ON REFERENDA AND MAJORITY RULE.

Although one would like to proceed from the assumption that everyone knows the etymology, history and signification of the word democracy, it would be as well to put this information first. Then, the main thrust of this essay can be appreciated. So, to begin with, here is explanation and definition with which many people will be familiar anyway, of...

THE WORD.

To preclude arbitrary (i.e. tyrannical; illegal) government and establish liberty and equal justice for all, the Hellenes created the society in which the common people have the power in Trial by Jury to judge the laws and overrule the laws and measures enacted by the national assembly. *The word* the Hellenes gave to describe this state of society in which the citizens have control through the Trial by Jury to judge, make and enforce the laws and overrule the government, the wealthy and powerful, the aristocrats and all the people who sought to rule them, was ‘*democratia*’, which translates into English as ‘Democracy’.

Derived from the Hellenic Athenian Constitution of government by Trial by Jury, Democracy is founded on the Trial by Jury. Constitutional Democracy is based on the sovereignty of the individual citizen-juror in Common Law Trial by Jury as the final arbiter of law and protection of the people from tyranny.

Etymology (linguistic derivation). Hellenic Greek, *Demokratia*, Democracy. *demos*, the people; *kratos*, sovereignty*, power; *kratein*, to rule.

Chambers Dictionary, etymology, *demos*, the people; *kratein*, to rule; MSN Encarta. Democracy, *demos*, the people; *kratein*, to rule; etc.
FROM THE ETYMOLOGY COMES THE DEFINITION:
Democracy, the form of government in which the Sovereign Supreme Power is vested in the Common People; the emancipation and ethos of society produced by the power of Juries of ordinary citizens in Trial by Jury, to vet, make, decide and enforce the law; the people rule.

In order to understand the meaning of the word, it is essential to know first, that democracy embodies the people’s control over government and law through the Trial by Jury. The people control the government, not the other way around. The people rule. This is democracy.

Secondly, Democracy is only extant where the Common Law Trial by Jury Justice System is implemented for all causes (lawsuits) civil, criminal and fiscal, to the exclusion of all other systems of justice.

Thirdly, Trial by Jury comprises a complete constitution of itself, providing legal control by the people of the modus operandi of government. See the Juror’s Judicial Duties and the constitutional common law non-judicial rôle of convenors (‘justices’, ‘judges’), etc., explained in Essay EIS#10, “We the People and the Matter of Words.”

It is the implementation of the common law Trial by Jury through which “the people rule,” which forms and defines democracy, sine qua non. Within the society which is democratic, the assembly (congress, senate, parliament, etc.) remains of inferior authority to the decisions of juries in Trial by Jury.

SOME ANTIDEMOCRATIC PITFALLS OF VOTING:
Referendum and Congress.

To begin with, consideration must be given to the fact that with electronic voting, it becomes ever easier to falsify the result... and the same applies with elections to parliament, congress and senate or wherever computers replace the traditional methods. Consider this caveat: To date, there is nothing more efficient and fair yet devised than the publicly scrutinised polling station; the private booth; and old fashioned paper ballots available for verification and recounts. Come the age of the computerised voting machine, never has there been a greater need for the citizen-juror’s duties in Trial by Jury to vet every law, and control each act of enforcement.

Personally, I can think of no more simple, agreeable system and as cheap to the taxpayer, than the electronically arranged (non-binding) ‘referendum’; a poll on the large-scale for the purpose of ascertaining public opinion in regard to new laws. Most people agree that referenda are useful instruments for such purposes. However, while technological advances such as computers make it feasible for remote millions to vote to accept or reject some proposal of legislation, the disadvantages of making the results of routine referenda legally binding on society far outweigh any benefits.

Most laws contain qualifications and clauses, all of which require to be considered and a separate vote needed for each one. This tedious activity is
what most people prefer to leave to bureaucrats and politicians. Issues have to
be divided into numerous points, all requiring consideration before voting on
multiple-choice questions and answers. Over the course of time, the majority of
people would lose interest and avoid involving themselves in the process.
Eventually, the referendum would come to reflect only the self-interests of a
motivated minority.

