

<http://www.democracydefined.org/>

The Home Page of the Democracy Defined Educational Campaign
for RESTORATION and UNIVERSAL ADOPTION of
CONSTITUTIONAL COMMON LAW TRIAL BY JURY.



Member's Card - front

Media and General Enquiries: campaign@democracydefined.org

(Standard English Spelling) Can be viewed at 125%

ACTIVIST MEMBERS *from all walks of life.*

THE CAMPAIGN PHILOSOPHY is spread by its Members.

The Democracy Defined Campaign Philosophy is endorsed by academics, attorneys, doctors (of jurisprudence, medicine, psychiatry, homeopathy, philosophy) and judges (U.S. & U.K.).
VERITAS, COGNITIO, IUSTITIA, LIBERTAS.

ACTIVIST MEMBERS *from all walks of life in*
ENGLAND, AUSTRALIA, NEW ZEALAND, CANADA, THE UNITED STATES,
WALES, SCOTLAND, ULSTER, HOLLAND, EIRE, FIJI, SRI LANKA, NEPAL,
PERU, INDIA, GERMANY, SOUTH AFRICA, GUATEMALA, FRANCE.

**FORMIDABLE, VALID ASPECTS OF ARTICLE SIXTY-ONE
—DESPITE SOME ARISTOCRATIC ATTENUATION!**

Those who honour and campaign to restore the legal ascendancy of our world-respected 1215 Great Charter Constitution Magna Carta have to come to terms with aspects of modernity which vitiate the effectiveness of certain *Articles of Common Law* of which the British Constitution is comprised. Article Sixty-One in particular is impaired and rendered partially irrelevant by the realities of our modern society. Article Fifty-Four is similarly affected. Following a moment's reflection, people swiftly understand and accept the inevitability of these factors.

While it is true that neither *government* nor *parliament* nor *statute* can recite itself into *constitutional* authority to enact "constitutional" provisions or amend, repeal or supersede any part of the 1215 Great Charter Common Law Constitution (*viz. The Manifesto, Chapter Three*), it is not government but the characteristic customs and conventions of the People themselves which have intervened to render Article Sixty-One outmoded and less potent today.

However, patriots who are commoners need have no doubts! Magna Carta can still protect their interests to the full, for Magna Carta bestows ineradicable Sovereignty (pre-eminence; the power to judge, make, decide, annul or enforce laws and statutes) upon the People through Article Thirty-Nine. This latter Article strips government, parliament and judiciary of all power to punish and set sentences. It achieves this through the Powers, Procedures, Rights and Duty invested in the Office of Juror in the Constitution's defined and prescribed Common Law Trial by Jury.

REMAINING VALID ASPECTS OF ARTICLE SIXTY-ONE

ARISTOCRACY

Like the words democracy, ochlocracy and theocracy, aristocracy has a precise meaning, and, apropos of British History and our sublime 1215 Great Charter Constitution Magna Carta, the word aristocracy has specific application to the feudal regime and the Common Law of the Land.

ETYMOLOGY (linguistic derivation) AND SIGNIFICATION (meaning).

The noun derives from Hellenic Greek, *aristokratia*; *aristos*, meaning best, *kratos*, meaning power or sovereignty*, and *kratein*, to rule.

*Perseus Digital Library, Tufts University. See Chambers & Oxford Dictionaries, etc.

From the etymology comes the definition*: aristocracy, administration by the political power of a privileged hereditary order; a state governed by a titled nobility; a state in which administrative power is held by the nobility.

*A caveat regarding this definition should be well noted: Specifically within the pan-European and British feudal system at 1215, Commoners were protected by the Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System which prohibited Lords from judging any but their own social-equals; i.e., the other Lords and monarch. Likewise, commoners could only judge and sentence *their* social-equals. Within feudalism, strict adherence to Common Law precluded the possibility of tyranny and authoritarianism. Furthermore, it created a state of true democracy, which is underpinned and defined, *sine qua non*, by the Trial by Jury Justice System; viz., Hellenic Greece of the Constitution of government by Trial by Jury received from the Athenians *the defining epithet*, *demokratia*, Democracy¹.
1 Democracy, see history, etymology and definition; Chapter One, DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto*.

ENGLAND'S FEUDAL ARISTOCRACY IN 1215.

It is a misconception of folks today to imagine that the feudal monarch was 'absolute'. Far from it. That was an attribute which came much later in our history under the deranged dogma of the "*divine right of kings*"! According to feudal protocols, the king was at all times *subject* and bound under the Common Law terms of his Coronation Oath to uphold the Common Law of the Land (*Legem Terræ*¹ in Magna Carta). Furthermore, the Three Hundred Great Peers² of the Realm were the king's equals who possessed the right and duty to try (judge and obtain redress from) the monarch at a Common Law Trial by Jury if he breached the Law of the Land by defaulting on his obligations and Oath. Magna Carta re-imposed the Trial by Jury as the sole legal means for settling all causes (lawsuits), civil, criminal and fiscal.

