


<http://www.democracydefined.org/>

The Democracy Defined Educational Campaign.

Media and General Enquiries: campaign@democracydefined.org

The DEMOCRACY DEFINED CAMPAIGN PHILOSOPHY is endorsed by academics, former official government advisers (U.S. & U.K.), attorneys, doctors (of jurisprudence, medicine, physiology, psychiatry, homeopathy, philosophy), judges (U.S. & U.K.), and is spread by Activist Members *from all walks of life*.
VERITAS, COGNITIO, IUSTITIA, LIBERTAS.



Forward this pdf and/or print it out at A4-size and circulate it, and share on your social networks.

(Standard English Spelling. May be viewed at 125%)

LET US SEIZE A GOLDEN OPPORTUNITY!

‘We the People’ have to acquire *our own elected Independent Representatives*. *Why?* Because, the established leaders of all the major political parties refuse to debate *the principal economic issue of our time*. **We must not allow paid (off) politicians to continue to prevaricate and dodge this pivotal issue.**

AND WHAT IS THIS “PRINCIPAL ECONOMIC ISSUE”?

It is that the current usurious, fraudulent debt-based currency system impoverishes and enfetters all of us, yet, although it is demonstrably felonious, it is intended to hold sway in Britain, Australia and the U.S. *whoever* wins the next parliamentary / congressional elections...

Constitutional Common Law economic and fiscal measures proscribe (outlaw) the Common Law Crimes of Usury (recognised as such by Articles Ten and Eleven) and the fraudulent practice of Fractional Reserve Lending; returning to the People through a national government department, the treasury, the duty of issuance of interest-free currency and credit to the **Common Law Economy***; i.e., ‘state money’ (*aka* Sovereign National Credit) thus precluding all taxation levied to repay interest and capital to private bank-owners for their illegal issuance at Usury. Restoration of the Constitution’s rule of law dissolves the counterfeit (bogus) ‘National Debt.’

*We refer, for example, to our treasury’s three hundred million pound (£300 million) interest-free issuance in 1914, equal to **thirty-three billion, five hundred and sixty-nine million today** (viz. Office for National Statistics composite price index), and similar renowned measures taken previously by Presidents Jefferson, Madison and Jackson, to Lincoln’s ‘greenbacks’, and Franklin’s Colonial Scrip(18). See (18) Democracy Defined: *The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1902848280.

Here follows an extract from the Restoration Campaign Philosophy (book).

See Reviews and the book’s front and back covers at the end of the text.

See website to download the statutory solution:

[THE RESTORATION AMENDMENT \(statute\):](#)

[THE POLITICAL PROGRAM FOR PATRIOTS AND INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES](#)

BANKERS AND THE NEW WORLD ORDER.

Consider the effects upon you, your families and future progeny, resulting from the fact that the United Nations Organisation is controlled and influenced principally by the minute clique of private owners of the finance houses including the 'Central' banks (for example, Bank of England, U.S. Federal Reserve), the World Bank, and the International Monetary Fund. (Ref. section, Crime, Holocaust and War; Chapter Four.)

Our elected representative governments do *not* issue national currency and credit. Of course, if they did, then currency and credit would be provided to the economy for infrastructure, businesses and domestic users *at no interest—people do not charge interest to themselves!* Instead, for absolutely no (*good*) reason, the issuance of currency (which Abraham Lincoln pointed out is correctly one of the principal functions of representative government) *is turned—by hideously corrupted and unthinking politicians—over to the banks.* Private banks' owners, the money-lenders, charge interest on 'money' and 'credit' which are nevertheless unsecured (because the deposits they hold are not the possession of the banks but are rightly the owned asset of the depositors). This bank-issued "credit" is created merely by the insertion of 'numbers' in a ledger or computer. Readers, if *you* performed this activity you would be incarcerated for fraud...

“Banking was conceived in iniquity and was born in sin. The bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money, and with the flick of the pen [in the ledger, or nowadays by the computer entry] they will create enough deposits to buy it back again. However, take it away from them, and all the great fortunes like mine will disappear and they ought to disappear, for this would be a happier and better world to live in. But, if you wish to remain the slaves of bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, let them continue to create money.” Attributed to an informal talk by industrialist and railways' owner Sir Josiah Stamp at the University of Texas in the 1920s. Stamp was the Director of the Bank of England (appointed 1928). At that time, Stamp was the second wealthiest man in England, after Rothschild.

“The study of money, above all other fields in economics, is one in which complexity is used to disguise truth or to evade truth, not to reveal it. The process by which banks create money is so simple the mind is repelled. With something so important, a deeper mystery seems only decent.”

See *Money: Whence It Came, Where It Went*; 1975, John Kenneth Galbraith, Professor of Economics, Harvard University.

Terms such as 'cycles', credit crunch, stagnation, recession, inflation, stag-flation, slump, economic 'stability' and 'prosperity' are bandied about by media employees who wish the public to believe these phenomena are 'inevitable' and 'unavoidable'. Not so! Exposition is altogether avoided by these cold-blooded liars as to the *ulterior* and *real* causes behind such wretched occurrences, which are actually *pre-planned*. These events, which cause anguish and privation to people worldwide, are the *intended* outcome of a (Western) world economy subject to complete control. Today's economies are ruthlessly manipulated wherever private banks are in control, and this includes all but a handful of countries. Recession and slump are *not* "natural" or "unpredictable cyclical events." They are planned and used to keep the banks in control over governments and population. **Banks, or rather the banks' private owners, initiate and are responsible for the boom-bust cycle.**

In his book, *Memoirs*, David Rockefeller admits *secretly* working to achieve the bankers' furtive nwo takeover, which, incidentally, inevitably involves treason against the People and Constitution of the United States. Although he reveals his conspiratorial past, his 1991 statement to the Trilateral Commission indicates an

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

assumption that his “march,” with the other unnamed members of this (secret) cabal had by then become unstoppable; and that the bankers’ felonies are above and beyond the reach of law and the Constitution.

Definition. cabal, a clandestine political clique or faction working for illicit ends.

“Some even believe we [the Rockefeller family of bank-owners, the Chase Manhattan corporate giant] are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterising my family and me as ‘internationalists’ and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure — One World, if you will. If that’s the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.”

See p.405, Memoirs, by David Rockefeller.

“We are grateful to The Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But, the work is now much more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a World Government.”

Video of David Rockefeller’s report (talk) to the Trilateral Commission, 1991.

Rockefeller confesses to being part of a secret cabal conspiring secretly to destroy the sovereignty of the people everywhere and impose the bankers’ new world order.

“The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual élite and world bankers [unelected autocratic dictatorship] is surely preferable to the national auto-determination [democracy] practised in past centuries.”

Ibid.

In the RFID chipped cashless society, access to (payment for) every item essential to life can be instantly blocked simply by someone at the bank switching off the chip. Any political opposition and reasonable dissent can result in infliction of this life-threatening modern technological *Excommunication*, manipulated through the (unavoidably inevitable, and hence in effect, compulsory) implanted chip.

“The end goal is to get everybody chipped, to control the whole society, to have the bankers and the élite people control the world.”

Nicholas Rockefeller to Aaron Russo (see personal interview video).

The power to corrupt men and women is enormous. Temptation cannot be withstood by many. The cabal’s mission to undermine the ideals, induce degeneration of the way of life and fragment the former general cohesion of Western societies has long been planned and progressively executed. It is controlled by those few who wield power covertly to achieve their goals. Directly and indirectly, they supervise politicians of all political complexions and the judiciary to implement their agenda. The most corrupt are raised to prominence to mislead and delude the rest of the population with the collusion of the controlled mass media.

To stop the cabal’s maleficence, their objective, criminal record and modus operandi require to be exposed. They must be arrested in their progress and brought to justice, for, as stated, their crimes are innumerable, mortal, and the evidence to the wrongdoing, incontrovertible. Despite politicians’ claim to “represent” their electorates’ interests, as underwriters of the illegal and immoral treaties of the United Nations, our wholly suborned Western governments are implementing a (largely covert, undeclared) illegal program. This involves confiscating all property and land from private hands and controlling its use. *Taking it into ‘public’ ownership and control actually means ownership by the bankers because, under the illegal current*

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

system, the enormous (apparent but bogus) indebtedness to banks of our governments means all the wealth of nations is now owed to the bank-owners.

Atrocious U.N. measures such as Agendas 21 and 30, the “Great Reset,” affecting every person whether they are aware of it or not, are reflected by execrable legislation at home. Regarding such fundamental rights as to have and be part of a family; to possess the material fruit of one’s labours such as property, housing, land, artefacts, farms and so on; *and the right to equal treatment for all before the law*; these latter are new world order targets for suppression. Unavoidable subjection to the ‘fascist’ theory of the state set out in Socrates’ and Plato’s gulag Republic is now nearly realised. George Sutherland explains:

“It is not the right of property which is protected, but the right to property. Property, per se, has no rights; but the individual—the man—has three great rights, equally sacred from arbitrary interference: the right to his life, the right to his liberty, the right to his property. The three rights are so bound together as to be essentially one right. To give a man his life but deny him his liberty, is to take from him all that makes his life worth living. To give him his liberty but take from him the property which is the fruit and badge of his liberty, is to still leave him a slave.”