So, people prefer to pass the job of deciding laws to representatives. Yet,
what is to be done when the frailties which beset human beings, especially those
who seek or find themselves in positions of power, come into play? If parts or
all of government, that is, the executive, legislature and judiciary, succumb to
the temptations of venality, carnality, authoritarianism or incompetence,
injustice and tyranny become the lot of the population unless there is the proven
barrier of Trial by Jury interposed: for this is the only (peaceful) mechanism
known to mankind by which the population can protect themselves.

DEMOCRACY IS INDEPENDENT OF ALL VOTING ACTIVITIES,
POPULAR, ELECTORAL OR LEGISLATORIAL.

Perhaps the best application of referenda is in the arena of local politics, when
the location of a school, hospital or the route of a new road is to be decided. Open
discussion with the public in attendance followed by a vote of all involved, is
frequently undertaken. This is the most useful application of the referendum,
which follows along the lines of the Athenian City State, wherein every citizen had
the right to join the debate and vote on laws and measures in the nation’s assembly.

Certainly, latterday technology can bring the referendum technique to
virtually all the population. However, this was NOT the activity by which
democratia, democracy, was achieved, secured and defined in Athens or
anywhere else. Far from it. The Athenians knew all about the virtues of people’s
voluntary involvement, en masse. Such activity is conducive to harmony and
unity, but realistically-speaking, it is only healthy within that society wherein
the common people have the power in Trial by Jury to comprise the Supreme
Legislature and annul potential injustices. That is to say, it is only in Trial by
Jury that the constitutional basis of authority resides in a democracy, Hellenic,
and everywhere else in place and time.

Democracy does not operate on ‘popularity’ or power of the majority, by
referenda or in congress. Quite the opposite, democracy prevails on behalf of
minorities and even of individuals, in establishing equal justice for ALL the
people, demos, the people; not for only some. Only in societies where the Trial
by Jury holds sway is democracy extant.

Explained by its etymology, history and signification, democracy can be
paraphrased as “rule by the people through the Trial by Jury Justice System.”
Yet, by contrast, when the referendum is binding on the society, then it is the
referendum which “rules;” the results become statute law; and those who resist
or fall foul of the measure are scourged under the full force of the criminal ‘justice’ system. People who understand the meaning of the word democracy, see how in every case these dismal facts apply. The binding referendum not only breaches the terms by which democracy is defined, it is in dire CONFLICT with all the adherents of the democratic system. Hence, government-by-referendum cannot be ‘democracy’ by direct means.

Democracy, that is, “the people rule through Trial by Jury,” is a state of society created neither by the referendum for new laws, nor by voting for representatives, nor by the votes of the representatives. The referendum can take place in a democracy, but, of course, it cannot create democracy. Democracy is only brought into being by Trial by Jury.

When these words are examined more closely than at the superficial first glance, the distinct semasiological attributes which establish the signification of the words ‘referendum’ and ‘democracy’, render them mutually opposed; reciprocally incompatible. It is semantically maladroit to adjoin one to the other in the glib hope that somehow this epithet could express a ‘democracy’ which would be achieved ‘directly’ by referenda. In the context of voting by binding referenda, the word ‘direct’ destroys the entire meaning of the word democracy.

**FURTHER ANTITHESES: MEANING AND FUNCTION.**

A ‘referendum’ is not a system for establishing justice; whereas democracy is the very embodiment of a system of the people’s, for the people, which is fully preoccupied with ensuring liberty and equal justice for all.

The results of government-by-referendum within a state bereft of Trial by Jury can yield up the worst imaginable injustices of which our species is capable. For example, the extreme ballot-box popularity of the National Socialist (NAZI) Party through the ’Thirties had the effect of ‘referenda’ or plebiscite, from which the Party claimed a ‘mandate’ for their barbaric acts of tyranny.

