling)

ACTIVIST MEMBERS *from all walks of life.*

THE CAMPAIGN PHILOSOPHY is spread by its Members.

The Democracy Defined Campaign Philosophy is endorsed by academics, attorneys, doctors (of jurisprudence, medicine, psychiatry, homeopathy, philosophy) and judges (U.S. & U.K.).

WHO REALLY OWNS THE BANK?

REPLY to Enquiry.

Greetings. Thank you for your e-mail and the neat attachment about the nwo.

We shall respond to your observations and enquiries which are not infrequently encountered, such as, "How do we get from here to there," and "Who owns what?" and "What does one mean by 'own'?"

Incidentally, we are glad to see your mention of Justin's New Chartist Movement. The NCM is explicitly committed to **The Restoration Amendment**. The **Amendment's** statutory emplacement as being **the objective** is stated in **The Winchester Declaration** which is endorsed by the NCM.

Quote: Restoration of the Constitution's rule of law proffers widespread affluence throughout the population, which we assert no present party-politician can deliver to our people while the Illegality of the Status Quo continues to prevail²⁰. Our populace deserves truthful information about the advantages and benefits which will accrue to them and the country when people isolate and leave those political parties and organisations who do not stand for Restoration of our nation's revered Constitution, its financial and political Independence, the national issuance of interest-free money and credit, and our People's cherished heritage of Liberty and Equal Justice through Trial by Jury. Politicians who would prolong the Illegality of the Status Quo deserve prompt removal from office and replacement by newly-elected representatives.

There is an historic opportunity not to be missed following Brexit. To seize it, 'We the People' have to acquire **Representatives** (Independent or from the established parties), who will run on **The Restoration Amendment:**

[THE POLITICAL PROGRAM FOR INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES.](#)

WHO REALLY OWNS THE BANK

OWNERSHIP AND DEBT: WHO REALLY OWNS THE BANK?

Consider the facts and circumstances which reveal the falsity of the Bank's statement which you cite, "According to their website, the Bank has been wholly owned by HM Government since 1946."

For many people the duplicitous disinformation put out by the government and Bank of England has unsurprisingly been a block to their understanding the present phoney money system. That is its purpose. The question of 'ownership' is part of the deceit. The same false ownership subterfuge is employed by the Federal Reserve Bank of the United States, which is privately owned (see Owners; Chapter Six of DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1-902848-26-6).

If the government claims technically 'to own' the Bank through certain Acts, deeds and documents, these are inauthentic, illegal and emplaced to *deceive*. Through obligatory observance of fundamental ethics and common law (not to mention constitutionally-speaking, *de jure*), the activities of the Bank (Usury and Fractional Reserve Lending; **fraud**) render the Bank a longstanding ongoing criminal enterprise. If the government really did own it in the name of the People, then the People as Collective Owners have both the legal duty and power to bring it back to a state of financial probity. However, this they cannot do because under the present system the Bank (or rather, the Bank's Owner) owns the government, not the other way around.

Consider the proud new owner of a house. He shows you his freehold title deeds and with satisfaction says, "It's *my* house." He doesn't mention that he has a mortgage. He has 'borrowed' from a Building Society and owes the price of the house *plus interest* to someone else who (under the present bogus system), until the debt is repaid, is the real owner of the house. This is where the National Debt comes into play in considering who "owns" the Bank of England.

I must assume you are aware of the present mind-boggling scale of the ever increasing National Debt 'owed'—to be repaid by taxation upon the folk for generations to come. If the People own the Bank through their government then they owe *nothing* to it; no 'National Debt' exists because people do not owe money to themselves. Nor do they charge interest to themselves! Taxation and the National Debt confirm that someone *other* than "the government" owns the Bank of England.

The proofs only *begin* there. Given reflection, of course, another proof is that neither 'We' *nor* the government truly *own* the Bank of England because the government does not dictate the *functioning* of the Bank. The political Administration (misnamed 'government'*) cannot authorise government spending above what the B of E dictates is available—and *that* is only accessible through 'borrowing' from the Bank at rates of interest set by the Bank; not the government.

*Apropos of the subliminal implications of the word 'government', see section, "Scorn and the Power of Words," page 162; DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto*.

The government does not control or own the Bank's *money, or wealth*. (*Apparently*) its Chancellor 'authorises' sales of assets from time to time, such as gold bullion, and 'markets' it at below par prices set behind-the-scenes by the Bank's real Owner, so that the purchasing new owner of the gold makes a killing at the expense of the British taxpayers. The proof of the pudding is in the eating, as they say... Answering the question, "Is it the People or the clandestine real owner/s of the Bank who are *enriched* by the Bank's activities?" also clarifies who owns

Subject matter from DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1-902848-26-6

HOW DO WE GET FROM HERE TO THERE?

the Bank of England: who are its *real* owners. *Someone* owns the Bank but, alas, it is not We the People.