George Sutherland, Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court, 1921. Emphasis added. NY Bar Association Constitutional Review, Vol. 5, #4, 1921. Yale University Press, 1990.

Readers, everything which you and I hold dear, long protected under our immaculate Trial by Jury rule of common law, will soon have been destroyed *unless* we take the correct, the *effective*, step of **Restoration**, by which to penalise and deter government and financial crime. We must prevent injustice from prevailing. The precepts of civilised life are being progressively but definitely obliterated, and the directly accountable men and women committing this deed are the people’s employees paid for by taxes. These collaborators are triable: men and women in congresses, senates and parliaments, judiciaries and legal professions.

People do realise that there is much going very wrong today, but with the bankers’ meretricious paid accomplices in the mass media obscuring the facts, they are uncertain of the real source of their straits. People are helpless to save themselves until they come to understand both *the cause* and *its resolution*. Unless the population at large unite single-mindedly to put the Restoration of the common law Trial by Jury Justice System first and foremost, the bankers’ chilling plans will be achieved. So, that indicates that there is but one and only one constructive way forward. The bankowners’ bloody crimes must be duly confronted by common law means. The implacable parasitic power which is responsible for engendering the demise of democracy and causing so much suffering can be accurately targeted and tried.

Definition. meretricious, adjective, of or pertaining to harlots; false.

Etymology, Latin, meretrix, a harlot; merere, to earn.

Consider Patrick Carmack’s communication with the author:

“I think it is certainly true that Keynesian economics, as put into practice, has handed the economic power of the West to a few men who now almost totally control it. Likewise, I agree that the trial by jury is an essential bulwark of democracy and justice against a bankers’ tyranny. I congratulate you on disseminating the above points.”

His Hon. Justice Patrick S.J. Carmack, Esq.

Producer of *The Money Masters* video documentary. www.themoneymasters.com

See also Keynes, Friedman, Central Banks Are Not National, etc., in Chapter Six.

**THE CRIME OF USURY,
THE ORIGINS OF ANTI-SEMITISM AND
THE DEADLY PURSUIT OF MAMMON.**

Regardless of whosoever has made concessions to the money-lenders, usury cannot be condoned by any justly-minded, thinking person. It is sometimes referred to as *Predatory Lending*.

Are we not supposed to think that elected politicians run our world for us and have the public's interests at heart? Alackaday! There is a gravely different reality. The cause of today's socio-political stresses and economic ills is the *inevitable* result of money and credit supply (and their related intrinsic political power) being under the control of a miniscule and altogether malevolent, self-interested, unelected group. To grasp why so much socio-political evil is happening in the world, it is essential to understand cause and effect. Even though our 'politicians' have been inveigled into 'permitting' usury and the fraud of fractional reserve lending, these activities remain universal common law crimes *in perpetuum*. The perpetrators and their accomplices in government deserve and await arraignment by the people.

Apropos of usury, here are some facts. Usury has long been recognised as crime for its inherently illegal destructive attributes, and hence outlawed by the major religions and cultures, including those of Hindus, Buddhists, Jews and Christians; viz. the New Testament teachings of Jesus of Nazareth. This prohibition is emplaced because every society which permits usury paves the way to people's misery, impoverishment and *enslavement to debt*. There is neither reason nor justice in allowing usury, for there are tried and tested alternative methods. Usury was denounced by religious leaders and philosophers, including Moses, Plato, Aristotle, Cato, Cicero, Seneca, Aquinas, Jesus, Philo and Gautama Buddha.

The true definition of Usury is, "the lending of money at interest." All charging of interest on money or credit lent is usurious. Usury is recognised by common law as a high crime and Articles 10 and 11 of the 1215 Great Charter Constitution reflect that position.

The malpractice of usury was unequivocally denounced by the Hebrew culture. Jews from all ages and backgrounds take the uncompromising moral position against the crime of usury. From *whatever* background the usurers come, whether they be Jewish or of other religion or ethnicity, the Jewish people denounce them—for usurers love Mammon (riches) more than mankind. Jews, like everyone else, suffer when they fall prey to usurious money-lenders. Whatever their social status, those who need to borrow money are often those who can least afford to repay the capital sum borrowed. When one adds *interest* to repayment, the problem is compounded.

The iconic figure Moses, so prominent in Jewish culture as saviour of the Hebrew People and bearer of the timeless great moral code of commandments, could hardly be accused of being "anti-Semitic," yet his teachings recognised principles of behaviour which forbid the abomination that is usury. Be assured: there is nothing anti-Semitic in derogating the abhorrent felony of usury and denouncing those who practise it. The original, constitutional Magna Carta of 1215 followed this teaching, as did all devout Jewry and European Christendom.

Magna Carta Article 10. "If any one has taken anything, whether much or little, by way of loan from Jews, and if he dies before that debt is paid, the debt shall not carry usury so long as the heir is under age, from whomsoever he may hold his lands [i.e., the freeholder's (aka freeman's) tenancy]. And if that debt falls into our (the Crown's) hands, we will take responsibility for only the principal (i.e., the capital sum without interest) contained in the note."

Magna Carta Article 11. “*If a man dies owing money to Jews, his wife may have her dower and pay nothing towards the debt from it. If he leaves children who are under age their needs may also be provided for on a scale appropriate to the size of his holding of land. The debt is to be paid out of the residue, reserving the service due to those from whom he rents the land, his feudal lord. Debts owed to persons other than Jews are to be dealt with in the same manner.” This is equal justice.*

Usury was forbidden to Jews and proscribed by Christians under mediaeval canon (Catholic) law long before the Great Charter's ratification in 1215. The philosophy was adopted not only from the Torah (the Hebrew Bible or Biblical Pentateuch), but also the teachings of Jesus of Nazareth in the New Testament. Jesus stated that those who had material wealth in excess of their needs should lend *without charge* or simply bestow in gift upon those in want and penury. Lending at ***no*** interest was not illegal, but the usurious practice of charging *interest* on assets lent was prohibited as a sin, a crime and a vice.

The Universal Catholic Church was in an undisputed ascendancy in religious matters. Judeo-Christian principles provided the moral guidelines of behaviour for virtually the entire European population. The hearts and minds of the great mass of people were deeply attached to, and in reverence of, the Judeo-Christian virtues which they embraced. The prohibition of money-lending-at-interest was extremely strict.

Obviously, there was no formal religion of Christianity in the lifetime of Jesus. Jesus was a Jew, born into the House of those descended from King David, King of Judea, whose capital city was Jerusalem. Jesus says, “Lend, hoping for nothing in return.” A strong rejection of loans-at-interest came from Jesus, reported in the Gospel of Luke, 6:35.

The only occasion Jesus is known to have used violence was when he overturned the money-changers' tables and ejected them from the Temple courtyard. These parasites were profiting from excessive charges to people for trade exchanges made in the courtyard when people bought and bartered for the only coin acceptable to the Temple's Council; the Sanhedrin. This was the required 'offering' when people entered to pray, for it was not of Roman coinage: the silver half-shekel. Jesus rebuked the usurers for turning the Temple, the House of Prayer, into “a den of thieves.” Ref. Mark, 11:15. Jesus was standing up for the traditional rules of Judaism.

Seen in context, those people referred to as Jews in Magna Carta are *specifically* the usurers; a clique; the individuals apart who practised usury against the morality and teachings of their own elders, patriarchs, prophets and people; and in flagrant infraction of the code of the Christian population amongst whom they had come to live. They broke the law with impunity, because, as Jews, they could not be punished under Christian canon law, and again, as seen in context, Magna Carta is mild on the issue.

Christians knew that the adverse and frequently ruinous results which derive from Usury had led to its (Pentateuch) Biblical Prohibition for Jews. Considering that *non-Jews* were liable to and received the scourge of extreme punishments for committing the crime of charging usury, when Jews exploited their exclusivity as outsiders (non-citizens of a country) to charge interest for loans to non-Jews, they did their race and people the greatest historic disservice. In this way, over time, they instigated a source of friction and hostility, generating a *racial* attitude towards Jews as being corrupt and unintegrated unless they adopted the Christian law of prohibition which derived in the first place from Jesus's Jewish-derived Prohibition of Usury.

Apparently, there were none amongst the other Jews who could prevail upon the *apostate* usurers to desist from their illicit acts. Usurers exploited their situation to become affluent off the unfortunates in desperate need of funds, but who could not borrow from legal sources (i.e., those without interest) because of the prohibition, or because of their impecuniosity and likelihood of default. Articles 10 and 11 of Magna

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

Carta were temperate, a response showing great restraint, merely intending that offspring who inherited the debt of a parent, or the widow of a deceased husband who had borrowed at interest from the criminal money-lenders, need not pay interest, usury, on outstanding loans. Incidentally, it should be borne in mind that *the borrower* also participates in the crime of usury and can even be said to encourage it (incitation).