1 *Legem Terræ* is comprised of the Articles of Common Law inscribed into the 1215 Great Charter Constitution. See definition of common law in Chapter Three, and quotations from legal / constitutional authorities' tomes and textbooks in DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto*. Article 52 of Magna Carta 1215 sets the number of Jurors in a trial of lords or monarch at twenty-five. *Terræ* is pronounced *terry*, the æ as in Caesar, seize.

2 *Definition*. peer, a person who is the equal of another in social status, or abilities or qualifications; person of equal standing with another; from the Latin *pares* (nominative form) or *par* meaning 'equal'. Cf. *peerless means* without equal. Later, the word 'peer' also came to mean, 'titled person with the hereditary right to sit in parliament's House of Lords,' but not so in 1215.

The Monarch, Lords and Commoners were and remain subject to the constraints of the Law of the Land or Realm, and its enforcement through the authentic Trial by Jury (not the latterday charade with which rogue government has replaced Common Law Trial by Jury).

—DESPITE SOME ARISTOCRATIC ATTENUATION...

The Lords or, as they are often referred to, the ‘barons’, were administrators within their appointed Shires forming a state of aristocracy (within Common Law constraints mentioned). Although nobles could indict and try the monarch and each other, all these were social-equals. Nobles were not permitted to try others of lesser rank, these being tried only by *their* social-equals, the commoners. These latter, for the purpose of jury service in due processes of Trial by Jury, included close and distant relatives of the nobles, tenants of property known as freeholders or freemen, merchants and craftsmen. All, including the bonded (contracted) labourers, serfs, villeins (villagers), cottars and churls had access to cost-free prosecution and defence of causes at Trial by Jury.

Hume confirms the subject status of monarchs, dukes, lords, ‘leaders’ and chieftains within the Gothic pan-European jurisprudence and law:

“The king, so far from being invested with arbitrary power, was only considered as the first among the citizens; his authority depended more on his personal qualities than on his station; he was even so far on a level with the people that a stated price was fixed for his head, and a legal fine was levied upon his murderer, which, though proportionate to his station and superior to that paid for the life of a subject, was a sensible mark of his subordination to the community.”

See Appendix 1 of David Hume’s History of England. Hume is regarded by discerning authorities as one of, if not *the* finest historian of his generation—and that in a literary age.

Likewise, Hallam: *“The relation established between a lord and his vassal by the feudal tenure, far from containing principles of any servile and implicit obedience, permitted the compact to be dissolved in case of its violation by either party. This extended as much to the sovereign [king] as to inferior lords.”*

See Hallam’s Middle Ages; Vol. 2, pp. 240-2. Emphasis added.

To hold the head of state to the Common Law of the Land, Great Charter Article Fifty-Two stipulated that any breaches thereof by the monarch are judicable*.

**Definition.* judicable, that which may be tried by jury in a court of law.

Article Fifty-Two: *“To any man whom we (*the king*) have deprived or dispossessed of lands, castles, liberties, or rights *without the lawful judgment of his equals*¹ [Trial by Jury], we will at once restore these. If a dispute arises over this [*between the king and the plaintiff*], the dispute shall be decided by the judgement [i.e., at Trial by Jury] of the twenty-five noblemen who are mentioned below in the clause for securing the peace [*Article 61*].”*

¹ Common law recognises the Trial by Jury judgement of peers as the single legitimate form of trial (Article 39); and the Law of the Land authorises no other form of trial. Of this we are certain. Trial by Battle and Trial by Ordeal had already become virtually defunct, and in any case were granted only as a last resort to a defendant *already convicted by the judgement of peers* (see Vol. 2, Hallam’s Middle Ages; note, p. 446). If there were *any* other form of trial provided for under the people’s *legem terræ* at the time of Magna Carta, there would certainly be evidence of it: *nonetheless* exists; see Chapter Five, *The Manifesto*.

Magna Carta Article Sixty-One sanctions the lords to confront any monarch in contravention of the Articles of Common Law with a summons to Trial by Jury, the sole legal means of determining causes. Should the monarch refuse to answer such summons, it further authorises the lords to distrain and assail him (or her) as necessary and even to make war upon him until such time as the cause of dispute is resolved. However, following a moment’s reflection on our history and the signification of the word ‘aristocracy’ nobody will be surprised by the statement that, today, we do not live within a State of Aristocracy.

REMAINING VALID ASPECTS OF ARTICLE SIXTY-ONE

THE PEERS' 2001 INVOCATION OF ARTICLE SIXTY-ONE: A WELL-MEANING BUT ANACHRONISTIC DISTRACTION.