In the lead-up to a referendum, and indeed within the assembly, people can be charmed, cajoled, or terrified into voting for a given measure. Majories can overwhelmingly endorse a party’s program, albeit one of lethal race laws, or the imposition of grotesque rule by the fanatics of a particular religion (to exemplify but a couple of the countless illegitimate antidemocratic laws under which humanity constantly suffers).

Today, constitutional democracy is mankind’s model society which installs legem terræ. This is the common law of the land prescribed and defined by Magna Carta, of which the central tenet is the Trial by Jury Justice System, which is emplaced as the barrier or mechanism for protecting the population from all tyrannical inclinations of government maladministration.

Through the (authentic) Trial by Jury, democracy bestows justice equally on all the people; empowers ordinary people peacefully to choose and maintain
their rights and liberties for themselves; and removes from majorities, judges
and politicians any power to forestall the democratic society, whether in a
republic or a constitutional monarchy.

In Trial by Jury, the validity, worth, justice and legality of a statute (a
government-made law) may be challenged. A law’s effects, dangers, a possible
venal character, and even the potential mens rea (malicious motives) behind the
referendum or the assemblies’ lawmakers themselves, may be exposed.

When such circumstances are pertinent to defence, then, alerting jurors to
their duty to annul bad laws and unjust prosecutions, counsel and defendant
induce the jury to dispassionate deliberations on the evidence, facts, moral
intentions, the purpose and fairness of the law and of its enforcement.

Further protection is afforded by Trial by Jury against factions, potential
tyrrants and demagogues by common law processes, such as the care with which
all views amongst the population are reflected within juries (by random
selection of jurors from amongst the entire adult population (save the sick, aged,
convicts and the insane). It is a crime under common law for government and
courts to impose property or other ‘qualifications’ for jury service.

See Essay EIS#18, On the Legally Correct Random Selection of Jurors.

The referendum on the other hand, when installed as the means of rule, of
governing, in which majorities have power to please themselves at the expense
of minorities, is a horror which strikes fear and fury within the breast of every
sane adult and true democrat. Government-by-referendum is as atrocious as
government-by-majorities in the legislature or parliament, whenever these
functions are unrestrained because of an absence of Constitutional Trial by Jury.

FOR EXAMPLE!

For example, the Spaniards have lost all memory of democracy and their
former Gothic heritage of Common Law Trial by Jury. They were deceived by
their wily politicians who praised the numerous social benefits listed in the so-
called ‘European Constitution’, without alerting them to the fact that all the
terms of the ‘constitution’ are not guaranteed: they do not control and bind the
governing bodies in the slightest. Some constitution!

On the contrary, the terms are actually changeable at the whim of the
unelected commissioners (commissars) of the Supreme Soviet (council) System.
Moreover, all citizens are at the mercy of the inquisitorial methods of trial-by-
judge, which in practice denies Habeas Corpus (freedom from arbitrary
detention); freedom from arbitrary arrest (without probable cause); and Trial by
Jury. The ‘European Treaty- Constitution’ * is NOT a constitution: it is literally
a charter of mass enslavement to the power of, or behind, the state.

*Definition-in-brief: a constitution is a code of laws and customs for the guidance and control of
government.
The Spanish decision overruled a significant proportion of the population, which, when added to the large number who did not vote, was the real majority. Thus was the execrable result of government-by-referendum. But for the wonderful Irish, we would be under the jackboot of the New World Order’s leading plutocrat families, with all the implications which that entails for our rights to liberty, property, lives and the pursuit of happiness. Still, the show’s not over yet...

MISNOMER.

Democracy was itself brought into being by the Athenians as the constitutional means of superseding and curtailing a state of government-by-referendum, from the voting in the assembly in which all could take part. Hence, again, it is seen that applying to democracy the adjective ‘direct’ in the context of referenda, is a linguistic mutilation. The attachment results, not in a meaningful nomenclature, but in a hideous self-contradictory misnomer. Instead of a useful term being coined, it represents *reductio ad absurdum*.