There is no logical explanation for the origin and intensity of the continuing loathsome vice of anti-Semitism other than the ubiquitous, visible, gravely deleterious *effects* seen throughout the West and non-Communist world, which are the direct result produced by the usurers themselves. Anti-Semitism is an unfounded and utterly misplaced emotion, *for the people comprising the Jewish population are not to blame.*

In those days, people were seriously committed to their religious beliefs. Usury was the ever-present progenitor of anti-Jewish racism, far more than the Sanhedrin's death penalty on Jesus, as is sometimes proposed. After all, Jesus's followers believed that he was divinely sent and intended to make an example of himself by dying for the sins of all anyway. No; that is the bankers' apologist's distraction; a 'red herring' posited by those who seek to conceal and block from people's perception, the momentous significance of the guilty reality... The real source is elsewhere; found in the incessant social discord and angst generated everywhere at the personal and local level by interest charged on borrowing. Ultimately, at the national stratum, war and conflict are promoted, if not caused, by the money-lenders lending to all belligerents on all sides of conflicts seeking to arm themselves for wars of aggression. Usurious, unprincipled bank-owners are the long-term, repeated saboteurs of democracy, prosperity, peace, progress, social comity and justice.

“When a government is dependent upon bankers for money, they and not the leaders of the government control the situation, since the hand that gives is above the hand that takes. Money has no motherland; financiers are without patriotism and without decency; their sole object is gain.”

Napoleon Bonaparte, Emperor of France. (Ref. bibliographical note at end of chapter.)

The population at large learned about the depravity of money-lending-at-interest from the Jewish Bible (the Hebrew Bible or Torah) and the Old Testament. They were taught by the New Testament that, *“the love of money is the root of all evil.”* For multiple generations and over the two millennia (two thousand years) to date, indigenous European and other populations were appalled, offended and deeply inflamed by what they came to think of as uniquely “Jewish” behaviour, the money-lenders' proudly flagrant criminal and irreligious activities.

Usurers are recognised as iniquitous parasites by atheists, theists, Hindus, Buddhists, Jews and Christians. Usurers indebt and fleece the financially vulnerable. The extreme degree to which their exploitation of their 'immunity' as non-Christians engendered deep-seated, smouldering resentment—nay, *hatred*—cannot be overstated. It redounded repeatedly against the pious and the integrated Jews with utmost calamity. All were tarred with the same brush. Yet, **the bank-owning apostates** who *claim* to be Jews but actually *reject* the good law of Judaism, practise usury to this day, preying remorselessly on rich and poor alike with *the collusive assistance* of felonious politicians, media, and career judges.

Those money-lenders today who charge interest, yet who claim to be of the Judaic faith, are, according to their own religion, reprehensible criminal apostates.

Exodus 22:25 forbids oppressing one's neighbour with *usury*.

Leviticus 25:35-36 says if your brother is poor do not charge him *usury*.

Deuteronomy 23:19 says thou shalt not lend upon *interest* to thy brother: *interest* of money, *interest* of victuals, *interest* of any thing which is lent upon *interest*.

The Jewish prophet Ezekiel, 18:7-8 and 13, said *the righteous do not lend at usury; and that usurers "shall not live."* Thus, here, money-lending-at-interest by Jews is pronounced by Jews to be a crime deserving capital punishment (the death penalty).

Another Old Testament word on the issue came from the **Psalmist** who commanded the godly (god-respecting people) to aid their neighbours when lending to them, *by not lending to them at interest.*

The usurers defy ethical and religious laws to manoeuvre themselves into positions of advantage and hegemony. They have today achieved such a great degree of influence that they have even had *language* contaminated, to alter and mould the population's mindset, by having lexicons, courts (judges) and law dictionaries 're-define' usury by false definitions, such as "exceptionally high interest" or "above the amount prescribed by law"—*as if statutes made by and for the usurers are 'legal'!* The criminal modus operandi of the financial-corporate cartels has been 'permitted' (abetted) by corrupted government politicians, not to mention the modern Catholic and Anglican Churches. It has come to comprise significant evidence for, and a major component of, **the Illegality of the Status Quo.** Although usury is now rife, it does not mean that it is right or legal. We the People cannot ignore our duty to eradicate usury—along with all government Crimes against Humanity. The move to deny the constitutionality and enforceability of the Common Law Articles in the Great Charter and the related suppression of the powers, procedures, rights and duties of citizen-jurors in the authentic Constitutional Trial by Jury have their source in the anti-constitutional, treasonous activities of bankers (sometimes referred to as 'banksters' by combining the word banker with gangster). The 1215 Great Charter Constitution and other lawful constitutions have been progressively usurped in proportion to the increase of the influence of the usurers; the bank-owners. Usurers and their fractional reserve lending fraud now infect Democratic Civilisation to the degree that they could soon render it extinct.

"I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies."

Thomas Jefferson; see *Writings of Thomas Jefferson*, ed. H.A. Washington, Lippincotts, Philadelphia.

Usury seems to be one subject on which the cognisant folk of the entire world agree. When men and women come to understand the unmitigated harm which usury does, people are united in their opposition to it. The damage is not only to *individuals* who borrow but also to all of us by banks charging interest to our *governments* for borrowing when, *rather than banks*, the people's administrations' government treasury department should instead be issuing credit and currency *interest-free* to the economy.

Usury so depresses the socio-economic complex that seeing Ezekiel's pronouncement should provoke in people a moment of intellectual reflection to wonder just how it would be to live in a world *without* usury? Such a pause for moral and economic thinking concludes that punitive retribution and forfeiture mandated by the Common Law Prohibition of Usury retroactively enforced through the People's Courts of the Common Law Trial by Jury would be the just and only correct course of action. **Restoration** would ensure this monetary reform; a gradual, growing massive return of the wealth of nations to the common populace, amongst numerous concomitant benefits.

See sections on Benjamin Franklin and Colonial Scrip.

Also see section, *Consider the Benefits of Restoration of Trial by Jury at the end of the chapter.*

THE SUCCESS OF COLONIAL SCRIP—A SOUND FIAT CURRENCY, AND THE REAL CAUSE OF THE COLONISTS' WAR OF INDEPENDENCE.

On both sides of the Atlantic, using their pervasive, pernicious influence, to date the financiers have always managed to have the most significant facts omitted and

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

history re-written in such a way that school books conceal the real *source* of the painful economic phenomena which brought loyal, brave principled British Colonists to their War of Independence. The legal tender currency of Colonial Scrip banknotes was issued *interest-free* under the governance of the colonial congress administration. Veritably, the British government's legislation prohibiting Colonial Scrip visited such dire results to the population that they were as deleterious and disruptive as if they had been intentional acts of war.

Benjamin Franklin, the savant and inventor of the lightning-rod conductor, was at the very hub of affairs. He later explained how suppression of Colonial Scrip was the original cause of the American Revolution *and not taxation*—the tax on tea or the Stamp Act—as has been taught down the generations time and again in history books¹. The 'Boston Tea Party' was an act of defiance; a symptom induced from a quite different grievance. *The bank owners do not wish folk to know the common law way to widespread prosperity—without interest, without bank charges, without taxes levied to repay banks...*

1 See Benjamin Franklin, *Causes of the American Discontents Before 1768*.

Money, legal tender, is merely a convenient means of effecting exchange. It can come in coin or paper. Rather than having to carry goods around to barter here and there to obtain your weekly shopping, instead you can pay for your needs simply by handing over some paper money banknotes. Very useful! So, although some people denigrate currency and credit, as tools, as mechanisms of exchange, one can see that money of itself is harmless and handy. Money is only as 'dirty' as those who handle it!

It is an historical fact that fiat 'scrip' (banknotes) issued *interest-free*, not by private banks but by government backed by the people and wealth of the nation, at increments in line with growth (for example, 2 to 3 per cent per annum), is stable, reliable currency. Scrip worked exceedingly well in the prospering British American colonies.

The British colonists were men and women of mettle, independence of spirit, fortitude and principle, trailblazers forging a modern democratic (Trial by Jury) civilisation where before there was none, out of an almost pristine landscape. Born of necessity for self-preservation in this often hostile New World, both individual self-reliance and a mutual close-bonding unity amongst the colonists forged the hardy nature of the British colonial pioneer spirit.

In 1729, Franklin wrote, "*A Modest Enquiry into the Nature and Necessity of a Paper Currency*," and published it to the members of the Colonial Congress. He proposed monetarising the colonies with a fiat currency; new paper banknotes called Colonial Scrip. It was to be the legal tender money issued *without interest*, to enable all commerce, trade, private and public transactions, including local taxes.

In a word, scrip was *money*.

Obviously, it was only paper, so it did not compete with precious metals for their intrinsic value; but the *purchasing value* of the paper money was to be set and then kept stable. This was achieved, not by basing scrip's value on *metals*, gold and silver of fluctuating value and unreliable availability due to relative scarcity. Instead, the fiat currency was to be guaranteed and underwritten by the entire people of the colonies committing themselves to it, as manifested by their representative governments. It was financially backed by the intrinsic value of all the land and production of the United New England Colonies. This latter, of course, amounted to an unimaginably large sum. It could not even be approached, let alone outcompeted, by any *private* banking organisation. It was far in excess of and superior to any central banking system offering usury, fraudulent fractional reserve lending and "assets." These latter assets are anyway the bloodstained criminal gains of the bankowners, which—at common law and Constitution—are *perennially* vulnerable to forfeiture, dispossession,

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

amercement and confiscation through retroactive application of timeless common law and re-implementation of the proper Constitutional Trial by Jury Justice System. A return to Trial by Jury for all law enforcement ensures the return of justice to the economy; precluding the current bankowners' modus operandi, and preventing theft of the people's wealth. To achieve the aspiration of eliminating the Illegality of the Status Quo and return to open government merely requires **Restoration** of Common Law Trial by Jury by which all men and women in government are subject to scrutiny and supervision under the rule of law.