Article Sixty-One relates to hereditary peers (not the 'life peers' of modern political appointment). Unlike the situation in 1215, there is now NO state of aristocracy: nowadays, lords have NO inherent political power. **Proof** of this is in the fact that the lords who invoked Article Sixty-One of Magna Carta in 2001 have to date achieved nothing whatsoever to force parliament and monarch to revert to the rule of law and revoke treaties made with the European Union. Today, any measure lords would *like* to take can be overruled by the House of Commons.

Despite the fact that the EU treaties adopt an anti-democratic soviet (supreme central council) system (unelected commissars to frame legislation); and despotic repression by the *corpus juris* 'justice system' (which denies Habeas Corpus; cf. MC Article 40) and suppresses Trial by Jury (Judicium Parium, Article 39), these primitive EU 'laws' have been ratified by our treacherous parliament and monarch. Note well that even if a clean Brexit were to be achieved, our contumacious parliamentarians will *still* be in a felonious state of rebellion against our Constitution, its Trial by Jury, and the Common Law *interest-free* State Money Economic System (Criminalisation of Usury).

Today, our country is no longer administered by aristocrats and a monarch. In 1215, there was no universal adult suffrage and there were no parliamentary representatives. The characteristic customs and conventions of the People and society have intervened down the centuries to render Article Sixty-One outmoded and the lords politically less than potent today. It is a delusion, a romantic fantasy, to imagine a few of our hereditary peers have any *practical* power to seize and arraign the monarch, let alone obtain redress. Nor do lords take precedence over the House of Commons. *These quaint well-meaning hereditary lords might temporarily raise a modicum of interest in the media, but they have no more practical power than that of an individual citizen commoner.*

Alas, the futility of the Lords' approach was predictable. While the invocation of Article Sixty-One and their petition to the monarch does indicate the indignation of certain lords to the monarch Elizabeth's desertion of duty and her failure to honour and uphold the People's world-respected 1215 Constitution and its precious rule of law, *the lords' move is a tactical blunder*. It is the perfidious Members of the House of Commons who have obliged the monarch to ratify laws which took us into the EU. *As the lords' heartfelt but anachronistic gesture is not united with a definite popular campaign to restore parliament to legitimacy, any benefit which might have accrued to the attempt to uphold our Constitution from such influence as the lords may have, is squandered and lost.*

The fact which must be faced is that if any hereditary nobles wish to hold our wayward executive, parliament and judiciary to the Constitution's rule of law, then they must *first* help reinstate the supreme legal ascendance of Magna Carta. This *first* requires passage of an Act of Parliament restoring the Great Charter. Its Articles which are not attenuated by social change such as those governing the Justice System, then bestow the Citizens with the prosecutory power of the all-powerful People's Trial by Jury Courts with which to enforce their aspirations. To achieve *this*, the individual lords—*like every other campaigner*—must join and get active in the movement which requires parliament's enactment of **THE RESTORATION AMENDMENT**. The Campaign would welcome them.

Subject matter from DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1902848280

—DESPITE SOME ARISTOCRATIC ATTENUATION...

RESTORING THE CONSTITUTION AND ITS RULE OF LAW.

The Restoration Amendment is adopted as the prime objective of The Winchester Declaration (November, 2016), the British Constitution Group, the New Chartist Movement, the Democracy Defined Restoration Campaign and affiliated organisations. In pursuance of the bureaucratic function of framing legislation and providing accompanying explication, and to render The Restoration Amendment's meaning and intent unequivocal, this statutory measure is set forth with enumerated annotation within the textbook *Democracy Defined: The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1-902848-28-0.

There is an historic opportunity not to be missed following Brexit. To seize it, 'We the People' have to acquire **Representatives** (Independent or from the established parties), who will run on **The Restoration Amendment:**

THE POLITICAL PROGRAM FOR INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES.

ARTICLE SIXTY-ONE: VALID ASPECTS.

Article Sixty-One installs the people as the legal force to police, arrest, indict, try, punish and otherwise obtain redress over wrongdoers acting as, or in the name of, government. It is the written constitutional law Magna Carta's limitation of government power which gives rise to the ulterior motive behind current miseducation. The completely incorrect notion that, "The United Kingdom does not have a written constitution," is spread by the perjury of treasonous politicians, members of the judiciary, and by compliant, manipulated workers in media and state (mis)education. These lawless moves by ignominious rascals are an attempt to eliminate or circumvent the timeless, binding supreme values *to which they and all are eternally subject*.