This ‘direct’ idea is an expression of linguistic confusion which has been cooked-up by a person or persons wholly unaware of (or intentionally to conceal) the definitive aspect of Trial by Jury as forming the foundation of *democratia*, democracy. Let us consider further how the referendum technique does not create ‘democracy’ of any type:

FEROCIOUS ANTIPATHY TO DEMOS “WE THE PEOPLE.”

Citizen-Juries educated and instructed to judge on the justice of law and its enforcement, can be relied upon to protect people from the state (i.e. the government), when the state breaches correct behaviour in attempting to enforce injustices. It is for this reason that those who stand to gain money and/or power from tyranny by the imposition of unjust ‘laws’, regard the genuine Trial by Jury as an obstacle to be undermined and destroyed. Untrustworthy at best, of outright criminal intent at worst, are those who, instead of restoring Common Law Trial by Jury to its true form, would discard it altogether.

As always, there are today those of the power-hungry oligarchical inclination who are ferociously antithetical to democracy: they wish to deny the people their inherent, inalienable right to the just power, through the peaceful mechanism of Trial by Jury, to decide and protect their liberties for themselves. To destroy democracy is the intention of the plutocrat. This he does through his minions in government and the law, and his servitors and employees in publishing and media.

*Demos-kratein, democratia, democracy*, the word, with its unique historic derivation, has the strict parameters of meaning: “government by the People with ultimate sovereign authority invested in the citizen-juror in common law Trial by Jury.” Despite this unequivocal definition of democracy, and relying on the general insouciance of the people, not to say, widespread ignorance, the enemies of democracy attempt to miseducate the people en masse by
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introducing self-contradictory, extraneous and stridently incorrect uses of the word; viz. Wikipedia’s and the latest dictionaries’ contributors’ gibberish.

In this way, they hope to increase their dominion over the mentality of the population, and destroy all concept and memory of mankind’s model justice system; the only one which bestows equal justice and liberty upon all. It remains the ongoing principal secular adult duty of men and women everywhere, who love liberty and justice, to promulgate the definitive facts on democracy to this generation, literally to save civilisation and emancipate all.

When one sees the word democracy being misused, it is of interest to ascertain whether this abuse is from plain ignorance, or from deliberate mind-manipulation (‘brain-washing’). Politicians themselves can be brainwashed, duped and misled. However, politicians and others ruthlessly set out to blind people as to what genuine democracy can and should be allowed to achieve towards the people’s happiness, well-being and emancipation.

When the word democracy is properly understood, the contradictions-in-terms (meaningless distortions of language) become self-evident if adjectives such as ‘direct’ or ‘representative’ precede the word. These latter combinations confound, undermine and pervert the meaning of democracy, because they incorrectly allude to government-by-majorities by public referenda and voting in assemblies. These terms deliberately obscure the vital semantical point: democracy is founded on the Common Law Trial by Jury, the justice system in which the citizen-juror is sovereign, has all judicial authority, and jurors have the duty to annul bad laws, tyranny and acts of injustice.

What goes on in referenda and the national assemblies has nothing whatsoever to do with Trial by Jury, and this latter is the basis of democracy through which “the people rule” (demos; kratein) and by which the people hold in their own keeping all the rights and liberties which they wish to enjoy.

Indeed, through the Trial by Jury, democracy is the very system which precludes ochlocracy, i.e. direct rule by mobs, whether of brutish minorities or majorities expressing their self-interest in referenda or assemblies.

Government-by-referendum is just that and no more than that. Government by referenda majorities and congressional/parliamentary majorities are not ‘democracy’, because such voting activity can and does occur within societies which outlaw the people’s protective barrier of Trial by Jury, and which therefore are NOT democracies.

