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THE TRIAL BY JURY JUSTICE SYSTEM
An Essay by Kenn d'Oudney

How Equal Justice for All Is Achieved;
How Crime and Tyranny Are Precluded.

When looking into the workings of our Constitutional Justice System, simultaneously revealed is the degree to which modern governments (comprised of the executive, legislature and judiciary) together with collusive career courtroom lawyers, have strayed from the path of Justice, Equity (fairness) and the universally-applicable secular precepts of Natural Law (ethical morality), into an unmitigated criminal modus operandi. Rejecting the definitive basis of democracy and civilised human existence, modern government has judically* contravened The Constitution; usurped the rule of law; committed Capital Treason and Crimes against Peace and Humanity; massively engendered crime, strife, corruption and a collapse of social cohesion; and implemented the illegal coercive barbaric practices of tyranny and despotism.

*Definition: judicable, that which can be tried by jury in a court of law.

Set out are the principal facts about civilisation’s definitive, model Justice System, the Trial by Jury, with which, for their ultimate well-being, all teens and adults should be provided. Trial by Jury is also the U.S. Constitutional Justice System: the references and quotations pertaining thereto apply equally to the U.K. and elsewhere.

I. THE ETERNAL CRITERION OF JUSTICE.

All societies govern by their Justice System. The power to punish carries with it ALL power. It remains a universal eternal Criterion of Justice that: the validity and justice of laws and all acts of their enforcement require to be judged not by those who make and enforce the laws (government), but by those who voluntarily agree to abide by the laws (all the adult citizens).

Those who do not uphold this tenet are then promoting unlawful rule by a tyrannical élite. Unwittingly, or for self-advantage, they serve despots, abet tyranny, and are the criminal enemies of freedom and equal justice. Because the fairness and justice of the laws and all acts of law enforcement require to be judged by those who agree to abide by the laws, according to natural law, common law, constitutional law, and the paramount requirement for Equal Justice, the Common Law Trial by Jury of ordinary adult citizens in which the jurors judge the justice of the law and each act of enforcement is the only justice system which is just and hence legal everywhere, for all process of law, civil, criminal and fiscal.

On the aforesaid grounds, there is NO legitimate enforcement of ANY law but by the Trial by Jury. For the reasons explained above, common law applies universally: Trial by Jury is the only system which is legal for all process of law, civil, criminal and fiscal.

The (authentic) Trial by Jury prescribed and defined by legem terræ common law (as inscribed into the Great Charter Constitution, Magna Carta, 1215) comprises a complete constitution of itself. This is because its straightforward working mechanisms intentionally provide legal judgement and control by the People in Juries, of the modus operandi (the way of operating) of governments. (See the Juror’s Duties which follow.) That is why Common Law Trial by Jury is installed by all legitimate constitutions as the sole justice system for all crimes (unimpeachable), civil, criminal and fiscal.

Note: terræ is pronounced terry, the æ as in Caesar; séize.

The democratic Principle of our traditional Western Constitutions’ Trial by Jury is that it is the Will of the People represented by indiscriminately chosen Jurors, not the will of the court or the current transient government, that must determine what laws shall be established, maintained, and how they are enforced. In this way, Trial by Jury is the common law’s Mechanism of Authority by which all the rights of all the People are protected, and on which all rights depend. Hence, Thomas Jefferson’s “anchor”:

“I consider Trial by Jury as the only anchor yet imagined by man, by which a government can be held to the principles of its constitution.”

Lawyer Thomas Jefferson; U.S. President; Author of the Declaration of Independence; co-Founder of the Democratic Party.

The authentic Constitutional Trial by Jury operates either

(i) as a means of **cost-free** private civil, criminal or fiscal prosecution to establish rights and punish or obtain redress for wrongs, including those committed by persons in government, or

(ii) as a right by which to establish a person’s innocence (lack of guilt or liability) in defence from all and any fines, summary punishments, accusation or prosecution.

* Viz. for example, legem terrae Article 40 inscribed into Magna Carta, the Great Charter Constitution, “To no one will we sell, to no one deny or delay right or justice.” According to common law governing Constitutional Trial by Jury (which is now illegally obstructed by politicians and courts), cost-free prosecutions can be brought by private citizens to a Trial by Jury. Trial by Jury is not the ‘preserve’ of the government prosecution service and the legal profession. (However, vexatious and malicious litigation which waste the court’s, i.e., the jury’s, time can be decided upon and fined by juries.)

Since its earliest inception in the mists of pre-history, common law Trial by Jury has remained the sole civilised method of combating crime: both common crime, and crimes committed by, or in the name of, government (that is, tyranny).

“It cannot be denied that the practice of submitting causes to the decision of twelve men was universal among all the northern tribes (of Europe) from the very remotest antiquity.”

Crabbe’s History of the English Law, p. 32.

UNDERSTANDING THE LAW OF THE LAND.

Understanding Legem Terrae, i.e., the Law of the Land which prescribes the People’s all-powerful Courts of the Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System inscribed into Magna Carta, reveals how the people at large peacefully protect themselves from despotism, villainy and potential holocaust.

Through the genuine Trial by Jury, the people re-establish the due primacy of the universal, natural, common law and justice over statute law; punish and deter crime; resume their rightful responsible rôle to police their society; nurture the values of equal justice and respect for the rights of others; fulfil the purpose of Trial by Jury to eliminate crime; and they uphold the Constitution. The duplicitous legislation by which wealth and/or hegemony accrue to particular preferred people or segments of society is thus rapidly and permanently extirpated.

The consummation of the purpose of Trial by Jury (described in the preceding paragraph) constitutes the reason explaining why judges and politicians are active in denying and destroying Constitutional Trial by Jury: the criminally corrupt reveal themselves as such by their very antithesis to Trial by Jury.

CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY.

Whereas ALL injustices and wrongs from petty tyranny to genocidal holocaust may flow from denial of the genuine Trial by Jury, only from the society of Equal Justice can definitive democracy emerge. Hence, whenever Trial by Jury is denied, then the state or government which denies it commits Crime against Humanity.

If a citizen is unable to obtain Trial by Jury for either of the aforesaid purposes (i) and (ii), that fact establishes the Illegality of the Status Quo. It then becomes the principal duty of every adult to restore legality to society by campaigning for and achieving Restoration and Universal Adoption of the Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury System.

**Trial by Jury Was Constitutionally Emplaced for the Purposes of:**

A.) **not only** ascertaining the guilt or innocence of those accused of common crimes and where necessary apportioning retribution, **but also**

B.) of transcendent importance, as a **barrier** to protect the vast mass of innocent citizenry from the crimes of arbitrary government, i.e., unjust laws, tyranny; and from the corruption, prejudices and incompetence of fallible justices (judges). Trial by Jury enables the people to judge and decide authoritatively what their liberties and laws are, so that the people retain all the liberties which they wish to enjoy.
Naturally, people have the moral responsibility, the right and the duty to resist and suppress injustice wherever it occurs, and by whomsoever it is perpetrated, governments notwithstanding. By definition and in practice, Democracy and Justice require that the People at all times retain the Supreme Power to annul injustices and the bad laws made by fallible politicians.