Although the lords' empowerment to try the monarch has been rendered defunct as a result of social change, Article Sixty-One still has distinct utility in that it demonstrates *no one is 'above' the rule of law*. **Common Law** in Article Sixty-One enjoins *the entire citizenry* to hold the head of state (of whatever titular designation, president, king, queen, emperor, etc.) and his or her servitors (today comprised of parliament / congress, judiciary, government employees and enforcers) to the rule of the Law of the Land. This is the legal, constitutional position today and for all time.

Regarding in particular, the much-propagated false notion of government or judicial "*immunity from prosecution*": Article Sixty-One recognises and establishes that **no one** is 'above' the law of the land. **No one** who infracts *legem terræ* common law is 'immune' to prosecution. **No person of probity would even seek to acquire such impunity for him or herself.**

This stricture specifically includes the head of state, the most powerful people, administrative government itself (i.e., executive, legislature and judiciary) and all the agencies and employees of government. The Great Charter recognises and dictates that the people have the permanent duty of enforcing their Constitution and the common law *legem terræ*, to protect themselves from lawlessness and injustices inflicted by government.

Article Sixty-One: "*If we (Head of State), our chief justice, our officials (government), or any of our servants (government employees, police, armed services and bureaucrats) offend in any respect against any man, or transgress any of the articles of the peace or of this security [Magna Carta], and the offence is made known to four of the said twenty-five barons, they shall come to us—or in our absence from the kingdom to the chief justice—to declare it and claim immediate redress.*

REMAINING VALID ASPECTS OF ARTICLE SIXTY-ONE

Article Sixty-One continues: *If we, or in our absence abroad the chief justice, make no redress within forty days, reckoning from the day on which the offence was declared to us or to him, the four barons shall refer the matter to the rest of the twenty-five barons who may distrain upon and assail us in every way possible, with the support of the whole community of the land, by seizing our castles, lands, possessions, or anything else saving only our own person and those of the queen and our children, until they have secured such redress as they have determined upon. Having secured the redress, they may then resume their normal obedience to us.*”

N.B. The Constitution says explicitly that it is legal and lawful to resist and redress infractions by government. This means such acts cannot be ‘of rebellion’, but are those of due enforcement of law.

“Any man who so desires may take an oath to obey the commands of the twenty-five barons for the achievement of these ends, and to join with them in **assailing** us (government) to the utmost of his power. We give public and free permission to take this oath to any man who so desires, and at no time will we prohibit any man from taking it. **Indeed, we will compel any of our subjects who are unwilling to take it to swear it at our command.**”

The Common Law Justice System remains the single peaceable way known to humankind for guiding and controlling government and keeping it within the bounds of legitimacy. Trial by Jury permanently strips government and judges of all power to punish and set sentences (viz. Articles 20, 21 and 39). The beneficial influence of Magna Carta results from its egalitarian spread of power to all the people. It is for the ‘ordinary’ people represented by indiscriminately chosen citizens as jurors in Trial by Jury, to judge the justice of every act of law enforcement in finding the Verdict.

Let us read the words of **Justice Jackson** with the following in mind: If, indeed, citizens have a duty to keep government within secular moral and legal bounds (as expressed in Common Law Article Sixty-One and The American Declaration of Independence), there is NO legal and lawful, practical, peaceful realistic means by which this can be achieved unless they have the Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System Constitutionally implemented with which to do it...

“It is not the function of the government to keep the citizen from falling into error; it is the function of the citizen to keep the government from falling into error.”
Justice Robert H. Jackson, Chief Prosecutor, Nürnberg (Nuremberg) Trials.

THE SUBLIMINAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE WORD ‘GOVERNMENT’.

Consider the word ‘government’. It implies *authority* over those whom it ‘governs’. Every time the word is used, a spurious *myth* is entrenched into the mind, reason and memory which confers *psychological subjection and inferiority* onto the individual and populace. However, correctly-speaking, and, as recognised by our Constitution, legally, government is nothing more than a nuts-and-bolts administrative mechanism empowered only insofar as the Jury allows. That is to say, every individual within government or paid for by public finances, remains *entirely subject* to the People, the rule of law and the Trial by Jury Justice System. Yet, it takes a conscious effort to remind oneself of these facts because we are daily, if not hourly, subliminally *conditioned* to accept ‘government’ as a “supreme body above us,” which it is not. Under the law, government is *the servant* of the People paid for out of the pockets of the population who are its masters.

Subject matter from DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto* ISBN 978-1902848280

—DESPITE SOME ARISTOCRATIC ATTENUATION...

In this context, let us reflect upon the wisdom and advice of the Great Emancipator, Abraham Lincoln:

“We the people are the rightful masters of both Congress and the courts—not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow the men who pervert the Constitution.”

See p. 494, *Political Debates between Lincoln and Douglas*, published by Burrows Bros., 1897.