Scrip was also financially guaranteed in the sense that it would be *stabilised*. The supply of money was to be kept approximately equal to the value of goods and services produced. With the money supply being incremented strictly at appropriate rates generally in the region of circa 2 to 3 per cent per year, it was predicted (and turned out) that there was neither inflation nor deflation. No commodity or precious-metal-based economy could hope to claim any such stability! Indeed, the trade in rare commodities such as precious metals is stimulated by, and *only* by, *price instability*, which in turn permits speculation, encourages profiteering—and this is all at the expense of stability.

Scrip enhanced all internal colonial American economic activities which were put into a state of natural organic growth, soon achieving abundance. Borrowing, saving, investment, commerce, employment, productivity, infrastructure and growth: affluence swiftly came to the colonies. This was all made possible by—and *was safely dependent upon*—scrip fiat banknotes, government-issued at no interest.

Stimulated as no other society at that date, the colonies came soon upon such widespread prosperity as was not even enjoyed at home in the mother country, England. As the two million colonists thrived, their collective self-confidence grew. They knew they could survive, and survive well, without England. (Apart from usurious bankers), Franklin's system received enthusiastic support amongst the colonists principally because scrip was issued by government to the economy *without interest*. Thus, government borrowing at interest from private banks was precluded; *and*, no tax needed to be raised from the people to repay government borrowing-at-interest.

The colonies' administrators were practical, productive, enterprising persons; not career bureaucrats or politicians. They had immediately understood the advantages of such a system; and the degree to which growth, employment, productivity and prosperity would be stimulated by it. Impressed, they adopted it without hesitation—and gave Franklin the job of actually getting the currency printed; a task which, incidentally, he later stated that he relished. (See his autobiography.)

By 1750 a generation later, this New England had become significantly prosperous with the affluence widespread. The people thrived without having to obtain exorbitant coins made of rare metals for purchases or trade. Benjamin Franklin wrote later:

“There was abundance in the Colonies, and peace reigned on every border. It was difficult, even impossible, to find a happier and more prosperous nation on all the surface of the globe. Comfort prevailed in every home. The people, in general, kept the highest moral standards, and education was widely spread.” Ibid.

The men and women in the British New World Colonies quickly came to enjoy the highest standard of living in the world. The colonial administrations' civilised monetary system was utterly unlike *today's unconstitutional economy* wherein profit-seeking unaudited *private bank-owners* control issuance of currency and credit, and charge interest to the government (de facto, the people) for fictitious 'credit'; mere numbers in a ledger.

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

As eloquently described in the quotation attributed to Sir Josiah Stamp (above), the banks do not lend or issue *real* money. It is merely an unsecured entry in a ledger at the flick of a pen or the click of a 'mouse'. Yet, the usury and capital on these *notional* acts of "borrowing" (fraud) are "repaid" out of *the real wealth* made by the toil of the borrower who has to pay completely unnecessary and unjustifiable *taxes*. Today's situation, that is, the Illegality of the Status Quo, affirms that at present, amongst numerous other contraventions of the rule of law, our political and administrative class is judicable for the most treasonous of activities: breaches of the Constitution and collusion in providing an illegal private monopoly on the nation's credit to an unelected, usurious, unaccountable, profit-seeking financial corporatocracy.

Somewhat later, when Franklin visited England as envoy representative of the colonists' interests, he was appalled to observe beggars on the streets of London, deep poverty and unemployment within the capital of what was then considered the richest and most powerful nation in the world. Yet, he noted, there were many with obvious abundant wealth who complained to Franklin of over-taxation.

England was then on the brink of realising the full flux of the Industrial Revolution; a transformation of productivity and employment brought about by the ingenuity of the inventor and commercial enterprise of the risk-taking entrepreneur. At this time, the 'Poorhouses' were still numerous. Poorhouses were debtors' prisons, wherein inmates' labour was taken by the poorhouse's administration in lieu of the fees charged for 'residence'. Hence, few were ever able to pay their debts and acquire release. In England at that time, unemployment meant life wasted in the poorhouse or, often, compulsory transportation to penal colonies overseas, like as not, never to see home again.

Always with a hawk-eye for any opportunities to acquire wealth from the Colonies by taxation, parliamentarians, dignitaries, and administrators in the London Colonial Office, were curious to hear from Franklin about New England's economic prospects. In formal exchanges and social conversations, Franklin explained the prosperity of the American colonies... Success was arrived at by the just monetarists' solution: scrip paper currency issued at no interest. The supply was sufficient and not more than required to move all goods freely from the producers to the consumers; its purchasing power thus being steady, stable and controlled as it was issued.

As misfortune would have it, at those crucial moments, William Pitt, the Elder, the greatest statesman of the age and one who fervently supported the cause of the British New World colonists—not that of the banks and the unpatriotic Hanoverian dynasty monarch—was out of office. The first law proscribing Colonial Scrip was passed in 1751. The prohibition was then intensified by a more restrictive law in 1763.

Precipitately, the medium of exchange in circulation had been reduced by half. Franklin reported that in one short year after the implementation of this prohibition on Colonial Scrip, there was sudden widespread unemployment and, for the first time in formerly affluent New England, numerous beggars were to be seen on the streets of the Colonies—just as there were in England.

There was not nearly enough precious-metal money in circulation to pay for food, goods or the labour involved in producing them, but the grasping British monarch and government demanded taxes and trade be paid exclusively using gold and silver coins (for these would have value back home). The suppression of Colonial Scrip produced an unimaginably disastrous result to colonial folk. They were all in the same pickle. Reducing the medium of exchange by half rapidly stifled and stalled production and trade everywhere. The horrendous *slump* produced was, as usual, man-made, not 'natural'.

Franklin and others noted that suppression of the colonies' successful interest-free fiat currency was the cause of the Revolution—***not*** the taxes on tea, nor the Stamp Act.

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

The authors of our schoolbooks find the slogan, “no taxation without representation,” useful to distract us from the truth that the core problem was British parliament’s suppression of the issuance by the colonies of scrip currency interest-free; the callous shut-down of the colonists’ thriving economy.

As scrip rendered borrowing at interest from private banks totally superfluous, it must be appreciated that powerful, influential, but corrupt figures from the world of finance would move mountains to stop the spread of such an idea as, “**government issue of interest-free currency and credit to the economy.**” For this is anathema to the usurer.

The taxation to be paid by the colonists was an important but peripheral issue to them. Even if they had had “representation,” with hindsight it is unlikely that it could have prevailed over the bankers’ influence. *The system of private banks’ issuance of loans at interest through the Bank of England to the U.K. government had already been entrenched since 1694.* Lies to protect and perpetuate the money-lenders’ ongoing criminal activities have been taught in history books for generations. Recruiting politicians and influential establishment figures to their scheme, together with dictated state ‘education’ and controlled media propaganda, the bankowners weave to deceive, not only manipulating current affairs but also by literally re-writing history. Again, ask yourself, ‘who are these Mammonites?’ and, ‘do I accept and submit to their rule?’

Unsurprisingly, the population could not obtain enough gold and silver currency to pay George’s taxes, maintain their own living standards and conduct trade. Suppression of Colonial Scrip through human fallibility—autocratic despotism and shameful greed, avarice—were principal causes of the War of Independence. Speaking of Colonial Scrip, English historian John Twells stated,

“It was the monetary system under which America’s Colonies flourished to such an extent that Edmund Burke was able to write about them: ‘Nothing in the history of the world resembles their progress. It was a sound and beneficial system, and its effects led to the happiness of the people.’”

“In a bad hour, the British Parliament took away from America its representative money, forbade any further issue of bills of credit, these bills ceasing to be legal tender, and ordered that all taxes should be paid in coins. Consider now the consequences: this restriction of the medium of exchange paralysed all the industrial energies of the people. Ruin took place in these once flourishing Colonies; most rigorous distress visited every family and every business, discontent became desperation, and reached a point, to use the words of Dr. Johnson, when human nature rises up and asserts its rights.”

Another writer, historian Peter Cooper, expresses himself along the same lines. Having described how Franklin had explained to the London Parliament the cause of great prosperity in the Colonies, Cooper wrote:

“After Franklin gave explanations on the true cause of the prosperity of the Colonies, the Parliament exacted laws forbidding the use of this money (Scrip) in the payment of taxes. This decision brought so many drawbacks and so much poverty to the people that it was the main cause of the Revolution.

“Suppression of the Colonists’ currency was the principal reason for the uprising rather than the Tea tax and Stamp Act.”

ECONOMIC PROBLEMS.

Economic problems are not caused by the mechanisms of exchange per se. They arise principally, and often only, because those *people* in control of the economy are, for varying reasons, unreliable. This is a situation which causes disruption and chaos no matter what the economy is based upon. Commentators are all too ready to jump on

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

this or that economic bandwagon, such as the return to the “gold standard,” because of the boom-bust instability of the economy. They insist that *their* notion must be the one adopted, for it will “solve all the problems.” However, by their missing the *real* cause of the problems, they mistake the ‘solution’ (or conceal it with ‘red herrings’; intentional irrelevancies). They spread disinformation, postpone the solution and prolong the agony. In economics, as in most problematical social matters, *the fallibility of humans is the cause*.