Note To Whom It Relates:

Thucydides * observed truly that most people will uncritically believe the first account they hear about something without taking the trouble to find out whether it is true.

* See History of the Peloponnesian War, by Thucydides.
Reading some people’s apocryphal accounts of the history of Hellenic Athenian Democracy explains, in some cases, the misappropriation by these people of THE WORD, which they then abuse by misapplying it to activities of government-by-referenda (mass voting) or government-by-representatives (i.e. voting in the assembly). From negligence or by malintention, they only acknowledge the inferior functions of voting and assembly; and omit the paramount definitive factor of democracy: Constitutional Trial by Jury.

EXOUSIA.

It is far from correct to conceive of ‘democracy’ as only a society of a small number of citizens who assemble and administer the government in person, for neither in Hellenic Greece nor in any other democracy is the assembly the supreme judge and final arbiter of law: that is the exclusive domain of the Jury.

In democratic Athens, all citizens including the poorest, the thetes, were equals before the law with exousia, which included the right to a Trial by Jury; right to participate in deliberations and vote in the assembly; and...

...right of JUDICIAL OFFICE by which as jurors they decided causes and could annul the disagreeable laws and measures. The Hellenes created Democracy peacefully to preclude the progress of voting factions and wealthy would-be despots, by means of the Trial by Jury.

Any society which spurns despotism, oligarchy, tyranny, ochlocracy-through-referenda (mob rule), and all such lawless, uncivilised forms of government, may select, by sortition or election, representatives to take care of the uncontroversial ‘nuts and bolts’ of tedious daily administration of the country, because most people prefer to occupy themselves with other matters.

In democratic parliamentary processes everywhere, assemblage embodies the initial, preliminary procedure of government, wherein proposal, debate and voting, frame measures and enact laws. Trial by Jury is the decisive, superior stage: citizen-jurors are sovereign to judge the laws and causes in all their aspects, to decide whether, and if so, how, to give effect to their execution.

Some people choose ambiguous quotations from Plato’s Socratic dialogues and confuse themselves and others about Socrates. (Remember, Socrates himself wrote down nothing. What we know about him comes from writings of his contemporaries, principally his devotee and student Plato.)

It is factually incorrect to say that Socrates “supported” democracy. Socrates was the implacable foe of democracy: he inspired a coup d’état (seizure of power) against the democratic state by those who came to be known as the Thirty Tyrants; wealthy oligarchs and aristocrats with their mercenaries and slaves.

The Tyrants removed exousia and the right to a Trial by Jury from all but five hundred. Only a carefully selected three thousand were permitted to bear arms, to support the Tyrants and enforce the Illegality of the Status Quo.
Hundreds of the proponents of the democratic state were forced to drink the lethal cup of hemlock and thousands were exiled.

Democracy was however restored after a year, and amnesty was given to all parties by the compassionate democratic state. Socrates nevertheless callously despised the people. He was a worthless criminal traitor to demos, “we the people,” and continued thereafter for four years to be the murderous opponent of democracy, fomenting further revolution amongst his aristocratic followers and students. Hence, when he eventually came to be tried (on charges of corrupting youth, etc.), his sentence of capital punishment was deserved and inevitable.
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© Kenn d’Oudney, ALAM(Hons) Dip GSA. Author.
THE COPYRIGHT OWNER GRANTS PERMISSION FOR DEMOCRACY DEFINED ESSAY EIS#22 TO BE COPIED INTACT AND UNALTERED, AND FREELY DISTRIBUTED.

For info about Plato’s book on the prototypical fascist state, The Republic, adopted as the official doctrine of Adolf Hitler’s National Socialist (NAZI) Party, and on Socrates, see Democracy Defined Essay EIS#10 “We the People and the Matter of Words,” freely downloadable from the Democracy Defined Campaign Material webpage.