Certainly, by ratification of treaties which cede authority to a foreign power, our monarch and Members of Parliament have bitterly breached the strictures of the revered permanent Constitution, defied the rule of law and transgressed the Principle of Equal Justice for All expressed through the People’s Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System. Campaigners who understand the Illegality of the Status Quo realise that our parliament, our elected representatives, must be brought to recognise and ratify our 1215 Constitution as their permanent governing and guiding Supreme Law; and that the People’s Courts of the Common Law Trial by Jury form the sole legal Justice System for all causes, civil, criminal and fiscal.

Whether an unwanted measure of legislation derives from the intent of the head of state or the politicians, nevertheless all laws may be rendered impotent following citizen-jurors’ annulment decisions. If government then persists with its outlawed ‘laws’, the principal administrative politicians and senior bureaucrats responsible may be brought to justice and face the consequences; see *Two Ways to Equal Justice*, Chapter Four. Trial by Jury stalls all dubious intentions within government, whether they derive from parliamentary legislation, treaties or the edicts of the head of state (ref. Chief Justice Coke and the Case of Doctor Bonham; *The Manifesto*, Chapter Six).

THE FUTILITY OF PURSUING THE LORDS’ PETITION.

There may not be any significant harm in taking an oath to support the lords’ petition and sending Lord Craigmyle et al. a letter affirming support for that cause, as some folk have chosen to do. Yet, the lords’ cause is defunct, and however sincerely it was intended, it is a puerile fantasy out of its time and out of touch. People should be warned not to be beguiled into spending time on a lost cause.

Campaigners! Beware wasting resources, energy and time promulgating Article 61! — Efforts are better directed towards spreading positive information about **The Restoration Amendment** and the need for men and women now to take the simple steps to organise themselves into local fund-raising groups to enable one of their number to stand at national and local elections as **Independent Candidates**.

Focus on educating people as to how passage of [The Restoration Amendment \(statute\)](#) restores *the widespread prosperity of the Common Law Economy, precluding the present concomitant taxation and council tax upon the people* through issuance of interest-free state money, currency, coin and credit, and retroactive Recriminalisation of Usury dissolving the ‘National Debt’. So, let us Campaign and recruit people to the DEMOCRACY DEFINED RESTORATION CAMPAIGN.

[JOIN THE CAMPAIGN TO RESTORE THE AUTHENTIC CONSTITUTIONAL COMMON LAW TRIAL BY JURY](#)

www.democracydefined.org

Purchasing Democracy Defined books supports the Campaign.

FRONT COVER

KENN D'LOUDNEY DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto*



**THE RIGHT AND DUTY OF JURORS
TO JUDGE ON THE JUSTICE OF LAW.**
The Commemorative Plaque,
Old Bailey Law Courts, London.

Near this Site
WILLIAM PENN and WILLIAM MEAD
were tried in 1670
for preaching to an unlawful assembly
in Grace Church Street
This tablet Commemorates
The courage and endurance of the Jury Thos. Yere, Edward Bushell
and ten others who refused to give a verdict against them although
locked up without food for two nights and were fined for their final
Verdict of Not Guilty
The case of these Jurymen was reviewed on a writ of Habeas Corpus
and Chief Justice Vaughan delivered the opinion of the Court
which established The Right of Juries to give their Verdict
according to their Convictions

www.democracydefined.org

Photo: Major John Gouriet

Runnymede Meadow

New Edition augmented with an Addendum. Contains extensive Bibliography of authoritative source books and documents on Constitution, law and history. With Index. ISBN 978-1902848280 Softback, 310 large-size (A4) pages

BACK COVER



DEMOCRACY DEFINED:

The Manifesto

Kenn d'Oudney focuses on Democracy. The word 'democracy' is widely abused and 'defined' incorrectly. This extensively researched book explains how components of constitutional democracy have been suppressed by malefic statist interventions to produce the modern decline and the Illegality of the Status Quo.

The Manifesto shows how the ideal society is to be achieved.

- HERE ARE SOME REVIEWS OF THE ESSAYS UPON WHICH THIS BOOK IS BASED -

"I think it is certainly true that Keynesian economics, as put into practice, has handed the economic power of the West to a few men who now almost totally control it. Likewise, I agree that the trial by jury is an essential bulwark of democracy and justice against a bankers' tyranny. I congratulate you on disseminating the above points."

His Hon. Patrick S.J. Carmack, Esq. Producer of The Money Masters video documentary.

"Thank you for your excellent work on Magna Carta. What a masterly exposition."

Major John Gouriet, Chairman, Defenders of the Realm; Battle for Britain Campaign supported by H.G. the Duke of Wellington; Edward Fox, OBE, and Frederick Forsyth, CBE.