In the case of “a return to gold,” this is no answer to the bank-owners’ instigated boom-bust “cycle” manipulations. This is because, under the present fraudulent financial set-up of private ‘central’ banks running the economy, not only the gold but ***all*** the commodities and resources of the West and many developing countries are *already* possessions in the hands of, or ‘owed’ to, the banks. Today, national precious metals and minerals both above and underground are already owned by the banks or ‘owed’ to the banks’ (owners) in return for ‘loans’.

For as long as unaware populations and treacherous unconstitutional governments permit it, the owners’ banking ‘corporatocracy’ will rule and continue to damage the civilisation and culture of democracy which they fear. Through this present phoney system—and their profligate borrowing and squandering—flagitious politicians have ensured that the unpayably large “national debt” has been secured against the current and future *taxation* of the population. The people are slaves bound in chains of debt to their master; the slave owner; the chief banker, without any prospect of emancipation—but for that one peaceful means which was recognised and constitutionally emplaced for us by our worthy forebears: Restoration of the Common Law’s Constitutional Trial by Jury to which every man, woman and child is subject, without immunity for a single soul.

Those ‘economist’ commentators in the media who mischievously misstate that every fiat money system ever tried, “failed,” or similar fictions, and that the West must return to metal-backed currency, could not serve the private owners of the central banks more if they were covertly bribed servers of the criminal ‘bankster’ plutocrats... For the banks would be quite content to return to *any* commodity-backed system under the present Illegality of the Status Quo which deprives the people of their legal power and constitutional Trial by Jury prosecution process (for the peaceful elimination of unjust laws and anti-democratic government; see Chapter Four).

This needs emphasising: To redress the Illegality of the Status Quo, the people’s control over the Justice System (all acts of enforcement of law) must be restored *first*. Nothing in the way of a real, lasting amelioration of the status quo can be effected until the populace at large addresses the theoretical and practical necessity of Restoration.

By the time Pitt returned, the crass treachery of the king and his minions in parliament had caused warfare and the national separation had become irreversible. Nevertheless, the universal ideal of equal justice embodied in the common law articles of Magna Carta which define and prescribe the Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System were deeply entrenched in the beliefs and principles of the Founding Fathers. Hence, the Trial by Jury Justice System was installed in the U.S. Constitution.

Like Colonial Scrip, again, later on, Abraham Lincoln proved with his introduction of the “greenback” dollar that a fiat currency backed only by the reliability of the word of the government can be successful. There is never a need for governments to borrow from private sources, and no reason to fall into the trap of obliging tax payers to pay interest on currency and credit issued into the economy.

CENTRAL BANKS ARE *NOT* NATIONAL.

“If congress has the right under the Constitution to issue paper money, it was given them to use themselves, not to be delegated to individuals or corporations.”

President Andrew Jackson. Emphasis added.

Intending to give centralised world economic control, the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) in Basle, Switzerland, *plans, coordinates and dictates* the activities of all the central banks, thus debasing every aspect of life within our Western societies.

Despite the use of the word ‘federal’ in the title of the U.S. Federal Reserve Bank, central banks are *privately* owned and the few owning individuals and families of bankers have long been in ongoing, discreet control of the policies and direction taken by the transient malleable elected politicians and heads of state in government.

A handful of bank-owners regulate Western governments’ spending; and, in all essential issues, bankers supervise our senior politicians and direct government policy from behind the scenes. Through their ubiquitous owned corporations and credit-borrowing subsidiaries, bankers finance all the major parties—and dictate which of our politicians advance to prominence. Whoever gets elected presently will represent the will of the banker, however subtly, or apparently contradictorily.

At the centre of John Maynard Keynes’s (1883-1946) undeservedly famous works was an overriding well-hidden lie. The paramount economic reality—***which Keynes culpably avoided and actively obscured***—is that the central banks are *not* national.

Keynes, aided by the power behind him of those he covertly served, came to dominate economic thought in the first half of the Twentieth Century and his views are repeatedly brought back into fashion. In England, government rewarded him with elevation to the nobility. Keynesian economics posited that state fiscal intervention was necessary to “moderate naturally-occurring” boom and bust cycles. Almost all ‘capitalist’ Western governments adopted his policy recommendations.

It is a self-evident fact that government must have control over *every* aspect of the issuance of money and credit if ***it*** (i.e., the government) is *to supervise the economy*. This applies whether or not the economy has a predominantly free enterprise engine.

The financial crisis of 2007-08 brought back Keynesian thought to prominence, with his economic ideas being applied by President Barack Obama of the U.S., P.M. Gordon Brown of the U.K., and other governments. Keynes is described by the Rothschild magazine, *The Economist*, as, “Britain’s most famous 20th-century economist.” *Perhaps readers will not be surprised to hear that Keynes was promoted to the position of Director of the Bank of England...* With hindsight one knows Keynes was a cunning shade, the calculating purveyor of a great illusion. Keynes’s works seemed to propose moderately socialist-leaning ideas. He persuaded well-intentioned but naïve people to believe he sincerely supported supervision of the economy by elected governments while permitting a private enterprise sector—but it was all a convoluted pretence.

At no time did Keynes advocate government taking up its proper function of issuing currency and credit at no interest. Never did Keynes point out the illegal and anti-Constitutional aspects of the status quo. He did not stand up, expose and oppose the supreme national financial role in society being performed for private profit by the few individuals in control of the central banks; the Fed., the duplicitously misnamed ‘Bank of England’, and others. Keynes was a felon and a traitor to the people.

Thus did Keynes’s improbity effectively represent the interests of the men and women behind the covert dictatorship which prevails today, to the ruin of the population. He played along in the subversion and takeover of Western governments by a few private bankowners. Keynes was an accomplice in a de facto coup d’état.

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

Over the last century, **War** has been these bankers' most profitable policy and venture. The private banks provide *credit at interest* for expenditures, armaments and militarism to all sides and participants in wars and conflict, great and small. In this way, whoever prevails, the victor is always indebted and beholden to the bankowner... Bank-owners think nothing of inflicting slaughter, deprivation and woe upon untold multitudes of civilians and sending off men other than themselves to suffer and die in wars.

It should not pass without mention that Adolf Hitler and the National Socialist Workers Party's rise to power and subsequent massive German re-armament program were knowingly aided by the financial assistance of Prescott Bush, co-owner of Brown Brothers-Harriman, the largest and oldest private U.S. merchant lending bank and an owning Director of the Union Banking Corporation. This latter bank was closed down in 1942 on an injunction served by the FBI for its "trading with the enemy." Bush was the father and grandfather of the U.S. presidents of that name.

Keynesian "economics" became the "model" during the Great Depression, and, while proving that the pen is mightier than the sword, *the message was false*. It was a delusion promulgated and mightily promoted by the owned-and-controlled media—an Orwellian and dismal fact of life even back in those days. *Keynes was mute on the people and policies which were the real cause of the Slump; a disaster for millions.*

In greatest contrast, Economics Nobel laureate Professor Milton Friedman steadfastly incriminated the bankers. By unprecedented research, he detailed in depth their having brought about the Slump *intentionally* by deliberate monetary manipulations of economies while ignoring all their calamitous results to people. The machinations accrued enormous gains, 'repossessions' and hegemony to the banks' owners. The large expansion of private credit (borrowing) in the period leading up to the Great Depression was a typical example of the bank-induced boom-bust cycle (Friedman and Schwartz; 1963¹).

Friedman opposed allowing private banks to run the economy for their own private interests.

¹ See magnum opus, 'A Monetary History of the United States, 1867-1960,' by Economics Nobel laureate Professor Milton Friedman, with Anna Jacobson Schwartz. Also see Friedman's works, 'Capitalism and Freedom,' University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London; 'Why Government Is the Problem,' & 'The Tyranny of the Status Quo,' & with Rose Friedman, 'Free to Choose' (book and the television series of that name, available on video).

Also, in opposition to Keynesian 'theory', when practicable and when they offer greater benefit to those involved at the point of need, Milton preferred private solutions to wasteful, costly state and bureaucratic interventions. His sagacious writings give countless reasons, scientific economic proofs and effective examples.

Keynes was fêted for his writings which misinformed millions. He *served* to enrich and entrench the ascendancy of a tiny clutch of fevered materialistic inhuman opportunists. 'Economists' of Keynes's ilk who obfuscate the Illegality of the Status Quo—and this conspicuously includes current affairs media 'analysts'—become the publicised darling 'celebrities' of the bank-corporate-government controlled mass media.

While bemoaning the current precarious economic circumstances, lying like Keynes, *the media* make a point of *not revealing* that the current (and recurrent) 'malaise' is a bankers' pre-planned, hegemony-and-money-making subterfuge. Seldom if ever do you read or hear the media indicate that *the cure for economic ills* involves removing the power to issue national currency and credit from the Fed and central banks, and restoring this function to the people, the government, and making issuance interest-free. Displaying all the independent intellect of the dancing wooden marionette, 'analysts' argue and pitch the ball of contention back and forth from 'left' to 'right' without breathing a word about the *real* solution; all the while shielding from exposure the criminals in the covert clique who manipulate the entire performance.