This Power backed by the full apparatus of police, prison service and Armed Services, is uniquely embodied in the Citizen-Juror's Duty in Trial by Jury: to judge the justice of every act of law enforcement, and to render the Not Guilty Verdict whenever conviction or punishment of the accused would be unfair, according to the juror's conscience.

Consider Harlan F. Stone, U.S. Chief Justice 1941-1946, on the Juror's Duty in the authentic Trial by Jury, as follows:

“If a juror feels that the statute involved in any criminal offence is unfair, or that it infringes upon the defendant's natural God-given unalienable or Constitutional rights, then it is his duty to affirm that the offending statute is really no law at all and that the violation of it is no crime at all, for no one is bound to obey an unjust law.”

“That juror must vote Not Guilty regardless of the pressures or abuses that may be heaped on him by any or all members of the jury with whom he may in good conscience disagree. He is voting on the justice of the law according to his own conscience and convictions and not someone else's. The law itself is on trial quite as much as the case which is to be decided.”


HOW EQUAL JUSTICE IS DONE:
THE JUROR'S DUTIES IN TRIAL BY JURY.

Wherever Trial by Jury takes place, be it in the U.K., Australia, the U.S., Canada, New Zealand, and numerous other countries, it is DEFINITIVE of Trial by Jury that, after swearing to convict the guilty and acquit the innocent, in finding their Verdict,

The Jurors Judge:
~ on the justice of the law, and annul, by pronouncing the Not Guilty Verdict, any law or act of enforcement which is deemed unfair or unjust according to the juror's conscience (i.e., sense of right and wrong);

~ in addition to the facts, and

~ on the admissibility of evidence (evidence not being pre-selected or screened-out by government or judge and/or prosecutor).

Jurors Must Judge:
~ that the accused acted with malice aforethought, i.e., mens rea, a premeditated malicious motive to find guilt ('guilt' is a characteristic inherent or absent in motives and actions: it cannot be ascribed by legislation*);

~ on the nature and gravity of the alleged offence; and where guilt is unanimously found,

~ on mitigating circumstances if any (provocation; temptation; incitation); and

~ set the sentence (with regard to its being fit and just).

* There is neither moral justice nor political necessity (i.e., deterrent value) for punishing where there was no mens rea. (In the case of one person injuring another innocently or accidentally, the civil law suit and the Trial by Jury award appropriate compensation for damages.)

For jurors not to do the above, or for someone other than the jurors to make any such decisions, is another process: call it “trial-by-someone-else” if you will, or “trial-by-the-judge with a false ‘jury’ watching” — but this travesty cannot be defined as a Trial BY JURY.

“There is only one Trial by Jury. It is mere falsehood to call a procedure “trial by jury” if the accused and any of the matters related to the case under judgement are tried by someone other than the Jury. There is no process and no meaning to the words Trial by Jury other than that which the words themselves prescribe.”

See DEMOCRACY DEFINED ISBN 9781902848228; SRC Publishing.
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THE ILLEGALITY OF THE STATUS QUO.

Anyone acquainted with the process of law in the United States, Britain, Australia and elsewhere today, will see how far removed the practices of courts are from the ideals and legally binding stipulations of those nations’ Constitutions. Today, every single one of the above Juror’s Duties, all the constitutional common law requirements definitive of Trial by Jury (including judging on the facts of the case) are illegally forbidden, interfered with and/or obstructed by the ‘judges’.

Labyrinthine deceipts of modern usurpation inhabit the politicians’ statute book, which bears no resemblance and pays no respect to universal common laws of truth, justice, liberty, and equality before the law.

THE JUROR’S DUTY IS SIMPLE.

It should be remembered that the Juror’s duty is uncomplicated: ‘guilt’ of a crime can only be pronounced where the accused’s act was a deliberate, premeditated one of malice aforethought. Similar judgements are the daily fact of life in commonplace human interactions. We make such appraisals frequently... "Is this person behaving in a way that is dishonest or malign?" "Is that person telling the truth?" "Why are they doing that?" "Are these people genuine?" (Again, note that in the case of one person injuring another innocently or accidentally, the civil law suit and the Trial by Jury award appropriate compensation for damages.)

Regardless of the intricacies of evidence (and it is always for the plaintiff/prosecution to present a clear case), the contrast between genuine innocence and malicious motivation is easy for jurors to discern. Only ulterior criminal intent, i.e., mens rea, lies behind politicians, judges and other individuals advocating denial of Trial by Jury and the handing over of cases to the despots’ method of injustice: the ‘trial-by-judge’.

Legem terræ common law is based on humankind’s natural understanding of the universal Sense of Fairness (equity) which all adults share in common: that people should only “do unto others as you would they do unto you.” The authentic common law is embodied and expressed as the decisions of Juries in Trial by Jury; and must be differentiated from that which modern government has corrupted by legislation; a counterfeit which is “common law” in name only. The real common law is created as the judgements of the people as Jurors in each Trial by Jury: it contains no statutes of government politicians nor rulings or ‘precedents’ of the judiciary.

The legal and societal term Natural Law* is a sense of right and wrong which arises inevitably from the constitution of the mind of man. From early childhood all people, literate or not, know it when injustices are committed against them and they know likewise when they commit injustices to others. However, when an unjust act is premeditated and hence malicious, common law defines this as Crime, be it of a lesser or greater degree, and ‘Guilt’ is definitively inherent in the act.

(*Natural law does NOT refer to the laws of nature, the laws which science aims to describe. Nor is it to be confused with the opposite phenomenon, "the law of the jungle," which is the rule for surviving by the use of force to succeed in a hostile or competitive environment. This latter is quite the reverse of natural law and justice. )

Natural law and justice are eternal and universal; not geographically or culturally constrained, nor limited to a set time. The people’s legem terræ common law of the land is derived from natural law and justice and Equity, the natural Sense of Fairness and conscience by which disinterested, randomly-selected people in a jury situation judge. See Justice William Jones; Jones on Bailments; & see THE REPORT ISBN 9781902848204.

Legem terræ common law—comprising the pan-Occidental and true European Constitution—prescribes that for any charge or offence, however serious or trivial, no person shall be dispossessed, fined, punished or in any way disadvantaged, except according to the lawful judgement or sentence of a unanimous jury of jurors randomly chosen according to common law principles, of his or her social-equals following a Trial by Jury; viz. Article 39 of the Great Charter, 1215.