Following a straightforward train of thought, you will see that *the very existence* of the ‘National Debt’ proves that the People through their government do *not* own the Bank of England. If the English People’s *state* owns the Bank of England, then it is a nationalised facility and the People are its Co-Owners with legal and lawful control and scrutiny of it through the **prosecution** aspect of the all-powerful Courts of the Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System¹.

1 See sections, Two Ways to Equal Justice for All, The Counter Plaintiff, The Constitutional Technique for Expunction of Unwanted Legislation, and, How Common Law Articles 24, 36, 39, 40 and 61 Achieve the People’s Emancipation from Arbitrary Governance, in Chapter Four of DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto*.

When one talks about who ‘owns’ a bank, one is addressing who owns and controls *the loot*; its money and assets. In the main, people do not realise that taxes levied on people’s income do not go on infrastructure, defence, education, services and so on. If one looks at government ‘pie-charts’ and figures, one sees that the equivalent quantity raised by Income Tax is principally utilised for *repaying the interest on government borrowing*; not the capital, *just the interest*.

VAT, the myriad stealth and other taxes go *towards* government spending, but further enormous government borrowing *at Usury* is undertaken for expenditures on Education, NHS, defence, etc. Up goes the ‘National Debt’—*but to whom is one indebted?* As you see, repayments go to the “nationally-owned” Bank of England. This should evoke a chorus of... “Hooray!” Why ‘hooray’? Because that means we, or at least our government, *get all the money back because our government owns the Bank of England...* It says so on the Bank’s website!

How can the claim that the government owns the Bank be true?! You *know* things do not operate like that. If it *were* true, that would mean government would then *again and immediately* have at its disposal for expenditure, all the money it had just repaid to the Bank. Moreover, as it “owns” the Bank, it is empowered to utilise the facility of extending revolving loans to itself *ad infinitum*. Such a government would be the very embodiment of the mythical Golden Goose! Government would spend itself into permanent liquidity: no more austerity!

Ultimately, perhaps the most obvious consideration of all is the fact that if the People’s government truly ‘owned’ the British Central Bank, then, like the administrations of Jefferson, Washington, Madison, Jackson, Lincoln and Franklin, it would issue **currency and credit without interest**; i.e., **state money**, plentiful currency and credit into the economy without the need to ask for repayment, thereby precluding the present-day concomitant taxation on the People.

The Restoration Amendment covers all such contingencies. See *The Manifesto*, pages 247-253.

On reflection you will see that the government *cannot* be owners of the British Central Bank known duplicitously as the Bank of England. The government’s ‘ownership’ is apparent but not real. The ongoing felonious duplicity of the Bank’s Owner, of our politicians, and of government’s statist servitor accomplices is revealed—and **judicable** at Constitution and Common Law.

The B of E does not lend anything to government against assets held. Deposits are rightfully the owned assets of the depositors. The Bank does not hold anywhere near enough assets to issue to government the amounts borrowed and spent on the NHS, Education, Defence and so on. Nor would the Bank’s owners ever be willing

WHO REALLY OWNS THE BANK

to risk its own assets as surety for backing loans to anyone—at least not while it can issue figmental ‘loans’ instead! These faux ‘loans’ are merely numbers entered into a ledger or computer account; a fictitious sum created *ex nihilo*, or as people like to say, “out of thin air.”

As you know, the B of E issues (lends) credit (backed by nothing) to the privately-owned merchant and high street banks. These latter financial houses lend to their customers in turn—also without holding surety backing the loans. They lend around ten times the figmental sum advanced from the Central Bank (B of E). This is, of course, the fraudulent Fractional Reserve Lending system (FRL) of which you are well aware. If the government were *really* the Proprietor of the Central Bank, then *the government* would receive the interest on these loans at the going rate from all the high street and merchant banks who are recipients of FRL loans. *That enormous sum*, levied on all the credit loaned out to all the banks, financial institutions and account holding businesses and customers all over the country would be immediately available for government spending... Yet the fact is, under the present illegal system, our political administration is strapped for cash!

NOTES.

(i) Such desirable avifauna as the ‘Golden Goose’ mentioned, inhabit a different universe and dimension, that of the untaxed Common Law Economy; see ABRAHAM LINCOLN’S MONETARY POLICY, Senate statement, *The Manifesto*, p.220.

(ii) The realm of state money, national(ised) issuance of all currency and credit without interest is explained in Chapters Five and Six of *The Manifesto*: Usury retroactively recriminalized. The timeless, judicable, secular Common Law Crime of Usury is recognised as such by our permanent world-respected 1215 Great Charter English Constitution Magna Carta; Articles Ten and Eleven.