"What a magnificent article! I intend to incorporate parts of it into speeches and writings."

Professor Julian Heicklen, Jury Rights Activist; U.S. National Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters.


"Superb. Should be read in every law school."

John Walsh, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author; Constitutional lawyer (U.S. & Australia).

See further reviews inside.




MAGNA CARTA MONUMENT Canberra, Australia



See **Reviews** and **Endorsements** on next page.

Kenn d'Oudney is the author of books and essays including the following:
Kenn d'Oudney est auteur de livres et essais y compris les suivants:
Kenn d'Oudney ist Autor von Büchern und Essays einschließlich der folgenden:



DEMOCRACY DEFINED: The Manifesto ISBN 978-1902848280
A Treatise for the Democracy Defined Restoration Campaign by
Kenn d'Oudney.

Augmented third edition with Addendum, extensive Bibliography and Index.
Softback, 310 large-size (A4) pages.

The word 'democracy' is widely abused and 'defined' incorrectly. This extensively researched book explains how components of Constitutional Democracy have been suppressed by malefic statist interventions to produce the modern decline and the Illegality of the Status Quo. It sheds light on how democracy involves a variety of far-reaching issues, including political assassinations; the Ætiology of Anti-Semitism; fraudulent fractional reserve lending banking practices; and the national issuance of interest-free currency and credit.

The historical, legal and constitutional facts and quotations in this book establish the perennially subject and liable status of executive, legislature and judiciary to universal, timeless secular moral and legal tenets of Equity, and to cost-free private prosecutions at Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury (Article Sixty-One). Exposes the fallacies of "constitutional" statutes, groups and individuals. Indispensable reading for anyone who wishes to uphold the West's endangered, cherished heritage of Liberty and Equal Justice.

DEMOCRACY DEFINED: *The Manifesto* reveals the theoretical and practical framework upon which the ideal human society is to be achieved: the best of all possible worlds.

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from Amazon.co.uk Amazon.com.au & Amazon.com

- REVIEWS OF THE ESSAYS UPON WHICH THIS BOOK IS BASED -

"Thank you for your excellent work on Magna Carta. What a masterly exposition."

MAJOR JOHN GOURIET, Chairman, Defenders of the Realm; Battle for Britain Campaign supported by H.G. the Duke of Wellington; Edward Fox, OBE, and Frederick Forsyth, CBE.

"I think it is certainly true that Keynesian economics, as put into practice, has handed the economic power of the West to a few men who now almost totally control it. Likewise, I agree that the trial by jury is an essential bulwark of democracy and justice against a bankers' tyranny. I congratulate you on disseminating the above points."

HIS HON. PATRICK S.J. CARMACK, Esq. Producer, The Money Masters video documentary.

"The d'Oudney analysis is as insightful as it is comprehensive. It will stand for years to come as the definitive critique of the European Constitution prepared by Giscard d'Estaing and others. I look forward to sharing the d'Oudney analysis with my colleagues."

HOWARD PHILLIPS, Founder, U.S. Constitution Party, three-time Presidential nominee; Chairman of the Conservative Caucus.

"Superb. Should be read in every law school."

JOHN WALSH, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author; Constitutional lawyer (U.S. & Australia).

"What a magnificent article! (Madison and Democracy) I intend to incorporate parts of it into my speeches and writings."

PROFESSOR JULIAN HEICKLEN, Jury Rights Activist, National Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters.

"Kenn d'Oudney is a brilliant writer and researcher when it comes to Democracy and Trial by Jury. The best source of common law is Kenn d'Oudney."

DR. JOHN WILSON, Jury Rights Activist; Co-Founder & Chairman, Australian Common Law Party.

"Thanks, Kenn. I've circulated this."

SIMON RICHARDS, Campaign Director; The Freedom Association; Founded by John Gouriet; the Viscount de L'Isle, VC, KG, PC; Ross McWhirter and Norris McWhirter, CBE.

- MORE REVIEWS -

“Your book is an absolute triumph! I now understand why the term ‘Lawful Rebellion’ grates with you. I genuinely believe that your book should be compulsory reading for every one of our elected representatives... not to mention our own supporters! So well done! Excellent book and a great source of reference.”

JUSTIN WALKER, Campaign Coordinator, British Constitution Group. Amazon reviewer.

“I bought a copy of your excellent book from Amazon and I am impressed by both size and content. Frankly I haven't been able to put it down. Every home should have one and not just every law school but every secondary school should have one in its curriculum. I particularly enjoyed the 'Traitors to the People' chapter. The whole book is a fascinating read, well done.”

JOHN S., Swindon. (E-mail to DD.)

“I am SO pleased that I've read this compelling book and that I now understand the true meaning of “Democracy.” Although it's certainly not a novel, I found it as gripping as one. I had trouble putting it down. DEMOCRACY DEFINED: The Manifesto has opened my awareness dramatically.”