Thus, Trial by Jury is the vital part of The Constitution, for it places the laws subject to the Jurors, and the liberties of the people within their own keeping. Of this Blackstone says:

“The Trial by Jury is that trial by the peers [i.e., social-equals] of every Englishman which, as the grand bulwark of his liberties, is secured to him by the Great Charter.
The liberties of England cannot but subsist so long as this palladium* remains sacred and inviolate, not only from all open attacks, which none will be so hardy as to make, but also from all secret machinations which may sap and undermine it.”

4 Blackstone, pp. 349-50.

*Definition. Palladium, any safeguard; a symbol, metaphorical or statuary, which represents the protection of the liberties and rights of man. Derived from Pallas Athene, Hellenic Greek goddess of wisdom and war. Today, the world’s most famous Palladia are the Trial by Jury, and The Statue of Liberty in New York harbour.

Founded in profound morality, Egalitarian Justice and Equity, the Common Law is secular and timeless, legally binding all citizens regardless of gender, race, religion, or background, thereby also governing the behaviour of individual men and women within governments, controlling the government’s modus operandi itself. No one is “above” the Law of the Land Articles as inscribed into Magna Carta, 1215. In this respect, viz. in particular Articles 24, 39, 40 & 61. There is no judicial, religious or political “immunity” for criminal infractions of constitutional common law: none for denial of the cost-free Trial by Jury Justice System to the private plaintiff or defendant by politicians’ or functionaries’ of whatever type or denomination; and none for the anti-Constitutional criminal substitution of the Common Law and Trial by Jury by any religious codes or systems.

THE WORKINGS OF TRIAL BY JURY.

One of the beauties of the authentic Common Law Trial by Jury is the predictability of its verdicts. Judgements to determine the verdict made by randomly chosen, disinterested citizens in the rôle of juror in Trial by Jury are virtually universally acclaimed to be reliable and uniform. This phenomenon is produced for the following reasons.

Since pre-historical time immemorial, juries of people from all backgrounds, some literate, others not, have agreed on and enforced the common law against the crime of injustice; that is, any act of intrinsically malicious motive such as tyranny, murder, rape, bodily harm, mental cruelty, torture, robbery, theft, extortion, arbitrary dispossession, usury, fraud and so on. Crime receives the universal condemnation of men and women in juries in all times and places.

Whilst outside of the jury situation, adults behave (whether fairly to others or not) according to what they consider to be in their interests, within the jury, when disinterested, randomly-selected citizens know the facts from which a verdict is to be inferred, they arrive at the same conclusion or verdict unless there is reasonable doubt ceded by the inconclusive nature of the evidence, or they perceive injustice in the law, or injustice in the act of its enforcement.

This is because common law juries know that they are there to protect themselves as well as their fellow citizens by enforcing the just laws with unanimity, whilst the unjust or venal statutes and the enforcement of injustices by corrupt, incompetent or prejudiced judges are fittingly annulled by the pronouncing of the Not Guilty Verdict. It serves the interest of the individual citizen and the People at large to do so. That is to say, the People reliably enforce just laws; and, only laws which are just are those which should and must be enforced.

What is more, even and especially the covert undiscovered felon (murderer, robber, fraudster, mobster, sex offender, etc.) called to serve on a jury enforces the just laws, for not to do so would reveal his insalubrious character to the other jurors, thereby bringing on himself numerous malconsequences.

However, if the justice of a law is not evident, and the sentence of punishment (being part of the law) cannot be accepted as justifiable and fair by twelve indiscriminately chosen adult citizens, then that ‘law’ is no law at all: it requires Annulment-by-Jury and must not be enforced. When juries regularly reject (annul) prosecutions of a statute, that statute requires legislative expunction.

Common law juries continuously enforce the just laws with unanimity, whilst unjust or venal statutes and the enforcement of injustices by fallible judges are fittingly annulled by the pronouncing of the Not Guilty Verdict. In this way it is explained how the Jury’s rôle is judicial; and the government’s function is executive, merely to execute, to carry out, the decisions (judicium, judgement: verdicts and sentences) of the Jury.

The Constitution prescribes the definitive Trial by Jury Justice System in which the Juror is sovereign (Unanimity being requisite to condemn); and the Juror’s decisions prevail over statutes and the
rulings of judges (‘precedent’; *stare decisis*). No written law or ruling of a court (judge) is binding on a Jury. The Jury decides the law.

Viz. U.S. President John Adams, lawyer, pronounced about the Juror:

“It is not only his Right but his Duty to find the verdict according to his own best understanding, judgement and conscience, though in direct opposition to the direction of the court [i.e., the judge].”


**KING ALFRED THE GREAT.**

King Alfred was England’s greatest ruler—the only one to earn and deserve the epithet of “the Great.” The Principle of Unanimity was understood, and definitively and constitutionally established by Alfred in the following way:

King Alfred had Justice (judge) Cadwine hanged because Cadwine had a man named Hackwy put to death by hanging, without the unanimity of the jury of twelve men. In this case, three jurors pronounced the Not Guilty verdict against nine. Cadwine removed the three and selected three others who would also pronounce ‘guilt’.

Similarly, King Alfred had Justice Frebern hanged, because Frebern hanged a man called Harpin, when the jurors were still in doubt as to their verdict. Alfred established that when there is a doubt, it is in the interests of all people that justice should save rather than condemn.

See “The Mirror of Justices,” compiled and published by Andrew Horne in Old French. The Mirror was written within a century after Magna Carta. It contains an account of Alfred’s acts and judgements, thought to have been originally composed by him. Also see, Works, vol.2, by Justice James Wilson, co-author of the U.S. Constitution.

In addition to the absolute requirement of Unanimity, from these examples we see that jurors require always to be chosen by lot (chance) from the whole body of adult citizens; and that the selection shall be made in some mode that excludes the possibility of choice on the part of the prosecution and government. To protect all the People against any government bent on injustice, it is the vital principle of Trial by Jury that government legislation shall be subjected to the judgement of a tribunal taken from the whole people indiscriminately, without any choice by the government, and over which choice the government exercises no control. If government can select jurors, it will select those favourable to its prosecutions and enactments, excluding from juries those whom the law treats unjustly. Exclusion of any men and women from eligibility is an illegal selection of those not excluded.

Juries must be randomly chosen in order that they represent diversity of views amongst the people of the country. The Principle of Unanimity combines with the Principles of Random Selection and the Principle of Impartiality which forbids the selection of jurors being influenced or made by any officer of the government. Together, these principles protect minorities and groups from the partisans of a potentially oppressive government which seeks to impose unfair laws.

The Common Law Trial by Jury guarantees the universal right of individuals to judge the justice of any law that is to be enforced on the people and their peers, so that arbitrary government and bad law are rejected and innocent liberties are preserved. Jurors’ identities are not known until they come into the jury-box (and may be withheld from outside interests if necessary).