(iii) With its full private citizens’ prosecutory function restored, the authentic Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury pre-empts *government banks* from committing venal or partisan-political finance-lending abuses associated with a monolithic lender as in communist and fascist command economies. This brings us to the Panacea that is Common Law; viz. Chapters Four & Six.

(iv) Naturally, issuance to the economy of interest-free currency and credit from national government outlets will replace those services now performed by banks, Building Societies, etc. With Usury (lending *and* borrowing) recriminalised, private banks will have to operate within those parameters.

Here is an allegorical conversation:

Question: “*Who do you think we owe the national debt to?*”

And here’s a reply which the Bank Owner would not like you to anticipate...

“Bank of England, old boy? No, no. I don’t own it. Government owns it. [Continues aside.] I only own all its wealth and I control fiscal policy. While the government owes me trillions of National Debt, it is I who decides how much money there is in the economy...how much anybody earns or gets to spend. My ownership is really in every person or enterprise which operates on loans from the bank. Because I am owed so much by everyone, that is, the National Debt and all the mortgages and personal borrowing, I own all the wealth of the nation; its production, its terrain, property, water, and all its agricultural and mineral assets are owed to me,” quoth the banker. *“I dictate all (or nearly all) governments’ monetary policy through their Central Banks’ HQ: the Bank for International Settlements in Switzerland.”*

Subject matter from DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1902848-26-6

HOW DO WE GET FROM HERE TO THERE?

Question: “*How do we get from here to there?*”

Answer: “*To get from here to there only requires passage of The Restoration Amendment. All societies govern through their Justice System. The power to punish carries with it ALL power. Regaining control over the Justice System by restoring Constitutional Trial by Jury is so much the principal campaigning objective that all other issues are dwarfed by it.*”

Trust the People: With the Powers, Procedures, Rights and Duties restored to Jurors, the interests of the People can be safely left in their own hands. That is to say, people can be trusted to protect *their own interests* by their Verdicts and Sentences at the Constitution’s genuine Trial by Jury.

One has to make a mental effort to envision society *after* passage of **The Restoration Amendment**. This is because it is a long time since we have had a government which subjects its modus operandi to the constraints of Equal Justice, the (definitive) Common Law and the (proper) 1215 Great Charter English Constitution Magna Carta with its People’s Courts of the Trial by Jury Justice System. It is also woefully long since the People have received information about how their own Justice System can be made to work by themselves to their benefit.

Having then “got to there from here,” the answers to all questions will be answered by the decisions of Citizens’ Juries. These are virtually universally acclaimed to be fair, reliable, predictable and uniform (see *The Manifesto*, Chapter One). For example, such social debts incurred *before* Restoration as are deemed authentic by Juries will be respected. Insurance and Pension Funds, private citizens’ Household held investments, personal savings, inheritance, ownership, property, trusts, gifts, artefacts, would be honoured. Questions regarding insurance, loss, liability, bankruptcies, foreclosures, the family, human rights, private estates, inheritance, probate, etc., would be decided by the People, as they should.

However, the Bank-Owners’ crimes are legion, mortal, and of global enormity. Institutions Guilty of Usury and/or Fractional Reserve Lending (which you mention as, “Banks/BldngSocs/FinInst.”) will be liquidated. Those profiting from and operating such unlawful institutions can expect just retribution at Common Law (see *The Manifesto*, Chapter Six), although it is not for this text to anticipate the verdicts and sentences of causes brought to Trial by Jury by individual citizens availing themselves of the right to cost-free prosecution to obtain justice. The Jury alone decides on the admissibility of evidence and sentence in each individual case.

Certainly more important than Annulment by Jury is the citizen commoner’s right to prosecute cost-free any other commoner for a criminal act; defined as any act of injustice committed with malice aforethought. (Single or multiple plaintiffs; ref. Magna Carta Common Law Article Thirty-Six explained on p.158, confirmed by Article Forty. Act means not only statutes, regulations and by-laws but also physical acts.) That is to say, there is no ‘*immunity from prosecution*’ for commoners who are politicians; those who are in the judiciary, or persons working in or for government.

On one hand, Annulment by Jury stops the prosecution of unjust laws and acts of enforcement from progressing.