Kenn d’Oudney is the author of books and essays including the following:
Kenn d’Oudney est auteur de livres et essais y compris les suivants:
Kenn d’Oudney ist Autor von Büchern und Essays einschließlich der folgenden:

A Treatise for the Democracy Defined Restoration Campaign by Kenn d’Oudney.
Softback, 272 large-size pages and E-book (Kindle).
The word ‘democracy’ is widely abused and ‘defined’ incorrectly. This extensively researched book explains how components of Constitutional Democracy have been suppressed by malefic statist interventions to produce the modern decline and the Illegality of the Status Quo. It sheds light on how democracy involves a variety of far-reaching issues, including political assassinations; the ætiology of anti-Semitism; fraudulent private banking practices; and the national issuance of interest-free currency and credit.

The historical, legal and constitutional facts and quotations in this book establish the perennially subject and liable status of executive, legislature and judiciary to the universal timeless secular moral and legal tenets of equity and cost-free private prosecutions at Common Law Trial by Jury. Exposes fallacies of "constitutional" groups and individuals. Indispensable reading for anyone who wishes to uphold the West’s cherished heritage of liberty and equal justice. The Manifesto reveals the theoretical and practical framework upon which the ideal human society is to be achieved: the best of all possible worlds.

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from Amazon.com and Amazon.co.uk
Reviews on next page:
“Your book is an absolute triumph! I now understand why the term 'Lawful Rebellion' grates with you. I genuinely believe that your book should be compulsory reading for every one of our elected representatives...not to mention our own supporters! So well done! Excellent book and a great source of reference.”
JUSTIN WALKER, Campaign Coordinator, British Constitution Group.

“I bought a copy of your excellent book from Amazon and I am impressed by both size and content. Frankly I haven’t been able to put it down. Every home should have one and not just every law school but every secondary school should have one in its curriculum. I particularly enjoyed the 'Traitors to the People' chapter. The whole book is a fascinating read, well done.”
JOHN S., Swindon. (E-mail to DD.)

“I am SO pleased that I’ve read this compelling book and that I now understand the true meaning of “Democracy.” Although it’s certainly not a novel, I found it as gripping as one. I had trouble putting it down. DEMOCRACY DEFINED: The Manifesto has opened my awareness dramatically.”
CAL BUCK, West Bromwich.

- REVIEWS OF THE ESSAYS UPON WHICH THIS BOOK IS BASED -
“Thank you for your excellent work on Magna Carta. What a masterly exposition.”
MAJOR JOHN GOURIET, Chairman, Defenders of the Realm; Battle for Britain Campaign supported by H.G. the Duke of Wellington; Edward Fox, OBE, and Frederick Forsyth, CBE.

“I think it is certainly true that Keynesian economics, as put into practice, has handed the economic power of the West to a few men who now almost totally control it. Likewise, I agree that the trial by jury is an essential bulwark of democracy and justice against a bankers’ tyranny. I congratulate you on disseminating the above points.”

“The d’Oudney analysis is as insightful as it is comprehensive. It will stand for years to come as the definitive critique of the European Constitution prepared by Giscard d’Estaing and others. I look forward to sharing the d’Oudney analysis with my colleagues.”
HOWARD PHILLIPS, Founder, U.S. Constitution Party, three-time Presidential nominee; Chairman of the Conservative Caucus.

“Superb. Should be read in every law school.”
JOHN WALSH, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Author; Constitutional lawyer (U.S. & Australia).

“What a magnificent article! (Madison and Democracy) I intend to incorporate parts of it into my speeches and writings.”
PROFESSOR JULIAN HEICKLEN, Jury Rights Activist, U.S. National Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters.

“Kenn d’Oudney is a brilliant writer and researcher when it comes to Democracy and Trial by Jury. The best source of common law is Kenn d’Oudney.”
DR. JOHN WILSON, Jury Rights Activist; Co-Founder & Chairman, Australian Common Law Party.