If the Trial is to be fair, it is essential that it be by a jury of peers, a citizen’s social equals. Hence, common law excludes from juries those who would prejudice proceedings, including: A.) those who make statutes and regulations; B.) those who could gain from the law or its enforcement; and C.) those who are connected in some way with plaintiff or defendant, their objectivity possibly being compromised. The plaintiff, defendant and their counsel may make representations to the jurors against the inclusion of a particular citizen on the panel of jurors, on the above grounds, A, B and C. Then, the common law governing jury selection further ensures fairness: the choice is made by discussions and discreet votes of ‘the triers’ themselves (the fellow jurors); never by prosecutors or convenors (i.e., judges; voir dire, property qualifications, etc).

See TRIAL BY JURY ISBN 9781902848723 for further info.
THE PRINCIPAL TENETS of the Constitutions of Democracies.

THE CRITERIA FOR MEASURING THE LEGITIMACY OF GOVERNMENTS.

Legem terræ common law is indispensable to the maintenance of civil peace; the well-being of all the population; rights, liberty, justice; and to the inalienable right of humans to unmolested tranquillity of existence, privacy, and the pursuit of happiness. Hence, it is of supreme importance that—for their own benefit—every teen and adult comes to understand that their most important adult rôle and duty is that of Juror in Constitutional Trial by Jury; and, without compromise, support and ceaselessly campaign for its Restoration.

Common Law is the timeless supreme universal legal and secular moral code which ‘exists’ independently over statute law and government. Whether governments acknowledge and submit to legem terræ common law are the criteria for measuring their legitimacy.

DEFINITIONS UNALTERABLE AT COMMON LAW.

(i) A ‘constitution’ is a code of laws and customs established by the people of a nation (as distinct from government and/or bureaucrats) for the guidance and the legal and lawful control of its government, by which to preclude tyranny and lawlessness; a constitution may be amended only at the behest and by the active participation of the great mass of the people (not by government); being the legal means of controlling and limiting the power of government, a constitution is categorically not merely a document showing the hierarchical administration and departmental organisation of government, though it may also contain this.

(ii) Tyranny is defined as oppressive rule administered with injustice; the cruel and arbitrary use of authority.

(iii) Crime (A). Legem terræ, the Law of the Land, the Constitution, the Common Law and the intellectual, semantical approach to language, define crime as: any act of injustice committed with malice aforesight; mens rea. To be found ‘guilty’ by the Jury in the Constitutional Trial by Jury, the standard of judgement applied by each Juror is that the accused has to have performed an act of injustice committed with malice aforesight—not simply to have done something which is “prohibited by legislation.”

According to the Constitutional Trial by Jury, an act which is of no malice aforesight, of no malicious motive, is an act innocent in itself: it is without guilt; it cannot legitimately or rationally be condemned as ‘guilty’.

As shown in the Juror’s Duties, ‘guilt’ cannot be imparted to an action by legislation. This fact of language and law comprises a significant mechanism of the Constitutional Trial by Jury which protects (is intended to protect) individuals from governments which have ulterior criminal ends and would seek to further them by making statutory ‘offences’ out of innocent acts which are not crimes. The mechanism can be effective only where the law is not broken by courts and government, and where the Constitution is faithfully upheld: that is, where the Juror’s definitive rights, duties, powers and functions in the Constitutional Trial by Jury are fulfilled, and these latter are not illegally denied or interfered with by convenors (nowadays misnamed ‘judges’), or by politicians’ legislative contraventions.

(iv) Crime (B). Consider what happens when the meaning of the word “crime” is changed arbitrarily by criminal legislation and collusive lexicographers to describe any action which is “a violation of law” or “forbidden by government” or “forbidden by legislation.” Every unforgivable, nefarious scheme of felons posing as legislators becomes ‘law’, and ‘crime’ is invented out of mundane acts which are intrinsically innocent.

To convict someone who is Not Guilty of any malice or wrongdoing is one of the most serious crimes it is possible to commit. This is the behaviour of ‘Star Chamber’ tyranny which drives villainous ‘politicians’ and their beheld complicit judges to become confidently despotic beyond restraint. It represents a dismal pathway proven fraught with the milestones of injustice: civil strife, oppression, humiliation, servitude, exploitation, carnality, misery, crime and brutality; the road leading ultimately to holocaust. It is the very reason why Trial by Jury was brought into being.

The Common Law Trial by Jury of ordinary adult citizens in which the Jurors judge on the justice of the law and over every act of enforcement, is the legal and only (peaceful) effective antidote to government crime; the constitutional guarantee of citizens’ protection from tyranny; and the peaceful means of bringing to justice any and all criminals in government who abuse their power.
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Consider the benefits of...
RESTORATION OF TRIAL BY JURY.

If the authentic Constitutional Trial by Jury were restored and functioning, there would be:
no political prisoners; no innocent people in jail; no privately-owned banks involved in the issuance of
national currency and credit; no interest on the same; no fictitious ‘National Debt’; no private-bank
Fractional Reserve Lending (fraud); no common law Crime of Usury (money-lending-at-interest); and
no involvement in illegal Wars of Aggression. (Just for starters.)

II. CONSTITUTIONAL COMMON LAW
IN REGARD TO ‘JUDGES’.

It is an irrevocable principle of the traditional pan-European, English, Irish, Welsh, Scottish, German,
French, Spanish, Italian, Australian, New Zealand, Canadian and the American People’s Common Law
governing jurisprudence, and of Magna Carta (Article 24 of John’s Charter and 17 of Henry’s), that
Trial shall be by Jury and at Trial by Jury no judge or other officer appointed by government
shall preside in criminal cases or lawsuits in which the government is also an interested party (called
pleas of the crown in the U.K.). In such cases, without the observance of this prohibition there can be
neither Trial by Jury, nor legal trial of any type.

For the best of reasons, at common law Trial by Jury the convenors (who are now incorrectly named
‘judges’ or ‘justices’) have NO judicial function and are chosen by the people; i.e., elected:

“Nullus vice comes, constabularius, coronator, vel alii valivi nostri, teneant placita coronæ nostræ.”
Translation: “No sheriff, constable, coroner, or other of our [monarch/government-appointed] bailiffs,
shall hold pleas of our crown [Trial by Jury].”

The emphasis on “our” is added because—as distinguished from any officials appointed to their
positions by the government—locally-chosen, elected persons (including but not limited to bailiffs,
stewards or sheriffs), could and can convene Trials by Jury. See more info in TRIAL BY JURY: Its
History, True Purpose and Modern Relevance ISBN 9781902848723.