On the other hand, ***the commoner’s right to prosecute effectively stops the framing and passing into law of unjust or unequal measures in the first place. This is because legislators and judges may be held accountable by any other citizen. According to Articles 36, 39, 40, 61, etc., no one is ‘above’ the rule of law.***

WHO REALLY OWNS THE BANK

The ‘ordinary’ citizen has the power and right to accuse any other commoner* responsible for the enactment or enforcement of a *statute* or *by-law* which the citizen deems unjust or malicious. The accused is summonsed to come before a jury and explain, if he or she can, how the legislation is truly just and applies equally to all. Otherwise, its framing and enforcement become acts of *injustice*; definitively crimes *punishable* at Common Law. The procedure may lead to the statute or by-law being expunged from the national roll of statutes or from local government; viz. Chapter Four.

* “Any other commoner” includes ministers of state, government lawyer bureaucrats, MPs, judges and local government administrators responsible for forming, passing or enforcing legislation.

See *The Manifesto*, pp.121-122, Chapter Four, **THE COUNTER PLAINT, & THE CONSTITUTIONAL TECHNIQUE FOR EXPUNCTION OF UNWANTED LEGISLATION**. See also pp. 158-159, Chapter Five, **ARTICLES THIRTY-SIX (the free Plaintiff and Summons) & ARTICLE FORTY**.

In this way, tyranny by arbitrary governance is prevented **at source** whilst all the just laws remain uniformly enforceable against any act of intrinsically malicious motive, *mens rea*, such as tyranny, murder, rape, bodily harm, mental cruelty, torture, robbery, theft, extortion, arbitrary dispossession, usury, fraud and so on. Crime receives the universal condemnation of men and women in juries in all times and places¹.

1 See pp. 9-10, THE WORKINGS AND RESULTS OF THE TRIAL BY JURY SYSTEM.

Following Restoration, this aspect of Constitutional Trial by Jury does make Annulment by Jury generally redundant; but the Annulment function is always available in reserve for cases where government thinks it can get away with prosecuting an unjust law; viz. the famous Annulment by Jury at the Old Bailey exonerating Penn and Mead; see photo and account in Chapter Two. Penn was subsequently Founder of Pennsylvania.

THE NWO ATTACHMENT

With regard to the NWO attachment, you are to be congratulated on the meticulous character of your document which lists so many of the negative aspects of the crescent New World Order. However, within its wide coverage resides the source of my reservations about it; for, although the text exposes the pandemic of dictatorial rule and dread rapacity of the instigators of the nwo, ***there is no accompanying solution mooted.***

Without knowledge and understanding of **the Solution** to the problems we all face, that is, how emancipation from despotism by the nwo is **only** to be attained by Restoration of the Constitutional Rule of Law and its Common Law Justice System, the unavoidable result is that people become ***increasingly apathetic*** in the absence of this specific knowledge.

People face complete loss of their liberty, justice system, Constitution and inalienable rights with unruffled equanimity and even insouciance because they have no realistic perception, no inkling, of how the rule of law is achieved. Witness how the majority of enthusiastic patriots are still passively submitting to political felons’ parliamentary overthrow of their longstanding democratic culture. They remain docile and inactive despite the visibly progressing government destruction of their country and erosion of their personal well-being. *They just do not know what to do about it...* Patriots’ mission is to teach the Restoration Solution...

HOW DO WE GET FROM HERE TO THERE?

Time, effort and resources expended on other “campaigns” are *wasted* unless they are also *specifically* concentrated and deployed to educate and *achieve* the Restoration of Trial by Jury. Restoration must be the focus and inspiration of all efforts. With it, all will be resolved; without Restoration, there is **no** Solution. Although there might be some small apparent “wins” periodically, they will be inexorably reversed in due course by tyranny exerted through the statist technique of trial-by-judge or an arbitrary government employee. Nothing, but **nothing**, short of **Restoration** is capable of stopping the ever-gaining momentum of the runaway new world order juggernaut; *not even a clean Brexit*.

The books and talks by internet campaigners and many other apparently well-intentioned people *do* raise *awareness* about the enormous problem of misrule, but to date *their efforts conspicuously lack specifying exactly what the only (peaceful) solution is*. For example, they exhort people to, “rise up and overthrow the culprits.” They urge folk to, “get off their knees.” Some remind people that Article Sixty-One and the American Declaration of Independence express the moral certainty that if government fails the people, they have the right and even the duty to replace it—but to date all the froth of their speechifying ‘campaign’ efforts only spreads anxiety and drives people to take up any distraction from football to fishing to assuage their fear.

These ‘campaigners’ have no understanding of *the real Restoration solution* and they are no closer to success than when they started. Without teaching the solution **in accompaniment with** exposition of the problem, their net effect is counterproductive.