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

Regarding Quantitative Theory, in modern terms, Milton Friedman reminded people of monetary characteristics which the mediaeval monk Copernicus had long ago realised: money loses its value through excessive abundance; that new money issued in excess of the increase of gross product will have less value, thereby eventually weakening even the savings and money which is already in circulation (inflation).

Friedman is on record for advising increases *strictly matching growth*, generally regulated at 2 to 3 % (per cent) per annum. Further, he warned and educated people to the fact that people's savings are *deliberately devalued* by the Fed and central banks. This they do whenever they issue more currency than is warranted by growth¹.

1 See malice prepense behind repeated, historical and current pre-planned recessions and depressions, inflation and deflation, well explained in the Stills-Carmack documentary video, "The Money Masters." It is endorsed by Economics Nobel laureate Professor Milton Friedman. Highly watchable; profoundly analytic.

Left to their own initiative, the banks are criminal enterprises which now imperil the well-being of the entire world population through their intended emplacement of the one world government dictatorship; *their* new world order. Justice requires that they be brought forthwith under the Common Law.

"FOOL'S GOLD"

It seems likely that Fort Knox has been stripped. (This is easily verifiable by inspection and congressional audit but the Fed "disallows" it; see Stills-Carmack video.)

Are people not aware that almost the entire world stock of gold and silver bullion is in the hands (or the vaults) of those very same families who run the Fed and the B of E? Until Restoration is achieved, they are masters of money which is rightfully the possession of the people. They would happily return to gold-backed currency, for this measure would perpetuate and shore up their dominance. This they gained through illegitimately manipulating politicians and others; and their other crimes of usury and fractional reserve lending; not to mention the bankers' illegal acquisition of Third World assets through corrupt manoeuvring and even the elimination of local politicians¹.

1 See retired World Bank executive John Perkins's book and video lecture, *Confessions of an Economic Hit-Man*.

Thus, advocating "rebuilding credit and refinancing world trade" through the return to gold *still* represents the interests of the *bank-owners in opposition to those of the people*. Thereby, bankers would continue to maintain as tight a control of world trade and politics as they now have. Previously explained, return to commodity-backed currency is no solution. People who propose such a course of action are in paid or unwitting service of arrant bank-owners.

In any case, under the politicians' illegal public indebtedment to banks seen in the present situation, such gold and other stocks as do remain in government 'possession', are "owed" to, and the reclaimable "possession" of, the Fed—or rather, of those bank-owners behind the Fed. Hence, Friedman and all honest, responsible economists cannot support a return to commodity-backed currency, gold, silver, because *that* amounts to exactly the same predicament as the current antidemocratic status quo of private families running the Fed—and all the Western and NATO governments—for personal gain, interests and hegemony.

People who speak and write the truth in these matters are shunned or vilified by government-corporate controlled media. Consequently, *the media* have falsified Milton Friedman's brave and correct stance. His worthy economic 'free market' solutions and applications expounding specific advantages to the working people concerned, have been misrepresented, ignored, denied. *Milton supported the taking back of economic power from the few private banking families who run economies for their own benefit and inflict relative poverty, damage and misery on the population.*

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

Rather than informing people about such issues, media have denigrated his reputation to the extent that people now have an image and opinion of his work which does not reflect his written philosophy. In his books, through his incisive understanding of the Science of Economics, *Friedman dared the unthinkable*: Milton directly opposed the methods of what is perceived as the greatest economic power in the world: the Rothschild-Rockefeller-Morgan-Bush Cartel. Friedman blamed owners and controllers of the Fed (central banks) for the socio-economic ills of societies, historically and ongoing; for causing repeated recessions and depressions in general, and in particular, the Great Depression (the Slump).

GREENBACKS — INTEREST FREE!

Abraham Lincoln proved with the issuance of the 'greenback' dollar (as with the previously successful fiat currency bills of credit, i.e., cash money named Colonial Scrip issued by the Colonies), that the issuance of currency and credit *at interest* is something which a government-owned bank never need do. In dire need of finance to fund the costs of civil war, when banks would only offer loans at high interest, Lincoln took the issuance of currency and credit into government hands.

“The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credits needed to satisfy the spending power of the government and the buying power of consumers. By the adoption of these principles, the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity.”
President Abraham Lincoln to Congress; excerpt.

Under the present illegal status quo, income tax levied from the populace is entirely spent on *interest repayments to banks*. Income tax is not spent, as so many people incorrectly suppose, on government services and infrastructure. *These latter* are financed by *further taxation*—and what is sometimes referred to as the PSBR, the Public Sector Borrowing Requirement—*by more government borrowing-at-interest* through the 'central' bank from the bankowners' cartel which owns it.

However, under the common law system, when, *instead of the private banks, government* issues the currency and credit, government has no reason to do so *at interest*. After all, as previously pointed out, people simply do not charge interest to themselves! With *this* system, working people instantly have access to *investment* in their enterprises, crafts and skills (*as opposed to debt at interest; usury*); and thence with their honest toil and industry to rapid, unimpeded growth, *and to affluence*. Significant growth at all levels follows when governments issue the currency and credit and *disallow lending at interest*. In such economic climates where there is no government borrowing of capital and no interest to pay there is no requirement for routine taxation.

By contrast, prosperity is fleeting and illusory when *the total amount of money and credit issued into circulation is always less than is owed back*. *This is an unavoidable consequence caused by the interest owed to the usurious private banks who charge interest to the government, i.e., the people, for the private banks' issuance of credit and currency.*

At any one time there is never enough in circulation to repay all the capital *and* the interest. So, not only does government raise or maintain high taxes, but, as planned by this scurrilous bankers' scheme, government resorts to *more borrowing at interest*, which augments indebtedness to the private banks in an ever-increasing, literally unrepayable, nightmare spiral of debt.

Though the fetters of debt placed upon the population are not physical, they are real enough; and subject men and women to a more or less tolerated enslavement to unconscionable money masters and lives of routine insecurity and relative penury.

“The modern [bankers’] theory [technique] of the perpetuation of debt has drenched the earth with blood, and crushed its inhabitants under burdens ever accumulating.”
Thomas Jefferson, Letter to Eppes, June the 24th, 1813.

“That this House considers that the continued issue of all the means of exchange, be they coin, bank-notes or credit, largely passed on by cheques by private firms as an interest-bearing debt against the public, should cease forthwith; that the sovereign power and duty of issuing money in all forms should be returned to the Crown [the state], then to be put into circulation free of all debt and interest obligations.”

Captain Henry Kerby, MP, in a UK Parliamentary Motion tabled in 1964.

When private banks issue currency and credit, the act of banks increasing the money supply *above* national product growth devalues the currency: *inflation*. This reduces the value of currency and salary as well as people’s savings, pensions and standard of living. So, people borrow at low interest to tide things over... until the alternative machination: the slightest squeeze by the banks on the flow of currency and credit into the economy and a hike in interest rates cause *recession*, with attendant business and domestic bankruptcies, unemployment and *repossession*s by the banks: premeditated theft by the bankowners on the grand scale. A further contraction of money supply brings about *depression*; a still tighter withdrawal of currency and credit causes *slump*.

This economic contrivance brings much misery to millions and is exploited whenever private banks are in control of the people’s money; currency and credit. Having first lent at ‘low’ interest, they reduce the supply creating a ‘crisis’, and then foreclose on homes and businesses at will; seizing firms’ property, machinery and plant, and people’s housing, farms, buildings, accoutrements and assets, and re-sell them on at a profit—while the borrower still has to repay his dismal debt-plus-usury. Recession and slump are not “natural” or “unpredictable cyclical events.” They are pre-planned to keep the banks in control over governments, economies and people. The bankers create the boom-bust cycle; they intentionally brought about the Great Slump; and make all the repeated recessions occur.

“I am afraid the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that the banks can and do create money. And they who control the credit of the nation direct the policy of governments and hold in the hollow of their hand the destiny of the people.”
Reginald McKenna, Chairman of the Midland Bank, addressing stockholders in 1924; Home Secretary, 1911-1915; Chancellor of the Exchequer, 1915-1916.

NEEDLESS RUIN CAUSED BY USURY.

The *failures of companies, i.e., bankruptcies*, are *caused* all too often by banks calling in loans *at interest; usury*. Despite some successful ventures, lending and borrowing-at-interest always have effects which depress and discourage productive enterprise. The manager or boss of every business will confirm how much more could be achieved if *interest* were not payable on loans. There is every good reason why banks or private individuals should *not* charge interest; and prohibiting lending-at-interest would do wonders for both the individual at large and the economy.

Instead of lending-at-interest, when banks put their own real money into an enterprise as *an investor and part-owner-partner to take a share in both risks and profit*, the bank does all in its power to ensure, not simply the enterprise’s survival to prevent it going ‘bust’—no, indeed. It is in its financial interest to ensure its profitability and *success*. Quite the opposite situation occurs when banks are allowed to lend-at-interest.

Whatever disgraceful pretence they feign about “regrettable” bankruptcies, bankers are quite content to see a company to whom they have lent money, become bankrupt. One must appreciate that, *from the Usurer’s point of view*, bankruptcies are considered the ultimate prize feature of his crooked scheme; *the best possible outcome*;

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

complete success! To appreciate why this is so, consider how in this situation banks do not simply lose nothing—see rather how they count their profits...