The reasons for this are simple and pure: Regarding convening officers (‘judges’) at trials, impartiality
and integrity cannot be obtained (nor realistically even expected) from people who enforce the laws
who are selected by those who also make and maintain the laws. At the common law of the land,
whether in civil or criminal cases, all officers who convene trials are chosen (elected) by the people. At
common law, convenors (justices; judges) are themselves subject to common law and are answerable
to the common law tribunals of the people (i.e., Trial by Jury), and not protected by élite privilege or
impeachable by government and legislature. See Book 4 of Blackstone’s Commentaries on the Laws of
England, p. 413; and Introduction to Gilbert’s History of the Common Pleas, p. 2, note, & p. 4; etc.

Trial by Jury is so-named, for in democratic societies the trial of a citizen is by fellow citizens who
comprise the Jury. Trial is not ‘trial-by-government’ which could never be fair where government is
also one of the contesting parties. Judges themselves comprise a branch of government, and, they are
in the pay of government. Police, prison service and above all, prosecutors and judges are employed to
enforce governments’ laws. Such personnel should never be asked, nor relied on, to decide impartially
whether laws are just, for they must fulfil their task or face the fury of the government, their employer.

For these reasons given, government and judiciary are incompetent to require the conviction or
punishment of any person for any offence whatever.

Q. “When is a judge not a judge?”
A. “When the judge is not a member of the jury.”

Until the Latin-derived word ‘juror’ was adopted, jurors were actually called the judges, in
recognition of their rôle. “...the judges, for so the jury were called...” See p. 55 of Crabbe’s
History of the English Law, etc. In Trial by Jury, the Foreman or woman of the jury is the principal
presiding officer.

Whatever the judge’s motives, the judge is wrong not to inform jurors of their Right and Duty to do
justice: e.g. State of Georgia v. Brailsford, a Supreme Court forfeiture trial, the facts having been
ascertained, U.S. Chief Justice John Jay instructed jurors that it remained only for them to judge
the law itself, saying:
"The Jury has the right to judge both the law as well as the fact in controversy."

Today, as a juror, expect the judge to forbid you from judging on equity, fairness and justice. Instead, judges instruct jurors to “uphold the law” regardless; and not to allow conscience, their opinion of the law, or a defendant’s motives, to affect their decision. One can speculate WHY judges contravene the Constitution and civilised standards, and do not instruct jurors of their constitutional, legal and moral obligations: i.e., the Jurors’ Right and Duty to judge the justice of law enforcement; WHY judges perjuriously misinstruct jurors that they are ‘not permitted’ to judge the law; and WHY judges decide what evidence may be heard in court, ruling out evidence which exonerates the accused, and preventing juries from reviewing all evidence and deciding on its admissibility...

—disrespect for Citizens’ ability to make fair judgements?
— the judge is the willing servant of antidemocratic, illegal oppressive government?
— unwillingness to part with his or her power to prejudice the verdict and produce the outcome desired by the judge or by his or her political masters?

The courts’ current illegal modus operandi is to facilitate the tyranny manifest in the judiciary’s enforcement of inequitable, apocryphal, money-motivated statutes and regulations, which, given the correct procedures of Constitutional Trial by Jury, citizen-jurors en masse would emphatically annul.

MOTIVE.

The motive behind, and explanation for, judges’ boundless treachery are the same today as they have always been, and they confirm that the indispensability of Trial by Jury is eternal: the judiciary is responsible, not to the People, but to the government; judges are dependent for careers, salaries and by impeachment, on the legislature: to remain judges, they must reliably enforce unjust legislation.

Judges regard themselves as bound to enforce the laws, even when doing so is inequitable and an act of extreme injustice; a crime per se. Once a law has been passed and interpreted for enforcement by the courts, then, unlike jurors, in the routine of court cases judges are not permitted to dispute or judge the justice of law and its enforcement. What is more, feloniously compounding their abdication of responsibility and abandonment of honesty, judges actively disallow the presentation of evidence or defences which exonerate the accused, if the evidence “disputes the legality of the law.”

*See THE REPORT ISBN 9781902848204.

For gain, courtroom lawyers likewise participate in perverted proceedings, perjuriously consenting to this odious denial of the duty to find The Whole Truth. They entrench their malfeasance by also tacitly concealing from Juries all their proper Duties in Constitutional Trial by Jury; including Jurors’ Rights and Duty to judge the law; to acquit in the absence of malicious motivation; and to find the Verdict according to the Juror’s conscience.

To allow judges, these compromised unconscionable treasonous humans, to dictate the law, utterly surrenders all the liberties, rights, property and money of the People to the arbitrary will of renegade politicians. Any person who would propose or support such a system of enslavement and subjection of the People suffers from lack of education and knowledge of human behaviour and political history—or from acute antidemocratic mens rea (criminal intent).

ENFORCEMENT OF INJUSTICE
BY GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL
IS AN ILLEGAL PUNISHABLE ACT.

At all times, every adult has the moral responsibility to suppress injustice. Every act of injustice is a common law crime, whether committed by private citizens or by the state. Jurors and government employees alike are accountable. See ratified Principles, U.N. Resolution, 12-10-1946:

PRINCIPLE I: “Any person who commits an act which constitutes a crime under international law is responsible and liable to punishment.”

PRINCIPLE II: “The fact that internal law does not impose a penalty for an act which constitutes a crime under international law does not release the person who committed the act from responsibility under international law.”

PRINCIPLE III: “The fact that a person who committed an act which constitutes a crime under international law acted as Head of State or responsible government official does not relieve him from responsibility under international law.”
PRINCIPLE IV: “The fact that a person acted pursuant to the order of his government or a superior does not relieve him from responsibility under international law, provided a moral choice was in fact possible to him.”

Whether by participation in the enforcement of arbitrary legislation or from the following of direct orders, being party to the execution of injustice makes the person involved liable to punishment for a criminal offence under common law (also cf. Crime Against Humanity; the Nuremberg Trials' Findings, etc.).

In the Trial by Jury context, the moral choice quoted above is ALWAYS “possible;” it is definitively obligatory: the “choice” is mandatory. De jure, under pain of penalisation, all those involved at every stage of the creation, maintenance and enforcement of law, including jurors, must make the moral choice and judge the law, and accordingly take the appropriate action or make the apposite decision.

As the ‘one world government’, ‘new world order’ dictatorship answerable to no one, grows and spreads its malignance amongst the peoples of the planet, RESTORATION and UNIVERSAL ADOPTION of Constitutional Common Law Trial by Jury becomes exigent and perhaps inevitable. The question is whether Restoration can be effected by representative political means with a cognisant, united population in ardent support (as at Runnymede on the Fifteenth of June, 1215) or must it be re-installed by tumult and violence? Restoration is due (overdue) and where it is not actively supported by individual politicians, i.e., it being passively or actively obstructed, that will result in those treasonous violators of Constitution and Common Law being brought to account in due course.