There is no (*peaceful*) resolution to the problem of illegitimate government without Restoration of Trial by Jury—and **that** takes widespread *unanimity of purpose* amongst the populace “*united as one man*” (viz. Spooner)—*satya graha*—as there was for Restoration of Trial by Jury by Magna Carta on June the Fifteenth, in the year Twelve Hundred and Fifteen. Only through Restoration shall we achieve preclusion of the infliction of antidemocratic parliamentary legislation by despotic government’s persecutory tool of enforcement, the *trial-by-judge*.

If you appreciate these facts, then you will see that there is no (secular) cause more important than Restoration.

Political and Internet “campaigners” had best realign their sights without delay, to adopt and campaign wholeheartedly for **The Restoration Amendment**. *Unless* we achieve *Restoration*, the ‘crime’ of criticising government (already-legislated in the EU; perhaps to be instigated soon in the UK) will see all democratic dissenting campaigners gradually disappear or be “removed” from the scene.

See p.47, *The Manifesto*, “It Couldn’t Happen Here,” **The Gulag Archipelago** by Nobel laureate for Literature Aleksandr Isayevich Solzhenitsyn, 1918-2008. An inspired work derived from personal experience.

People en masse are completely bewildered as to what they can **do** about the decomposition of society. *Without knowledge of the Restoration cure*, the disease of indifference worsens, becomes more deeply entrenched and *spreads*. ‘Campaigning’ by these men and women could not work more to the long-term advantage of the Rothschild-Rockefeller-Morgan-Bush one-world-government cartel even if they were its paid employees.

RESTORATION of the people’s control of government through the Constitution’s Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System is the effective, legal, lawful, honourable and constitutional way for people to take back control of their own destiny. **Let’s hear all prophets of doom get constructive and say so!**

WHO REALLY OWNS THE BANK

The following text relates to Item 1, “Who owns the Bank?”
Ref. excerpt from p.220, DEMOCRACY DEFINED: The Manifesto.
ABRAHAM LINCOLN’S MONETARY POLICY, 1865.

(Senate Document 23, Page 91.)

Abraham Lincoln’s administration rejected all notion of a ‘central’ bank and government borrowing at interest from private banks to be repaid by taxation. He decided instead to follow the example of the pre-revolution New England British colonists whose successful fiat currency was known as Colonial Scrip. Lincoln wrote,

“Money is the creature of law and the creation of the original issue of money should be maintained as the exclusive monopoly of national Government.

Money possesses no value to the State other than that given to it by circulation.

Capital has its proper place and is entitled to every protection. The wages of men should be recognised in the structure of and in the social order as more important than the wages of money.

No duty is more imperative for the Government than the duty it owes the People to furnish them with a sound and uniform currency, and of regulating the circulation of the medium of exchange so that labour will be protected from a vicious currency, and commerce will be facilitated by cheap and safe exchanges.

The available supply of Gold and Silver being wholly inadequate to permit the issuance of coins of intrinsic value or paper currency convertible into coin in the volume required to serve the needs of the People, some other basis for the issue of currency must be developed, and some means other than that of convertibility into coin must be developed to prevent undue fluctuation in the value of paper currency or any other substitute for money of intrinsic value that may come into use.

By the adoption of these principles the long felt want for a uniform medium will be satisfied. The taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest, discounts, and exchanges. The financing of all public enterprise, the maintenance of stable Government and ordered progress, and the conduct of the Treasury will become matters of practical administration. The people can and will be furnished with a currency as safe as their own Government. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity. Democracy will rise superior to the money power.”

A response revealing the editor and staff of The Times of London as agents of disinformation representing the bank-owners, then retorted with the following:

“If that mischievous financial policy, which had its origin in the North American Republic, should become indurated down to a fixture, then that Government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off debts and be without a debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous beyond precedent in the history of the civilised governments of the world. The brains and the wealth of all countries will go to North America. That government must be destroyed, or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe.”

Also see sections on Benjamin Franklin and Colonial Scrip.

**[JOIN THE CAMPAIGN TO RESTORE THE AUTHENTIC
CONSTITUTIONAL COMMON LAW TRIAL BY JURY](http://www.democracydefined.org)**

www.democracydefined.org

Subject matter from DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1902848-26-6

KENN D'ODNEY DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto*



Softback, 272 large-size (A4) pages

BACK COVER



DEMOCRACY DEFINED:

The Manifesto

Kenn d'Oudney focuses on Democracy. The word 'democracy' is widely abused and 'defined' incorrectly. This extensively researched book explains how components of constitutional democracy have been suppressed by malefic statist interventions to produce the modern decline and the Illegality of the Status Quo.

The Manifesto shows how the ideal society is to be achieved.

- HERE ARE SOME REVIEWS OF THE ESSAYS UPON WHICH THIS BOOK IS BASED -

"I think it is certainly true that Keynesian economics, as put into practice, has handed the economic power of the West to a few men who now almost totally control it. Likewise, I agree that the trial by jury is an essential bulwark of democracy and justice against a bankers' tyranny. I congratulate you on disseminating the above points."