“Thanks, Kenn. I’ve circulated this.”
SIMON RICHARDS, Campaign Director; The Freedom Association; Founded by John Gouriet; the Viscount de L’Isle, VC, KG, PC; Ross McWhirter and Norris McWhirter, CBE.

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from Amazon.com and Amazon.co.uk
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By going to Amazon on either of the links above and clicking on 'Look Inside', you can see the front and back covers, check out the four Contents' pages to see subject matter; and get a glimpse of the text.

**CANNABIS: THE FACTS, HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE LAW, THE REPORT ISBN 9781902848211**, by Kenn d'Oudney, co-authored by Joanna d'Oudney; Foreword by a Nobel laureate former Official Adviser to the U.S. government; endorsed by a Professor of Physiology Fellow of the Royal Society, academics, doctors (of a variety of disciplines) and judges (U.S. & U.K.);

Softback, 260 large-size pages.

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from [Amazon.com](https://www.amazon.com) and [Amazon.co.uk](https://www.amazon.co.uk)

**- REVIEWS -**

“I have just finished reading your and Joanna's book on Cannabis. It is a masterpiece on both drug prohibition and jury rights. Thanks to both of you for writing it.”

**PROFESSOR JULIAN HEICKLEN**, Jury Rights Activist; U.S. National Coordinator, Tyranny Fighters Campaign.

“You have done a splendid job of producing a comprehensive summary of the evidence documenting that the prohibition of the production, sale and use of cannabis is utterly unjustified and produces many harmful effects. Any impartial person reading your REPORT will almost certainly end up favouring the re-legislation of cannabis.”

**NOBEL LAUREATE PROFESSOR MILTON FRIEDMAN**, former Economics’ Adviser to U.S. government; Author, video and TV series writer and presenter; Senior Research Fellow, Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace; Professor Emeritus, University of Chicago.

“You represent a worthy part of the fight in many countries for the logical and beneficial use of cannabis. I thank you for that.”

**PROFESSOR PATRICK D. WALL**, M.D., Author; Professor of Physiology, UMDS St. Thomas's (Teaching) Hospital, London; Fellow of the Royal Society; DM, FRCP.

“You are to be congratulated on a work well done. Very readable. It is an important REPORT and I do hope it will be widely distributed and read.”

**PROFESSOR LESTER GRINSPOON**, MD, Official Adviser on Drugs to U.S. government (Clinton Administration), Professor of Psychiatry, Harvard University School of Medicine.

“I did enjoy reading it. THE REPORT should contribute much.”

**THE HON. JONATHON PORRITT**, Bt., former Adviser to U.K. government on Environment; Author; Founder, Friends of the Earth; TV series writer and presenter.

“I am totally amazed at THE REPORT’s quality and overall goodness.”

**DR. ANNE BIEZANEK**, Authoress; ChB, BSc, MB, MFHom.

**SO YOU THINK CANNABIS PROHIBITION HAS NO EFFECT UPON YOU?**

THE REPORT ISBN 9781902848211: Part (chapter) Two contains the unprecedented (new) **Cannabis Biomass Energy Equation** (CBEE; Modern Uses) which proves the clean-combusting production-cost-free, i.e., **FREE**, cannabis by-product pyrolytic CH\textsubscript{3}OH is the immediate non-polluting, renewable, total world replacement for fossils and uranium, whilst macro-cultivation simultaneously **significantly increases** world production of staple seed food (protein-rich; no relaxant in seed). The CBEE exposes the bankowner-
corporate-government monumental ulterior motive behind fraudulent prohibition. ‘Prohibition’ is a venal, cartel-fabricated subterfuge; a false fuel-energy MONOPOLY.

The CBEE Formulation proffers CH₃OH oil-gasoline-type fuel combustion for all power-station, industrial, land, sea and air transportation and domestic energy supply, with ZERO net atmospheric increase of CO₂. Viz. the CBEE thereby simultaneously demonstrates governments’ mendacity in their claims to wish to reduce carbon emissions, and proves the “eco” and “carbon taxes” to be fraudulent: a criminal government imposture completely without foundation. The misuse of exorbitant, world-economy-depressing fossils and uranium as ‘fuel’ is potentially catastrophic, legally and economically unjustifiable, and requires to be prohibited forthwith.