First of all, the bank-owner does not advance the borrower any of *his own* money. The 'money' is not real. The sum is a fictional advance inscribed into an accounts' ledger, physical or virtual. The 'money' is invented *ex nihilo*, out of thin air, as it were. In effect, the bank is acquiring possession of a house, business or property *without paying for it*, because the item remains surety and 'owned' by the bank until it is paid for by the borrower, with interest!

Following a company's default of 'repayments' (made with hard-earned *real* money) for a loan, the bank fires the firm's employees, takes possession of its holdings, investments, machinery, office and other equipment, plant, managerial and sales representatives' cars, and so on. Banks then re-sell these 'acquisitions' at a good *profit*. It is a harsh irony that the cost-price of repossessed items has often already been more than repaid by the firm's payments to the bank but *interest* charged is taken at this time, eroding much of the total of repayments made.

The sheer scale of regular cold-blooded, unnecessary, avoidable, heartbreaking dispossessions accruing wealth repeatedly to the banks from their boom-bust manipulations of economies is truly staggering and monumental. ("Steal a little and they throw you in jail; steal a lot and they make you a king.") It reveals the shameful motive behind the present illicit modus operandi of the bank-owners. Theft by another name is still theft. This deed by the remorseless bank-owners visits untold desolation upon people beyond number. It is profoundly vitiating to every aspect of socio-political and economic life. Like all crimes, this dangerous source of evil must be terminated.

As an optional financial method in purchases of houses, cars, white goods, etc., buyers at **no-interest** can repay a capital sum to the bank or building society over time, as an *interest-free* hire-purchase. There is *never* a need to borrow at interest because alternative techniques are available. Following Restoration of control over the justice system, if banks are to stay in business, they must become an honourable service component of society, and cease being what they are now: a parasitic instrument of oppression of the people and a deadly disease to the body politic. Let us all once again recognise Usury for the wretched crime it is, and do all we can to achieve its utter extirpation.

Consider, for example, our treasury's three hundred million pound (£300 million) interest-free issuance in 1914, equal to **thirty-three billion, five hundred and sixty-nine million today** (viz. Office for National Statistics composite price index), and similar renowned measures taken previously by Presidents Jefferson, Madison and Jackson, to Lincoln's 'greenbacks', and Franklin's Colonial Scrip.

FRACTIONAL RESERVE LENDING.

"Let me issue and control a nation's money and I care not who writes the laws."
Mayer Amschel Bauer, 1744-1812, took the name 'Rothschild' ('red shield') and 'founded' the 'House of Rothschild'.

The usurers who have come to be in control of the Western and many developing economies have seduced politicians into adoption of antidemocratic objectives and toleration of dishonest methods. Today, there is a covert but very real dictatorship extant and those behind it are not satisfied by less than domination of the entire political and social structure of the world. They operate outside the law and are beyond the reach of justice—at least, that is so under 'politicians' who readily serve and protect these criminals. From the money-lenders' point of view, their achievements to date have been great. The present illicit system, which the money-lenders have managed to have installed by their collaborating Quisling politicians, facilitates achievement of their wildest ambitions. They are now engaged in final stages of their planned New World Order coup.

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

Definition. Fractional Reserve Lending is the fraudulent 'process' whereby banks firstly, "lend money" which does not exist (but is a mere entry in a ledger); for which they hold no reserve (deposits are lawfully the possession of the depositors); and for which they are 'allowed' to charge interest. The street banks who borrow this illusory 'credit' from the central bank (private consortia), then lend up to *ten times* that 'credit' which they have received from the central banks, on to private business and domestic customers. The bankowners charge account holding customers who borrow, interest on 'money' which does not exist as such, but is simply an electronic account entry. Banks lend *nothing real* but take *real wealth* in 'repayments' from the borrowers in a routine fraud *aided* by politicians and *abetted* by the judiciary's acts of enforced illegal dispossession; disseizin.

"The few who understand the system will either be so interested in its profits or be so dependent upon its favours that there will be no opposition from that class, while on the other hand, the great body of people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantage that capital derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical* to their interests."

Rothschild Brothers of London, letter to associates in New York.

***Definition.** inimical, hostile; opposed; in enmity.

"The bank hath benefit of interest on all moneys which it creates out of nothing."

William Paterson, Founder of the private "Bank of England" in 1694.

Consider the maxim, "*The borrower is the servant to the lender.*"

Our governments and people are falsely indebted to the banks because bankers 'invent' the 'money' which they lend, *ex nihilo*, out of nothing. This activity is the very essence of fraud. If *you* did it, you would be liable to be sent to jail. In exchange, that is, in "repayment" for that which is nothing (a figment which costs them nothing) banks take *hard-earned real money* from the borrowers. Meanwhile, the people's taxes remitted to government are waylaid into misuse to pay *interest to the bankowners for this figmental 'borrowing'*.

"It is well enough that people of the nation do not understand our banking and money system, for, if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning."

Henry Ford, Founder of the Ford Motor Company.

"Issue of currency should be lodged with the government and be protected from domination by Wall Street. We are opposed to provisions [which] would place our currency and credit system in private hands."

President Theodore Roosevelt.

Consider the hegemony and profit which would accrue to bank owners if they could manipulate economic circumstances *to produce recessions* whereby many holdings and enterprises would go 'bust' and fall into ownership of their banks...! Yet, this is indeed their way of operating. Speaking in the debate over the Re-charter of the (Central) Bank Bill in 1809, Thomas Jefferson said,

"If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered. The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the people, to whom it properly belongs."

Jefferson's prescient words of warning went unheeded by politicians who followed the British and European examples of treasonous capitulation to government corruption by the machinations of banking magnates. Consider that today, only these following few listed individuals, families and their banks personally control the Central Banks of Western and associated nations, providing governments with credit and currency at interest:

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

Rothschild Bank of London; Warburg Bank of Hamburg; Rothschild Bank of Berlin; Lazard Brothers (and Rothschild) of Paris; Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York; Israel Moses, Seif Banks of Italy; Goldman, Sachs of New York; Warburg Bank of Amsterdam; Chase Manhattan Bank of New York. These bankers are connected to the Rothschild of London Banking Houses and own the U.S. Federal Reserve.

Despite the warnings of history, *compromised by his having received private financial electoral assistance*, President Woodrow Wilson signed the Federal Reserve Act in 1913.

Be it known that those who ***commit, abet and permit*** the Crimes of Usury and Fractional Reserve Lending are the foes of the people. These leeches are beyond the pale of civilisation. They and those to whom they have passed on the ill-gained wealth must be dispossessed of their worldly possessions. For the protection of mankind, the guilty require to be ostracised and quarantined: (latterday) Excommunication as prescribed.

THE PANACEA THAT IS COMMON LAW.

Societies must be guarded from usurious financiers' and other criminals' accursed ambitions by Restoration of the all-powerful Constitutional Trial by Jury. Upholding the common law Constitution by restoration of Trial by Jury punishes, deters and precludes crime, including usury and fraud. Trial by Jury furthermore pre-empts *government banks* from committing venal or partisan-political finance-lending abuses associated with a monolithic lender as in communist and fascist command economies.

The government has the common law duty to restore issuance of currency and credit by the people, to the people, for the people, and create such a government bank. All government borrowing and 'national debt' today is a fraud and fiction (the fictional 'money' being simply a banker's entry into an account held in a computer). The governments, that is, the People, have the duty to desist from participating in a bankers' criminal racketeering enterprise and hold the usurious fraudsters to account.

Trial by Jury is emplaced to be utilised to regulate government and society; to determine and eliminate that which impinges upon the equal human rights of men and women. For the benefit of the people, financial and economic transactions at all levels of *government* are subject to jurors' scrutiny, and penalisation when applicable. According to Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury, juries can appoint amicus curiæ, witnesses and experts with subpoena powers for auditing, investigating and giving witness testimony on all aspects; see section entitled, In Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury; Chapter Two.

All governments of probity would simultaneously re-take control of issuance of credit and currency, and visit just retribution retroactively upon those bankers, who, by usury and fractional reserve lending, have so abused their host peoples across the globe. The financial-corporate cartel wields vast economic and political influence particularly through control of the media it has purchased. This constitutes a standing danger to democracies everywhere and should be addressed. Restoration is the common law panacea, the cure required. It will ensure the re-introduction of Usury Laws prohibiting usury (all lending-at-interest) and outlawing fractional reserve lending. This will be followed by effective Anti-Trust Legislation and/or court action breaking-up the giant banks' wealth and media into small localised units with separate new ownership. Above all, a genuinely national bank issuing currency and credit interest-free into the economy will be established.

Although the British colonists won the Revolutionary War of Independence, the private banks (which after 1694 already had much influence over British government through the private Bank of England), planned to control the U.S. in the same way: by controlling the banking system; issuing currency and credit at interest; printing and

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

coining of the notes and money; fractional reserve lending; and the issuance of all credit and control of debt. After a varied history in which congress sometimes held the reins, some few bankers finally achieved complete private control by Woodrow Wilson's apparently "unwitting" treachery.