His Hon. Patrick S.J. Carmack, Esq. Producer of The Money Masters video documentary.

"Thank you for your excellent work on Magna Carta. What a masterly exposition."

Major John Gouriet, Chairman, Defenders of the Realm; Battle for Britain Campaign supported by H.G. the Duke of Wellington; Edward Fox, OBE, and Frederick Forsyth, CBE.

"What a magnificent article! I intend to incorporate parts of it into speeches and writings."

Professor Julian Hecklen, Jury Rights Activist; U.S. National Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters.


"Superb. Should be read in every law school."

John Walsh, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author; Constitutional lawyer (U.S. & Australia).

See further reviews inside.




MAGNA CARTA MONUMENT Canberra, Australia



SRC PUBLISHING

Kenn d'Oudney is the author of books and essays including the following:
 Kenn d'Oudney est auteur de livres et essais y compris les suivants:
 Kenn d'Oudney ist Autor von Büchern und Essays einschließlich der folgenden:



DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto*,
 ISBN 978-1-902848-26-6,

A Treatise for the Democracy Defined Restoration Campaign
 by Kenn d'Oudney.
 Softback, 272 large-size pages and E-book (Kindle).

The word ‘democracy’ is widely abused and ‘defined’ incorrectly. This extensively researched book explains how components of Constitutional Democracy have been suppressed by malefic statist interventions to produce the modern decline and the Illegality of the Status Quo. It sheds light on how democracy involves a variety of far-reaching

issues, including political assassinations; the Ætiology of anti-Semitism; fraudulent private banking practices; and the national issuance of interest-free currency and credit.

The historical, legal and constitutional facts and quotations in this book establish the perennially subject and liable status of executive, legislature and judiciary to the universal timeless secular moral and legal tenets of equity and cost-free private prosecutions at Common Law Trial by Jury. Exposes fallacies of “constitutional” groups and individuals. Indispensable reading for anyone who wishes to uphold the West’s cherished heritage of liberty and equal justice.

The Manifesto reveals the theoretical and practical framework upon which the ideal human society is to be achieved: the best of all possible worlds.

“Your book is an absolute triumph! I now understand why the term ‘Lawful Rebellion’ grates with you. I genuinely believe that your book should be compulsory reading for every one of our elected representatives...not to mention our own supporters! So well done! Excellent book and a great source of reference.”

JUSTIN WALKER, Campaign Coordinator, The British Constitution Group.

“I bought a copy of your excellent book from Amazon and I am impressed by both size and content. Frankly I haven’t been able to put it down. Every home should have one and not just every law school but every secondary school should have one in its curriculum. I particularly enjoyed the ‘Traitors to the People’ chapter. The whole book is a fascinating read, well done.”

JOHN S., Swindon. (E-mail to DD.)

“I am SO pleased that I’ve read this compelling book and that I now understand the true meaning of “Democracy.” Although it’s certainly not a novel, I found it as gripping as one. I had trouble putting it down. DEMOCRACY DEFINED: The Manifesto has opened my awareness dramatically.”

CAL BUCK, West Bromwich, Amazon reviewer.

“The Handbook for every person on the planet explaining True Law and Democracy.”

KENNETH JOHNS, Amazon reviewer.

“Excellent and well-written book on how the people in the so-called free world are not free. This is the missing education they should be teaching our children in school so they become enlightened on what’s really going on in the world.”

ROBERT JOHN MONTAGUE, Amazon reviewer.

See next page.

- REVIEWS OF THE ESSAYS UPON WHICH THIS BOOK IS BASED -

“Thank you for your excellent work on Magna Carta. What a masterly exposition.”
MAJOR JOHN GOURIET, Chairman, Defenders of the Realm; Battle for Britain Campaign supported by H.G. the Duke of Wellington; Edward Fox, OBE, and Frederick Forsyth, CBE.

“I think it is certainly true that Keynesian economics, as put into practice, has handed the economic power of the West to a few men who now almost totally control it. Likewise, I agree that the trial by jury is an essential bulwark of democracy and justice against a bankers’ tyranny. I congratulate you on disseminating the above points.”
HIS HON. PATRICK S.J. CARMACK, Esq. Producer, The Money Masters video documentary.

“The d’Oudney analysis is as insightful as it is comprehensive. It will stand for years to come as the definitive critique of the European Constitution prepared by Giscard d’Estaing and others. I look forward to sharing the d’Oudney analysis with my colleagues.”
HOWARD PHILLIPS, Founder, U.S. Constitution Party, three-time Presidential nominee; Chairman of the Conservative Caucus.