See pyrolysis diagrams, photo, equation, etc.

Part Six of THE REPORT, PROHIBITION: THE PROGENITOR OF CRIME.

“To cause crime to occur is to be accountable for the crime, morally and legally. To consent to any measure is to share responsibility for its results.”

Legalised, cannabis grows anywhere: the benign herb’s foliage and flowers come free or at an insignificant price, but yielding no revenues to government and no profits to corporations. However, prohibition creates the Black Market: the Economic Effects of Prohibition (scarcity + enforcement, etc.) augment "street" value by 3000% plus, making all Black Market associated crime inevitable. The political commodities' prohibition, the War on Drugs, rather that is to say, the politicians who pass and the judiciaries who maintain the legislation engender (cause) and are culpable for a significant proportion of all crimes (official statistics) throughout the West.

EXONERATIVE FINDINGS OF FACT; Official Empirical Research; THE REPORT collates the medico-scientific Findings of Fact and Conclusions of the government-funded clinical studies conducted by world-respected research and academic institutions into non-toxic, non-addictive natural herb cannabis (differentiated from pharmaceutical laboratory toxic product THC). The investigations' empirical evidence exonerates cannabis from all allegations of 'harm' and 'impairment' (including tests on simulated driving) exempting cannabis from all legislative criteria of control ('prohibition'). All citizens persecuted thereunder are due Amnesty and Restitution (as for other Wrongful Penalisation).

MEDICATION: Efficacious in over 100 adverse medical conditions (viz. Official Pharmacopoeias) including applications which are life-saving, preserve eyesight, Curative and/or Preventive, and with potential cheaply to replace numerous lines of lucrative but ineffective, debilitating, addictive, toxic pharmaceuticals, rendering massive financial government-corporate ulterior revenue and profit motive (trillions) behind apocryphal prohibition by perjurious derogation. + Medical Case Histories.

Six Parts (chapters) include expert documentary, legal, academic, scientific, technical, medical, economic, social, criminological, philosophical evidence, and that which is based on grounds of equity, vindicating all private cultivation, trade, possession and use, and which further exposes perjury and venality behind prohibition 'legislation', all acts of enforcement constituting crime per se.

Part Seven, RESTORATION: JUSTICE AND THE CONSTITUTION, exposes corruption, ineptitude and injustice in the justice process; examines Law: natural law, supreme secular legem terræ Constitutional common law, treaties, statutes; quotes presidents, judges, lawyers and chief justices.
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THE REPORT is regularly presented pre-trial by defendants to courts (judges) who routinely forbid all Findings of Fact, evidence and defences which “dispute the legality of the law” before the jury. The official and expert evidence in THE REPORT establishes the apocryphal, illegal nature of the legislation. THE REPORT quotes legal grounds (national and international) which demonstrate numerous infractions of laws by the prohibition legislation, and which show all acts of its enforcement to be crime per se. All citizens persecuted thereunder are due Amnesty and Restitution (as for other Wrongful Penalisation). This textbook demonstrates in the law: injustice, inequity, invalidity, adverse effects, venal ulterior motive, perjury, fallacious derogation, and the inherent illegality of law which creates the Black Market and engenders all associated crime.

The outcomes of this procedure of presenting THE REPORT as documentary evidence to the judge have proved beneficial in the extreme for defendants. *Courts require documentary evidence presented as the published textbook (not copies or e-book).

SRC Publishing Ltd., London, available from Amazon.com and Amazon.co.uk

By going to Amazon on either of the links above and clicking on 'Look Inside', you can check out the Contents’ pages to see subject matter; and get a glimpse of the text.

~~~~~~
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