President Franklin Roosevelt knew the turbulent history of private banks' economic control over government, and that a predecessor president, to wit, Andrew Jackson, had kept *congressional* control over the issuance of currency, quashing the 'Bank of the US' (an earlier Federal Reserve System).

After Jackson's administration, the bankers' degenerate influence was relentlessly pushed and lobbied. It culminated in the passage and deep entrenchment of the Federal Reserve Act of 1913. From that time on, the principal bloody, tragic trends of Twentieth Century history, ongoing to date, are reliable spoor which indicate the track back to a banker origin. For example, if your government went to war, it was one engineered to the advantage of the bankers who 'lent' government the means to make war—at *interest*—to both sides. This ensured that whichever side won the incessant conflicts, the victor was indebted to the bankowner. Roosevelt confided in a letter to an adviser, one Colonel House, on November the 21st, 1933:

"The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government ever since the days of Andrew Jackson."

SHARES IN THE 'FED'.

The banks listed have control over the New York 'Federal' District, which controls the other 11 Fed. Districts. These banks are not '*American*' as such: they are privately owned, including by the named interests:

First National Bank of New York. James Stillman National City Bank, New York. Mary W. Harriman National Bank of Commerce, New York. A.D. Jiullard. Hanover National Bank. New York, Jacob Schiff. Chase National Bank, New York. Thomas F. Ryan, Paul Warburg, William Rockefeller, Levi P. Morton, M.T. Pyne, George F. Baker, Percy Pyne, Mrs. G.F. St. George, J.W. Sterling, Katherine St. George, H.P. Davidson, J.P. Morgan (Equitable Life/Mutual Life), Edith Brevour T. Baker.

"Money is a new form of slavery, and distinguishable from the old simply by the fact that it is impersonal—there is no human relation between master and slave."

Russian author and philosopher Count Leo Tolstoy, On Money (debt) as a New Form of Slavery. Three types of Slavery: Taxation of Labour; Land Slavery and Personal Slavery. "Taxation is symptomatic of a condition of slavery." Tolstoy was Ghandi's mentor.

See Bibliography.

See 'Common Law Protects the People from The Spectre of Personal Taxation,' Chapter Five.

"History records that the money changers [Biblical term for bankers] have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit, and violent means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling money and its issuance."

James Madison, President, principal author of the U.S. Constitution.

Bibliographical Notes to Items in Chapter Six.

1. The gravitas, history and alarming prospects of the socio-political and economic situation at home and abroad are addressed by the 3½-hour documentary video, *The Money Masters*, by Bill Still & His Hon. Patrick Carmack, BBA, JD. Still is a highly respected economic analyst and prolific author. See billstill.com Carmack practised corporate law; is a former Administrative Law Judge for the Corporation Commission of the State of Oklahoma; and a Member of the Bar of the U.S. Supreme Court. To understand "the horrendous monetary system we have today" and realise how simple the cure is, it is advisable to take time to absorb information in *The Money Masters* video, endorsed by Economics Nobel laureate Professor Milton Friedman.

WHAT PROFESSIONAL POLITICIANS DAREN'T TELL YOU...

2. The illegality of the World Bank's modus operandi in *international asset and resources acquisition* is described by a retired executive of that bank, John Perkins, who, in the book listed and in his associated live lecture videos, personally confesses to his part in banking skulduggery. See *Confessions of an Economic Hit Man*, ISBN-10: 1-57675-301-8. Perkins explains his role in 'encouraging' loan-taking and premeditatedly creating the indebtedness of third world countries' governments. He describes as "standard," the covert practice of 'eliminating' politicians who do not cooperate, and names some of those people assassinated by his associates in the CIA.

Quote ends from DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1902848280.

— See Reviews below —

- MORE REVIEWS -

“Your book is an absolute triumph! I now understand why the term ‘Lawful Rebellion’ grates with you. I genuinely believe that your book should be compulsory reading for every one of our elected representatives... not to mention our own supporters! So well done! Excellent book and a great source of reference.”

JUSTIN WALKER, Campaign Coordinator, British Constitution Group. Amazon reviewer.

“I bought a copy of your excellent book from Amazon and I am impressed by both size and content. Frankly I haven’t been able to put it down. Every home should have one and not just every law school but every secondary school should have one in its curriculum. I particularly enjoyed the ‘Traitors to the People’ chapter. The whole book is a fascinating read, well done.”

JOHN S., Swindon. (E-mail to DD.)

“I am SO pleased that I’ve read this compelling book and that I now understand the true meaning of “Democracy.” Although it’s certainly not a novel, I found it as gripping as one. I had trouble putting it down. DEMOCRACY DEFINED: The Manifesto has opened my awareness dramatically.”

CAL BUCK, West Bromwich, Amazon reviewer.

“The Handbook for every person on the planet explaining True Law and Democracy.”

KENNETH JOHNS, Amazon reviewer.

“Excellent and well-written book on how the people in the so-called free world are not free. This is the missing education they should be teaching our children in school so they become enlightened on what’s really going on in this world.”

ROBERT JOHN MONTAGUE, Amazon reviewer.

“This is a MUST READ (probably the ONLY read you’ll need!) on democracy, Magna Carta, and Common Law. As I’ve made my way through it I discovered how much I didn’t know — and that drove me on. It is thorough and deep, but worth reading all 300 large pages slowly, word by word. Just reading it is changing me — and giving me increased courage to speak out when necessary. One of the books I had no hesitation in giving a 5-star rating. It was worth every penny of the (gulp!) £18.00. Yep. Every penny.”

ANDREW SERCOMBE, Amazon reviewer.

“A MUST READ. Enough is enough of all this treasonous outlawry. I cannot express enough the importance of everyone reading this book, this is the 2nd copy I’m purchasing. Thank you Mr. d’Oudney for collating meticulously all these historical evidential facts in one book.”

DANTES DINIZ, Amazon reviewer.

By going to Amazon on the link and clicking on ‘Look Inside’, you can check out the four Synoptical Reference Pages of Contents to see subject matter; and get a glimpse of the text.

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from

Amazon.co.uk Amazon.com & Amazon.com.au

Introduction to the Democracy Defined Campaign:

The book DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1902848280 sets out the Educational Campaign for Restoration of government by Trial by Jury; i.e., Restoration of the Constitutional rule of law, definitive of Democracy.

The Manifesto includes the wording (seven pages) of THE RESTORATION AMENDMENT (statute): **THE** POLITICAL PROGRAM FOR PATRIOTS AND INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES.

Achieving parliamentary/congressional statutory installation of The Restoration Amendment is **the object** of the Democracy Defined Campaign.

[Membership gratis](#) (free). View the front and back covers which follow overleaf.

FRONT COVER

KENN D'LOUDNEY DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto*



**THE RIGHT AND DUTY OF JURORS
TO JUDGE ON THE JUSTICE OF LAW.
The Commemorative Plaque,
Old Bailey Law Courts, London.**

*Near this Site
WILLIAM PENN and WILLIAM MEAD
were tried in 1650
for preaching to an unlawful assembly
in Grace Church Street
This tablet commemorates
The courage and endurance of the Jury Thos Vere, Edward Bushell
and ten others who refused to give a verdict against them although
locked up without food for two nights and were fined for their final
Verdict of Not Guilty
The case of these Jurymen was reviewed on a writ of Habeas Corpus
and Chief Justice Vaughan delivered the opinion of the Court
which established The Right of Juries to give their Verdict
according to their Convictions*

www.democracydefined.org

Photo: Major John Gouriet

Runnymede Meadow

New Edition augmented with an Addendum. Contains extensive Bibliography of authoritative source books and documents on Constitution, law and history. With Index. ISBN 978-1902848280 Softback, 310 large-size (A4) pages

BACK COVER



DEMOCRACY DEFINED:

The Manifesto

Kenn d'Oudney focuses on Democracy. The word 'democracy' is widely abused and 'defined' incorrectly. This extensively researched book explains how components of constitutional democracy have been suppressed by malefic statist interventions to produce the modern decline and the Illegality of the Status Quo.

The Manifesto shows how the ideal society is to be achieved.

- HERE ARE SOME REVIEWS OF THE ESSAYS UPON WHICH THIS BOOK IS BASED -

"I think it is certainly true that Keynesian economics, as put into practice, has handed the economic power of the West to a few men who now almost totally control it. Likewise, I agree that the trial by jury is an essential bulwark of democracy and justice against a bankers' tyranny. I congratulate you on disseminating the above points."

His Hon. Patrick S.J. Carmack, Esq. Producer of The Money Masters video documentary.

"Thank you for your excellent work on Magna Carta. What a masterly exposition."

Major John Gouriet, Chairman, Defenders of the Realm; Battle for Britain Campaign supported by H.G. the Duke of Wellington; Edward Fox, OBE, and Frederick Forsyth, CBE.

"What a magnificent article! I intend to incorporate parts of it into speeches and writings."

Professor Julian Heicklen, Jury Rights Activist; U.S. National Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters.

"Superb. Should be read in every law school."

John Walsh, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author; Constitutional lawyer (U.S. & Australia).

See further reviews inside.



MAGNA CARTA MONUMENT Canberra, Australia



<http://www.democracydefined.org/>

The Home Page of the not-for-profit Educational Campaign
Join the Campaign! Download and distribute
the posters and educational pamphlets.

[Membership gratis.](#)