“Superb. Should be read in every law school.”
JOHN WALSH, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author; Constitutional lawyer (U.S. & Australia).

“Kenn, Your rebuttal is masterly. Your essay is a very good read.”
ROBIN TILBROOK, Chairman & Party Leader; English Democrat Party.

“What a magnificent article! (Madison and Democracy) I intend to incorporate parts of it into my speeches and writings.”
PROFESSOR JULIAN HEICKLEN, Jury Rights Activist, U.S. Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters.


“Kenn d’Oudney is a brilliant writer and researcher when it comes to Democracy and Trial by Jury. The best source of common law is Kenn d’Oudney.”
DR. JOHN WILSON, Jury Rights Activist; Chairman, Australian Common Law Party.

“Thanks, Kenn. I’ve circulated this.”
SIMON RICHARDS, Campaign Director; The Freedom Association; Founded by John Gouriet; the Viscount de L’Isle, VC, KG, PC; Ross McWhirter and Norris McWhirter, CBE.

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from [Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com) and [Amazon.co.uk](https://www.amazon.co.uk)

By going to Amazon on either of the links above and clicking on ‘Look Inside’, you can see the front and back covers, check out the four Contents pages to see subject matter; and get a glimpse of the text.

See next page.



CANNABIS: THE FACTS, HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE LAW, THE REPORT ISBN 9781902848211, by Kenn d'Oudney, co-authored by Joanna d'Oudney; Foreword by a Nobel laureate former Official Adviser to the U.S. government; endorsed by a Professor of Physiology Fellow of the Royal Society, academics, doctors (of a variety of disciplines) and judges (U.S. & U.K.); Softback, 260 large-size pages.

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from [Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com) and [Amazon.co.uk](https://www.amazon.co.uk)

- REVIEWS -

“You have done a splendid job of producing a comprehensive summary of the evidence documenting that the prohibition of the production, sale and use of cannabis is utterly unjustified and produces many harmful effects. Any impartial person reading your REPORT will almost certainly end up favouring the relegalisation of cannabis.”

NOBEL LAUREATE PROFESSOR MILTON FRIEDMAN, Economics' Adviser to U.S. government (Reagan Administration); Author, video and TV series writer and presenter; Senior Research Fellow, Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace; Professor Emeritus, University of Chicago.

“You represent a worthy part of the fight in many countries for the logical and beneficial use of cannabis. I thank you for that.”

PROFESSOR PATRICK D. WALL, M.D., Author; Professor of Physiology, UMDS St. Thomas's (Teaching) Hospital, London; Fellow of the Royal Society; DM, FRCP.

“You are to be congratulated on a work well done. Very readable. It is an important REPORT and I do hope it will be widely distributed and read.”

PROFESSOR LESTER GRINSPOON, MD, Official Adviser on Drugs to U.S. government (Clinton Administration), Professor of Psychiatry, Harvard University School of Medicine.

“The sections dealing with the rights and responsibilities of the jury are eloquent in their defence of fundamental individual rights. The authors correctly perceive the bedrock importance of trial by jury, and the significance of the jury's right to judge the law itself. I welcome the addition of this REPORT to the world's store of important writings on the subject of human liberty.”

DON DOIG, BSc., Author; U.S. National Coordinator, Co-founder, Fully Informed Jury Association (FIJA) / American Jury Institute.

“I did enjoy reading it. THE REPORT should contribute much.”

THE HON. JONATHON PORRITT, Bt., former Adviser to U.K. government on Environment; Author; Founder, Friends of the Earth; TV series writer and presenter.

“I have just finished reading your and Joanna's book on Cannabis. It is a masterpiece on both drug prohibition and jury rights. Thanks to both of you for writing it.”

PROFESSOR JULIAN HEICKLEN, Jury Rights Activist; U.S. National Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters Campaign.

“I am totally amazed at THE REPORT's quality and overall goodness.”

DR. ANNE BIEZANEK, Authoress; ChB, BSc, MB, MFHom.

SO YOU THINK CANNABIS PROHIBITION HAS NO EFFECT UPON YOU ?

THE REPORT ISBN 9781902848211: Part (chapter) Two contains the unprecedented (new) **Cannabis Biomass Energy Equation** (CBEE; Modern Uses) which proves the clean-combusting production-cost-free, i.e., **FREE**, cannabis *by-product* pyrolytic CH₃OH is the immediate non-polluting, renewable, total world replacement for fossils and uranium, whilst macro-cultivation simultaneously *significantly increases* world production of staple seed food (protein-rich; no relaxant in seed). The CBEE exposes the bankowner-corporate-government *monumental ulterior motive* behind fraudulent prohibition. 'Prohibition' is a venal, cartel-fabricated subterfuge; a false fuel-energy MONOPOLY.