III. CRIME, HOLOCAUST AND WAR.

Citizen-Juries educated and instructed to judge on the justice of law and its enforcement, can be relied upon to protect people from the state, when the state breaches correct behaviour in attempting to enforce injustices. It is for this reason that those who stand to gain money and/or power from tyranny by the imposition of unjust ‘laws’, regard the genuine Trial by Jury as an obstacle to be undermined and destroyed. Unworthy at best, of outright criminal intent at worst, are those who, instead of restoring Common Law Trial by Jury to its true form and ascendance, would discard it altogether.

With Trial by Jury holding sway, laws count for naught unless they be just. Thus, the People are served, not ruled, by governments. Such government then cannot but embody truly wholesome ideals and civilised aspirations. This type of government attracts, and is comprised of, people representing the citizens’ interests; and oppressing none; and no tyrant can attain power. Such is democracy*.

*Etymology: demos, the people; kratos, sovereignty, power; kratein, to rule.

Hellenic Greece of the Constitution of government by Trial by Jury received from the Athenians the defining epithet, Democracy (demokratia). Democracy means the people rule through Trial by Jury. Trial by Jury defines democracy, sine qua non.

See further semasiological information in DEMOCRACY DEFINED ISBN 9781902848228.

When the fact of all the people having equal and ultimate control over the enforcement of laws in the Trial by Jury is a firmly established social reality, it makes the passing of tyrannical legislation by national assemblies a fruitless exercise predestined to failure. Tyranny is nipped in the bud.

The immediate result of RESTORATION of the Constitutional Trial by Jury Justice System would be the curtailment of wayward politicians’ and their servitors, the judges’, undue powers; and the thorough reversal of their pernicious acts by which World Civilisation is currently being criminally dismantled to establish the ‘new world order’ dictatorship. This latter is comprised of a tiny malevolent clique of the private-owners of the world’s ‘Central Banks’ (the B of E; the Federal Reserve, etc.); Rothschilds; Rockefellers (Chase Manhattan); Bushes (Brown Brothers Harriman); et al.

At the behest of juries of ordinary citizens, the state is constrained into enforcing only just principles and democratic attitudes which accord with the People's judgement in Trials by Jury, such as those reflecting common law values: fraternity, liberty, egalitarianism, progress and justice. Common Law Principles of Random Selection and Unanimity to pronounce ‘guilt’, combine to ensure all equitable views in society are represented, minorities are protected, and only laws uniformly deemed just, valid and equitable according to the conscience of ordinary men and women, are enforced.
THE PRINCIPAL TENETS
of the Constitutions of Democracies.

By the diligent upholding of this Constitution, all tyranny is pre-empted. Not only are bureaucratic, judicial and fiscal injustices eliminated, but tyrants, great and petty, are emasculated. This enforceable democratic control by the People, backed by the entire government apparatus of police, prison service and Armed Services, was constitutionally emplaced to extend equally over the historic and current ‘religious’ tyrannies, as to secular, which are suffered under man’s inhumanity to man.

Thus, Constitutional Democracies founded on the Common Law Trial by Jury respond to, but cannot initiate, aggression, including wars so-defined. If Trial by Jury had remained operating throughout the European nations, whence it originated, the Hohenzollern, Hitler and Stalin tyrannies would have been truncated, and the Holocaust and both World Wars averted.

CHURCHILL’S VIEW.

“The power of the Executive to cast a man into prison without formulating any charge known to the law, and particularly to deny him the judgement of his peers, is in the highest degree odious and is the foundation of all totalitarian government, whether Nazi or Communist.”

Sir Winston Churchill, Author, Chronicler, Historian, Philosopher, Nobel laureate for Literature; Prime Minister of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

Trial by Jury is also known as “the judgement of pares or peers [social-equals],” judicium parium. Telegram from Cairo to the U.K. Home Secretary on November the 21st, 1943. Emphases added.

The ordinary person, the mechanic, the writer, the worker, the businessman, the window-cleaner, the teacher, the shop assistant, is there as the Juror to protect the interests of the people (him or herself) from crime and unfairness, from whichever source it derives. Unless this common law Trial by Jury holds sway, the career politician comprises part of an ongoing élite which must inevitably then be the overlords of ordinary people. Majorities voting in the house will reflect interests of groups unfairly, at the expense of others. Tyranny must come to prevail. Without the authority of the Common Law Trial by Jury being the sole Justice System in force, only violence and revolution can stop a government bent on inflicting acts of injustice on the people.

If you do believe in God, then you will marvel at His sublime wisdom in providing the natural law’s simple, practical SECULAR means for ALL men and women everywhere to create and live in the definitive civilised state of Equal Justice: achieving it is the challenge facing humankind. We all may believe what we will; but the Universal Secular Paradigm of Justice, “Do unto others as you would they do unto you,” provides the Universal Juror with the means for ascertaining whether the act of the accused was for good, ill or neutral. With the Juror’s Duties to the fore of judging the evidence, the justice of the law, the gravity and nature of the act, etc., the case is tried.

The Juror is sovereign in Trial by Jury (Unanimity required). Within the civilised society...

The Jury Comprises the Supreme Legislature and Judicature.

THIS CASE RULING EXEMPLIFIES DEMOCRACY AT WORK:

“If the jury feels the law is unjust, we recognise the undisputed power of the jury to acquit even if its verdict is contrary to the law as given by the judge, and contrary to the evidence.”

“IF the jury feels that the law under which the defendant is accused is unjust, or that exigent circumstances justified the actions of the accused, or for any reason which appeals to their logic or passion, the jury has the power to acquit, and the courts must abide by that decision.”


Neither in Britain, the United States, Australia, Canada, New Zealand, nor in all of Continental Europe and elsewhere, have legislatures ever been invested by the People with authority to impair the powers, to change the oaths, or abridge the jurisdiction of jurors to govern government; nor to remove the universal Right of the accused to the Trial by Jury of peers for any charge or offence whatever, however serious or trivial.

Today, U.S. v Moylan is not exemplified by the modus operandi of courts here or abroad. At the behest of the largely covert manoeuvrings of the felonious implementers of the ‘new world order’, democracy has been overturned by miscreant politicians and judiciary, and the genuine Trial by Jury is no more (ref. the illegally denied Juror’s Duties definitive of Trial by Jury).
MAY ALL FELLOW CITIZENS BEWARE!

Trial-by-judge is the system which denies the common law Trial by Jury. Trial-by-judge is the National Socialist (NAZI), Stalinist, Soviet, fascist and communist system of judicial oppression, by which primitive tyranny thrives; massive injustices are routinely enforced on innocent people; and citizens are intentionally put into permanent fear and servitude.

Complacent, insouciant, ignorant, servile populations of Westerners, e.g. British, Americans, French, Germans, Italians, Spanish and other Europeans, New Zealanders, Canadians and Australians, have allowed antidemocratic politicians to strip them of their legal protections which are universal, inherent and inalienable: the Juror’s Rights and Duty and the Trial by Jury Justice System. People have permitted institutionalisation of despotic attitudes. Oppression has taken root and become widespread even in the former great bastions of democracy. Let us stop the rot forthwith and work ceaselessly until RESTORATION of Trial by Jury, humankind’s Model Justice System, is achieved.