CAL BUCK, West Bromwich, Amazon reviewer.

“The Handbook for every person on the planet explaining True Law and Democracy.”

KENNETH JOHNS, Amazon reviewer.

“Excellent and well-written book on how the people in the so-called free world are not free. This is the missing education they should be teaching our children in school so they become enlightened on what's really going on in this world.”

ROBERT JOHN MONTAGUE, Amazon reviewer.

“This is a MUST READ (probably the ONLY read you'll need!) on democracy, Magna Carta, and Common Law. As I've made my way through it I discovered how much I didn't know — and that drove me on. It is thorough and deep, but worth reading all 300 large pages slowly, word by word. Just reading it is changing me — and giving me increased courage to speak out when necessary. One of the books I had no hesitation in giving a 5-star rating. It was worth every penny of the (gulp!) £18.00. Yep. Every penny.”

ANDREW SERCOMBE, Amazon reviewer.


“A MUST READ. Enough is enough of all this treasonous outlawry. I cannot express enough the importance of everyone reading this book, this is the 2nd copy I'm purchasing. Thank you Mr. d'Oudney for collating meticulously all these historical evidential facts in one book.”

DANTES DINIZ, Amazon reviewer.

By going to Amazon on the link and clicking on ‘Look Inside’, you can check out the four Synoptical Reference Pages of Contents to see subject matter; and get a glimpse of the text.

**SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from
[Amazon.co.uk](https://www.amazon.co.uk) [Amazon.com.au](https://www.amazon.com.au) & [Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com)**

See next page.



NEW UPDATED & EXPANDED 2025 EDITION.
**CANNABIS: THE FACTS, HUMAN RIGHTS AND
THE LAW, THE REPORT ISBN 978-1902848310**

by Kenn d'Oudney, co-authored by Joanna d'Oudney.
FOREWORD by a Nobel laureate former Official Adviser to U.S. government. Endorsed by a Professor of Physiology Fellow of the Royal Society, and a former Official Adviser on Environment to U.K. government; academics, doctors (of jurisprudence, medicine, physiology, psychiatry, homeopathy, philosophy), and judges (U.S. & U.K.).
Softback, 289 large-size (A4) pages.

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from

[Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com) [Amazon.co.uk](https://www.amazon.co.uk) [Amazon.com.au](https://www.amazon.com.au) [Amazon.ca](https://www.amazon.ca)

IMPORTANT NOTICE

RELEGALISATION, AMNESTY AND RESTITUTION

In South Africa, leader of the Dagga Party Jeremy Acton's defence presentation of our legal-medical textbook Cannabis: The Facts, Human Rights and the Law, THE REPORT (current ISBN 978-1902848310) obtained referral to the Constitutional Court leading to that Court's relegalisation of personal cultivation and possession of cannabis for private use. In the concurrent case of "*the dagga couple*," defendants Myrtle Clarke and Julian Stobbs presented THE REPORT stating that it forms the "*reasoning*" and "*basis for the legal challenge*" to prohibition legislation. Their charges of possession and dealing were dropped at Magistrate's Court pending outcome of the constitutional challenge—subsequently successful.

Thus it is seen how, when presented by defendants, THE REPORT can achieve dropped charges and relegalisation.

THE REPORT collates and presents in a formal context, exonerative clinical documentary evidence of the expert official empirical (human use) studies, exempting Cannabis Sativa from all criteria of legislative control ('prohibition').

Moreover, THE REPORT establishes that the apocryphal 'law' is perjurious, itself results from venal ulterior motive, and is gravely damaging to individual and society. (See Synopsis which follows.) This obliges administrations everywhere to pass a simple legislative Amendment returning cannabis to its legal status before the introduction of legislative controls.

– See **REVIEWS AND ENDORSEMENTS** on next page. –

– REVIEWS AND ENDORSEMENTS –

“You have done a splendid job of producing a comprehensive summary of the evidence documenting that the prohibition of the production, sale and use of cannabis is utterly unjustified and produces many harmful effects. Any impartial person reading your REPORT will almost certainly end up favouring the relegalisation of cannabis.”

NOBEL LAUREATE PROFESSOR MILTON FRIEDMAN, Economics’ Adviser to U.S. government (Reagan Administration); Author, video and TV series writer and presenter; Senior Research Fellow, Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace; Professor Emeritus, University of Chicago.

“You represent a worthy part of the fight in many countries for the logical and beneficial use of cannabis. I thank you for that.”

PROFESSOR PATRICK D. WALL, M.D., Author; Professor of Physiology, UMDS St. Thomas’s (Teaching) Hospital, London; Fellow of the Royal Society; DM, FRCP.