The CBEE Formulation proffers CH₃OH oil-gasoline-type fuel combustion for all power-station, industrial, land, sea and air transportation and domestic energy supply, with **ZERO net atmospheric increase of CO₂**. Viz. the CBEE thereby simultaneously demonstrates governments' mendacity in their claims to wish to reduce carbon emissions, and proves the "eco" and "carbon taxes" to be **fraudulent**: a criminal government imposture completely without foundation. The *misuse* of exorbitant, world-economy-depressing fossils and uranium as '*fuel*' is potentially catastrophic, legally and economically unjustifiable, and requires to be **prohibited** forthwith. See pyrolysis diagrams, photo, equation, etc.

Part Six of THE REPORT, PROHIBITION: THE PROGENITOR OF CRIME.

"To cause crime to occur is to be accountable for the crime, morally and legally. To consent to any measure is to share responsibility for its results."

Legalised, cannabis grows anywhere: the benign herb's foliage and flowers come *free* or at an insignificant price, but yielding no revenues to government and no profits to corporations. However, prohibition *creates* the Black Market: the Economic Effects of Prohibition (scarcity + enforcement, etc.) augment "street" value by 3000% plus, making all Black Market associated crime **inevitable**. The political commodities' prohibition, the War on Drugs, rather that is to say, *the politicians who pass and the judiciaries who maintain the legislation engender (cause) and are culpable for a significant proportion of all crimes (official statistics) throughout the West.*

EXONERATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT; Official Empirical Research; THE REPORT collates the medico-scientific Findings of Fact and Conclusions of the government-funded clinical studies conducted by world-respected research and academic institutions into non-toxic, non-addictive natural herb cannabis (differentiated from pharmaceutical *laboratory* toxic product THC). The investigations' empirical evidence exonerates cannabis from all allegations of 'harm' and 'impairment' (including tests on simulated driving) *exempting cannabis from all legislative criteria of control ('prohibition')*. All citizens persecuted thereunder are due Amnesty and Restitution (as for other Wrongful Penalisation).

MEDICATION: *Efficacious in over 100 adverse medical conditions (viz. Official Pharmacopoeias) including applications which are life-saving, preserve eyesight, Curative and/or Preventive, and with potential cheaply to replace numerous lines of lucrative but ineffective, debilitating, addictive, toxic pharmaceuticals, rendering massive financial government-corporate ulterior revenue and profit motive (trillions) behind apocryphal prohibition by perjurious derogation. + Medical Case Histories.*

Six Parts (chapters) include expert documentary, legal, academic, scientific, technical, medical, economic, social, criminological, philosophical **evidence**, and that which is based on grounds of equity, vindicating all private cultivation, trade, possession and use, and which further exposes perjury and venality behind prohibition 'legislation', *all acts of enforcement constituting crime per se.*

Part Seven, RESTORATION: JUSTICE AND THE CONSTITUTION, exposes corruption, ineptitude and injustice in the justice process; examines Law: natural law, supreme secular *legem terræ* Constitutional common law, treaties, statutes; quotes presidents, judges, lawyers and chief justices.

THE REPORT is regularly presented pre-trial by defendants to courts (judges) who routinely forbid all Findings of Fact, evidence and defences which "*dispute the legality of the law*" before the jury. The official expert evidence in THE REPORT establishes the apocryphal, illegal nature of the legislation. THE REPORT quotes legal grounds (national and international) which demonstrate numerous infractions of laws by the prohibition legislation, and which show all acts of its enforcement to be crime per se. All citizens persecuted thereunder are due Amnesty and Restitution

(as for other Wrongful Penalisation). This textbook demonstrates in the law: injustice, inequity, invalidity, adverse effects, venal ulterior motive, perjury, fallacious derogation, and the *inherent* illegality of law which creates the Black Market and engenders all associated crime.

The outcomes of this procedure of presenting THE REPORT as documentary evidence to the judge have proved beneficial in the extreme for defendants. *Courts require documentary evidence presented as the published textbook (not copies or e-book).

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from Amazon.com and Amazon.co.uk

By going to Amazon on either of the links above and clicking on 'Look Inside', you can check out the Contents pages to see subject matter; and get a glimpse of the text.

~~~~~

<http://www.democracydefined.org/>

The Home Page of the not-for-profit Educational Campaign for RESTORATION and UNIVERSAL ADOPTION of CONSTITUTIONAL COMMON LAW TRIAL BY JURY.

**Join the Campaign! Download and distribute  
the posters and educational pamphlets.**

**[Membership gratis.](#)**