In addition to the presidents, prime ministers and chief justices often quoted in their advocacy of the superiority of Trial by Jury over all systems of justice and law enforcement, the following is further impartial appraisal of the Great Charter and Trial by Jury, and, by implication, of the U.S., Canadian and Australian Constitutions which also base their Justice System on the Trial by Jury. Legal historian and philosopher Sir James Mackintosh, a Scot, says of Magna Carta:

“To have produced it, to have preserved it, to have matured it, constitute the immortal claim of England on the esteem of Mankind. Her Bacons and Shakespeares, her Miltons and Newtons, with all the truth which they have revealed, and all the generous virtues which they have inspired, are of inferior value when compared with the subjection of men and their rulers to the principles of justice; if, indeed, it be not more true that these mighty spirits could not have been formed except under equal laws, nor roused to full activity without the influence of that spirit which the Great Charter breathed over their forefathers.”


©The Author. Contents of the Essay, THE PRINCIPAL TENETS of the Constitutions of the Western Democracies, are comprised of extracts from essays and books by Kenn d’Oudney, TRIAL BY JURY: Its History, True Purpose and Modern Relevance ISBN 9781902848723, DEMOCRACY DEFINED ISBN 9781902848228, and legal-medical textbook THE REPORT, Cannabis: The Facts, Human Rights and the Law ISBN 9781902848204, from SRC Publishing, Ltd. If required, the contents of this essay may be reproduced in whole or part with the proviso that attribution be given to author, the books and SRC Publishing of London.

Read about the Powers, Procedures and Duties of the Juror which secure Equal Justice.

“Better never to vote at all than vote for a person who does not make EQUAL JUSTICE the prime aim of government by RESTORATION and UNIVERSAL ADOPTION of Constitutional Legem Terræ Common Law Trial by Jury.”
Kenn d’Oudney is the author of books and essays including the following:
Kenn d’Oudney est auteur de livres et essais y compris les suivants:
Kenn d’Oudney ist Autor von Büchern und Essays einschließlich der folgenden:

**MYTHS DISPELLED: AN OPEN LETTER TO JAMES MADISON (descendant).**
Essay: read it and see for yourself how the Constitution’s Justice System is supposed to work to protect rights, liberty, property ownership and use, and achieve equal justice for all... and discover... THE ILLEGALITY OF THE STATUS QUO.

“Hi Kenn:
What a magnificent article! I intend to incorporate parts of it into my speeches and writings.
Yours in freedom and justice,”
Professor Julian Heicklen,
Jury Rights’ Activist;
Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters; U.S.

“Kenn d’Oudney is a brilliant writer and researcher when it comes to Democracy and Trial by Jury. The best source of common law is Kenn d’Oudney.”
Dr. John Wilson,
Jury Rights’ Activist;
co-Founder & Chairman, Australian Common Law Party.

“Superb. Should be read in every law school.”
John Walsh, Barrister-at-Law,
Author; Constitutional lawyer (U.S. & Australia).

**MAGNA CARTA, THE GREAT CHARTER CONSTITUTION;** freely downloadable information about pan-European legem terræ, the Common Law, whose central tenet and sole justice system is the Trial by Jury, defining the true European and pan-Occidental Constitution; http://www.democracydefined.org/democracydefinedmaterial.htm

“Thank you for your excellent work on Magna Carta. What a masterly exposition.”
JOHN GOURIET, Chairman, Defenders of the Realm; Battle for Britain Campaign supported by the Duke of Wellington; Edward Fox, OBE, and Frederick Forsyth, CBE.

“Kenn, Your rebuttal is masterly. Your essay is a very good read.”
ROBIN TILBROOK, Chairman & Party Leader; English Democrat Party.

“Thank you so much for this contribution. It is very much appreciated.”
ASHLEY MOTE, MEP (Member of the European Parliament); Vice-President, Alliance of Independent Democrats in Europe.

“Thanks, Kenn. I’ve circulated this.”
SIMON RICHARDS, Campaign Director; Freedom Association; Founded by John Gouriet; the Viscount de L’Isle, VC, KG, PC; Ross McWhirter and Norris McWhirter, CBE.
“You have done a splendid job of producing a comprehensive summary of the evidence documenting that the prohibition of the production, sale and use of cannabis is utterly unjustified and produces many harmful effects. Any impartial person reading your REPORT will almost certainly end up favouring the re-legalisation of cannabis.”

NOBEL LAUREATE PROFESSOR MILTON FREEDMAN, former Economics’ Adviser to U.S. government; Author, video and TV series writer and presenter; Senior Research Fellow, Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace; Professor Emeritus, University of Chicago.

“You represent a worthy part of the fight in many countries for the logical and beneficial use of cannabis. I thank you for that.”

PROFESSOR PATRICK D. WALL, M.D., Author; Professor of Physiology, UMDS St. Thomas’s (Teaching) Hospital, London; Fellow of the Royal Society; DM, FRCP.

“I did enjoy reading it. THE REPORT should contribute much.”

THE HON. JONATHON PORRITT, Bt., former Adviser to U.K. government on Environment; Author; Founder, Friends of the Earth; TV series writer and presenter.


SO YOU THOUGHT CANNABIS PROHIBITION HAS NO EFFECT UPON YOU?

THE REPORT ISBN 9781902848204: Part (chapter) Two contains the unprecedented (new) Cannabis Biomass Energy Equation (CBEE; Modern Uses) which proves the clean-combusting cannabis-product pyrolytic CH3OH is the immediate economical (cheap), non-polluting, renewable, total world replacement for fossils and uranium, whilst simultaneously significantly increasing world production of staple seed food (protein-rich; no relaxant in seed). The CBEE exposes the bankowner-corporate-government monumental ulterior motive behind fraudulent prohibition. ‘Prohibition’ is a venal, cartel-fabricated subterfuge; a false fuel-energy MONOPOLY.

The CBEE Formulation proffers CH3OH oil-gasoline-type fuel combustion for all power-station, industrial, land, sea and air transportation and domestic energy supply, with ZERO net atmospheric increase of CO2; viz. the CBEE thereby simultaneously demonstrates governments’ mendacity in their claims to wish to reduce carbon emissions, and proves the “eco” and “carbon taxes” to be fraudulent: a criminal government imposture completely without foundation. The misuse of exorbitant, world-economy-depressing fossils and uranium as ‘fuel’ is potentially catastrophic, legally and economically unjustifiable, and requires to be prohibited forthwith.

See pyrolysis diagrams, photo, equation, etc.

Part Six of THE REPORT, PROHIBITION: THE PROGENITOR OF CRIME.