“You are to be congratulated on a work well done. Very readable. It is an important REPORT and I do hope it will be widely distributed and read.”

PROFESSOR LESTER GRINSPOON, MD, Author on Drugs Policy, Professor of Psychiatry, Harvard University School of Medicine, Fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science and the American Psychiatric Association.

“The sections dealing with the rights and responsibilities of the jury are eloquent in their defence of fundamental individual rights. The authors correctly perceive the bedrock importance of trial by jury, and the significance of the jury’s right to judge the law itself. I welcome the addition of this REPORT to the world’s store of important writings on the subject of human liberty.”

DON DOIG, BSc., Author; U.S. National Coordinator, Co-founder, Fully Informed Jury Association (FIJA) / American Jury Institute.

“I did enjoy reading it. THE REPORT should contribute much.”

THE HON. JONATHON PORRITT, Bt., former Adviser to U.K. government on Environment; Author; Founder, Friends of the Earth; TV series writer and presenter.

“I have just finished reading your and Joanna’s book on Cannabis. It is a masterpiece on both drug prohibition and jury rights. Thanks to both of you for writing it.”

PROFESSOR JULIAN HEICKLEN, Jury Rights Activist; Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters Campaign.

“I am totally amazed at THE REPORT’s quality and overall goodness.”

DR. ANNE BIEZANEK, Authoress; ChB, BSc, MB, MFHom.

“A fine document.”

U.S. JUDGE’s letter to Authors.

“THE REPORT’s thesis is sound.”

U.K. JUDGE’s letter to Authors.

A book of THE RESTORATION QUADRILOGY

Synopsis on next page

SO YOU THINK CANNABIS PROHIBITION HAS NO EFFECT UPON YOU ?

THE REPORT provides unique content not to be found in any other author's work and gives in-depth analysis of, and an authoritative insight into, the multiple modern and traditional uses of Cannabis as superior resource to myriad products. It is comprised of Seven Parts, each examining different aspects of this far-reaching subject.

EXONERATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT; Official Empirical Research: THE REPORT collates the medico-scientific Findings of Fact and Conclusions of the government-funded clinical studies conducted by world-respected research and academic institutions into non-toxic, non-addictive natural herb cannabis (differentiated from pharmaceutical *laboratory* toxic product THC). The investigations' empirical evidence exonerates cannabis from all allegations of 'harm' and 'impairment' (including tests on simulated driving) *exempting cannabis from all legislative criteria of control ('prohibition')*. All citizens persecuted thereunder are due Amnesty and Restitution (as for other Wrongful Penalisation).

SIX PARTS (chapters) include expert documentary, legal, academic, scientific, technical, medical, economic, industrial, social, criminological, philosophical evidence, and that which is based on grounds of Equity, vindicating all private cultivation, trade, possession and use, and which further exposes perjury and venality behind prohibition 'legislation', *all acts of enforcement constituting crime per se*.

PART SEVEN, RESTORATION: JUSTICE AND THE CONSTITUTION, exposes corruption, ineptitude and injustice in the justice process; examines Law: natural law, supreme secular *legem terræ* Constitutional common law, treaties, statutes; quotes presidents, judges, lawyers and chief justices.

THE REPORT is regularly presented pre-trial by defendants to courts (judges) who forbid all Findings of Fact, evidence and defences which "*dispute the legality of the law*" before the jury. The official expert evidence in THE REPORT establishes the illegal nature of the legislation. THE REPORT quotes legal grounds (national and international) which demonstrate numerous infractions of laws by the prohibition legislation, and which show all acts of its enforcement to be crime per se. All citizens persecuted thereunder are due Amnesty and Restitution (as for other Wrongful Penalisation).

THE REPORT demonstrates in the law: injustice, inequity, invalidity, adverse effects, venal ulterior motive, perjury, fallacious derogation, and the *inherent* illegality of law which creates the Black Market and engenders all associated crime. The outcomes of this procedure of presenting THE REPORT as documentary evidence to the judge (court) have proved beneficial in the extreme for defendants.

*Courts require documentary evidence presented as the published textbook (not copies or e-book).

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from:

[Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com) [Amazon.co.uk](https://www.amazon.co.uk) [Amazon.com.au](https://www.amazon.com.au) [Amazon.ca](https://www.amazon.ca)

By going to Amazon and clicking on 'Read sample', you can check out the Contents pages to see subject matter; and get a glimpse of the text.



<https://www.democracydefined.org/>

The Home Page of the not-for-profit Educational Campaign for
RESTORATION and UNIVERSAL ADOPTION of
CONSTITUTIONAL COMMON LAW TRIAL BY JURY.

Join the Campaign! Download and distribute
the posters and educational pamphlets.

[Membership gratis.](#)