“To cause crime to occur is to be accountable for the crime, morally and legally. To consent to any measure is to share responsibility for its results.”
Legalised, cannabis grows anywhere: the benign herb’s foliage and flowers come free or at an insignificant price, but yielding no revenues to government and no profits to corporations. However, prohibition creates the Black Market: the Economic Effects of Prohibition (scarcity + enforcement, etc.) augment “street” value by 3000% plus, making all Black Market associated crime inevitable. The political commodities’ prohibition, the War on Drugs, rather that is to say, the politicians who pass and the judiciaries who maintain the legislation engender (cause) and are judicably culpable for not less than 75 - 80 percent of all crimes (official statistics) throughout the West.

EXONERATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT; Official Empirical Research; THE REPORT collates the medico-scientific Findings of Fact and Conclusions of the government-funded clinical studies conducted by world-respected research and academic institutions into non-toxic, non-addictive natural herb cannabis (differentiated from pharmaceutical laboratory toxic product THC). The investigations’ empirical evidence exonerates cannabis from all allegations of ‘harm’ and ‘impairment’ (including tests on simulated driving) exempting cannabis from all legislative criteria of control (‘prohibition’). All citizens persecuted thereunder are due Amnesty and Restitution (as for other Wrongful Penalisation).

MEDICATION: Efficacious in over 100 adverse medical conditions (viz. Official Pharmacopoeias) including applications which are life-saving, preserve eyesight, Curative and/or Preventive, and with potential cheaply to replace numerous lines of lucrative but ineffective, debilitating, addictive, toxic pharmaceuticals, rendering massive financial government-corporate ulterior revenue and profit motive (trillions) behind apocryphal prohibition by perjurious derogation. + Medical Case Histories.

SIX PARTS (chapters) include expert documentary, legal, academic, scientific, technical, medical, economic, social, criminological, philosophical evidence, and that which is based on grounds of equity, vindicating all private cultivation, trade, possession and use, and which further exposes perjury and venality behind prohibition ‘legislation’, all acts of enforcement constituting crime per se.

Part Seven, RESTORATION: JUSTICE AND THE CONSTITUTION, exposes corruption, ineptitude and injustice in the justice process; examines Law: natural law, supreme secular legem terræ Constitutional common law, treaties, statutes; quotes presidents, judges, lawyers and chief justices.

THE REPORT is regularly presented pre-trial by defendants to courts (judges) who routinely forbid all Findings of Fact, evidence and defences which dispute “the legality of the law” before the jury. The official and expert evidence in THE REPORT establishes the apocryphal, illegal nature of the legislation. THE REPORT quotes legal grounds (national and international) which demonstrate numerous infractions of laws by the prohibition legislation, and which show all acts of its enforcement to be crime per se. All citizens persecuted thereunder are due Amnesty and Restitution (as for other Wrongful Penalisation). This textbook demonstrates in the law: injustice, inequity, invalidity, adverse effects, venal ulterior motive, perjury, fallacious derogation, and the inherent illegality of law which creates the Black Market and engenders all associated crime.

The outcomes of this procedure of presenting THE REPORT as documentary evidence to the judge have proved beneficial in the extreme for defendants.
THE PRINCIPAL TENETS of the Constitutions of Democracies.


“The d’Oudney analysis is as insightful as it is comprehensive. It will stand for years to come as the definitive critique of the European Constitution prepared by Giscard d’Estaing and others. I look forward to sharing the d’Oudney analysis with my colleagues.”
HOWARD PHILLIPS, Founder, U.S. Constitution Party; three-time presidential nominee; Chairman, Conservative Caucus.
SRC Publishing Ltd., London.

WE THE PEOPLE AND THE MATTER OF WORDS; freely downloadable, indispensable information for the creation and sustainment of legitimate government and society; http://www.democracydefined.org/democracydefinedmaterial.htm

DEMOCRACY DEFINED: ON THE ETYMOLOGY, HISTORY AND SIGNIFICATION OF THE WORD DEMOCRACY; the Sciences of Etymology, Semantics, Semasiology, and Philology determine whether your country is a definitive democracy or your government is a despotism. Viz. The word ‘democracy’ is widely abused and ‘defined’ incorrectly: Democracy is a state of society realised neither by referenda (mass voting for new laws), nor by suffrage (electoral voting for representatives), nor by the representatives’ majorities’ legislative voting. Electoral voting, majority rule and ‘consensus politics’ neither create nor define democracy. This essay summarises the unique signification and inestimable value to the human race of genuine Constitutional Democracy.
http://www.democracydefined.org/democracydefinedcampaign.htm#democracy

9-11 TRUTH LINKS COMPENDIUM; exposition with select video analysis & lectures; contributions by professors, architects, scientists, Federal Aviation Authority experts, former CIA, FBI, military and government officials, firemen, reporters and other eye-witnesses present; freely download this Compendium which serves as an introduction to the subject, and as a source of additional references for those already familiar with the 9/11 Truth Movement; http://www.democracydefined.org/democracydefinedmaterial.htm
THE PRINCIPAL TENETS
of the Constitutions of Democracies.

THE VALUE OR OTHERWISE OF LAW DICTIONARIES; part of the contents of a forthcoming book to be published by SRC Publishing. It is nevertheless, for the time being anyway, downloadable for free;
http://www.democracydefined.org/democracydefinedmaterial.htm

ON REFERENDA AND MAJORITY RULE; part of the contents of a forthcoming book downloadable for free;
http://www.democracydefined.org/democracydefinedmaterial.htm

RADIOACTIVITY FROM CROPS FED WITH PHOSPHATE ‘FERTILISER’ IS THE PRINCIPAL CAUSE OF CANCER; some Findings of Fact downloadable for free, extracted from THE REPORT ISBN 9781902848204 (referred to above);
http://www.democracydefined.org/democracydefinedmaterial.htm

CHIEF JUSTICE VAUGHAN’S RULING; on perhaps the most famous trial in history; Juror’s Rights and Duty and the Old Bailey Commemorative Plaque;
http://www.democracydefined.org/democracydefinedcampaign2.htm#intervention

WHY THE LEGAL PROFESSION CANNOT DEFEND YOU; consider some of the judicable breaches of common law and Constitution to which modern government resorts in order to enforce its inequitable, illegal and money-motivated statutes;
http://www.democracydefined.org/democracydefinedcampaign4.htm#lawyers

http://www.democracydefined.org/
The Home Page of The not-for-profit Educational Campaign for RESTORATION and UNIVERSAL ADOPTION of CONSTITUTIONAL COMMON LAW TRIAL BY JURY.

Further reading on the website:
The Democracy Defined Campaign Philosophy is endorsed by academics, attorneys, doctors (of jurisprudence, medicine, homeopathy, philosophy, etc.) and judges (U.S. & U.K.). Join the Campaign! Download and distribute free posters and educational pamphlets.
Membership gratis.